DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 473 912 SO 034 621

AUTHOR Fox, Sarah Cleveland

TITLE Charting Russia's Future in the Post-Soviet Era. Eighth Edition. Teacher Resource Book [and Student Text]. Public Policy Debate in the Classroom. Choices for the 21st Century.

INSTITUTION Brown Univ., Providence, RI. Thomas J. Watson, Jr. Inst. for International Studies.

ISBN ISBN-1-891306-54-5

PUB DATE 2002-00-00

NOTE 107p.

AVAILABLE FROM Choices Education Program, Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University, Box 1948, Providence, RI 02912 ($15 per teacher/student set). Tel: 401-863-2809; Web site: http://www.watsoninstitute.org/index2.cfm.

PUB TYPE Guides - Classroom - Learner (051) -- Guides - Classroom - Teacher (052)

EDRS PRICE EDRS Price MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS

DESCRIPTORS Area Studies; Critical Thinking; *Economic Factors; Foreign Countries; Foreign Policy; Futures (of Society); Learning Activities; Lesson Plans; *Perspective Taking; *Political Issues; Programming (Broadcast); Secondary Education; Simulation; Social Studies; *Units of Study

IDENTIFIERS Historical Figures; Policy Issues; *Russia; *Russian History

ABSTRACT

Russia struggle with questions of identity and economic stability sine ending its Cold War relationship with the United States. In this unit students are asked to see the world through Russian eyes and to contemplate Russian choices in the areas of economic development, political organization, and foreign policy. The unit focuses on three distinct directions, or futures, for Russia in the coming years. Each future is grounded in a well defined philosophy about Russia's place in the world and offers broad guidelines in fundamental policy issues in Russia. The Teacher Resource Book contains a day-by-day lesson plan and student activities. Day one, the "Introduction and Part 1: "Lessons from Russia's Past," has students role playing the six historical figures featured in the background reading. "Part 2: Exploring the New Russia," on the second day asks students to consider how Russian television programming reflects the values and tastes of viewers in the new Russia. The third and fourth days of the lesson plan involve students in a simulation in which they act as advocates for the three futures taking on the role of Russian voters. The three futures consist of: (1) restore state control; (2) proceed with firmness; and (3) look to the west. On the fifth day, students draft a campaign platform for Russia based on their own values and beliefs. An optional lesson offers the opportunity to explore Russia's constitution. Teachers may also find the "Alternative Three-Day Lesson Plan" useful. Lesson plans are designed for traditional class periods of approximately 50 minutes. Using the student text, students are asked to step into Russian shoes and consider Russia's future. They are confronted with questions that Russians are now debating: What principles should guide the development of Russia's economy? Which political system is best for Russia? What role should Russia play in international affairs? To prepare for the challenge, students begin with a brief survey of Russian

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

history, focusing in particular on part efforts to change Russian society. The second part of the background reading deals with the events that have occurred since the Soviet Union came to an end on December 25, 1991. In this section students learn how Russia has changed in terms of its economic development, political thinking, and international relations. Contains four relevant supplementary documents. Lists 11 supplementary resources. (BT)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

ED 473 912

Charting Russia's Future in the Post-Soviet Era. Eighth Edition. Teacher Resource Book [and Student Text]. Public Policy Debate in the Classroom. Choices for the 21st Century

Sarah Cleveland Fox

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

- This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
- Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

S. Graseck

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

SO 034 621

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Charting Russia's Future



BEST COPY AVAILABLE

THE **CHOICES** PROGRAM

Explore the Past... Shape the Future

History and Current Issues for the Classroom

WATSON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES
BROWN UNIVERSITY WWW.CHOICES.EDU

TEACHER RESOURCE BOOK
TEACHER RESOURCE BOOK
TEACHER RESOURCE BOOK

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Charting Russia's Future was developed by the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program staff with the assistance of the research staff of the Watson Institute for International Studies, scholars at Brown University, and several other experts in the field. We wish to thank the following researchers for their invaluable input:

Dominique Arel, *Assistant Professor (Research),*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Deana Arsenian, *Former Assistant Director,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Douglas W. Blum, *Associate Professor of Political Science*
Providence College

Mark Garrison, *Senior Fellow,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Abbott Gleason, *Professor of History, Brown University*

Leonid Kistersky, *E. L. Wiegand Distinguished Visiting Professor*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Sergei N. Khrushchev, *Senior Fellow,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

William Rose, *Associate Professor of Political Science*
Connecticut College

Robert Seely, *Visiting Fellow in International Studies,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Stephen Shenfield, Former *Assistant Professor (Research),*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Olga Velikanova, *Visiting Fellow in International Studies,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

To Michael Barton of Kingsboro, Massachusetts, Patricia Clancy of Vernon, New Jersey, and Ron Levitsky of Northfield, Illinois, we extend our appreciation for helping us to revise the format of Choices units to make them responsive to a wider variety of student learning styles.

Special thanks to Sergei Task of Moscow, Russia for his assistance.

Charting Russia's Future is part of a continuing series on public policy issues. New units are published each academic year, and all units are updated regularly.

Visit us on the World Wide Web —
www.choices.edu

CHOICES
November 2002

Director
Susan Graseck

Capitol Forum Program Coordinator
Barbara Shema

Curriculum Developer
Andy Blackadar

Curriculum Writer
Sarah Cleveland Fox

Educational Technology Designer
Zoë Agnew

International Education Intern
Langan Courtney

Office Assistant
Jessica White

Professional Development Coordinator
Lucy Mueller

Staff Associate
Anne Campau Prout

The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program develops curricula on current and historical international issues and offers workshops, institutes, and in-service programs for high school teachers. Course materials place special emphasis on the importance of educating students in their participatory role as citizens.

The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program is a program of the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown University.

Thomas J. Biersteker
Director, Watson Institute for International Studies

Suggested Five-Day Lesson Plan

About the Choices Approach ii

Note to Teachers 1

Integrating This Unit into Your Classroom 2

DAY ONE — Russia's Troubled Legacy of Reform 3

Homework (before Day One): Part I of the background reading and "Study Guide — Part I"
Homework: Part II of the background reading and "Study Guide — Part II"

DAY TWO — Changing Channels: Television and Culture in the New Russia 8

DAY THREE — Exploring the Three Futures: Organization and Preparation 14

Homework: "Expressing Key Values"

DAY FOUR — Exploring the Three Futures: Debate and Discussion 19

Homework: "Focusing Your Thoughts"

DAY FIVE — Charting Russia's Future 21

Homework: "Dorogiye Gospada"

OPTIONAL LESSON — Exploring Russia's Constitution 25

Key Terms and Issues Toolbox 26

Making Choices Work in Your Classroom 28

Alternative Three-Day Lesson Plan 32



The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program is a program of the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown University. Choices was established to help citizens think constructively about foreign policy issues, to improve participatory citizenship skills, and to encourage public judgment on policy priorities.

The Watson Institute for International Studies was established at Brown University in 1986 to serve as a forum for students, faculty, visiting scholars, and policy practitioners who are committed to analyzing contemporary global problems and developing initiatives to address them.

© Copyright November 2002. Eighth edition. Choices for the 21st Century Education Program. All rights reserved. Teacher sets (consisting of a student text and a teacher resource book) are available for $15 each. Permission is granted to duplicate and distribute the student text and handouts for classroom use with appropriate credit given. Duplicates may not be resold. Classroom sets (15 or more student texts) may be ordered at $7 per copy. A teacher resource book is included free with each classroom set. Orders should be addressed to: Choices Education Program, Watson Institute for International Studies, Box 1948, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912. Please see the order form in the back of this unit or visit our website at <www.choices.edu>.

ISBN 1-891306-54-5-TRB.

About the Choices Approach

Choices for the 21st Century curricula are designed to make complex international issues understandable and meaningful for students. Using an innovative approach to student-centered instruction, Choices units develop critical thinking and civic judgment — essential ingredients of responsible citizenship.

Understanding the Significance of History: Each Choices unit provides students with a thorough introduction to the topic under consideration. Students gain an understanding of the historical background and the status of current issues. In this way, they see how history has shaped our world. With this foundation, students are prepared to thoughtfully consider a variety of perspectives on public policy.

Exploring Policy Alternatives: Each Choices unit is built around a framework of alternative policy options that challenges students to consider multiple perspectives and to think critically about the issue at hand. Students are best able to understand and analyze the options through a cooperative learning/role-play activity. In groups, students explore their assigned options and plan short presentations. The setting of the role-play may be a Congressional hearing, meeting of the National Security Council, or an election campaign forum. Student groups defend their policy options and, in turn, are challenged with questions from their classmates. The ensuing debate demands analysis and evaluation of the many conflicting values, interests, and priorities reflected in the options.

Exercising Civic Judgment: Armed with fresh insights from the role-play and debate, students are challenged to articulate original, coherent policy options that reflect their own values, priorities, and goals as individuals and citizens. Students' views can be expressed in letters to Congress or the White House, editorials for the school or community newspaper, persuasive speeches, or visual presentations.

Why Use the Choices Approach? Choices curricula are informed by current educational research about how students learn best. Studies have consistently demonstrated that students of all abilities learn best when they are actively engaged with the material rather than listening passively to a lecture. Student-centered instructional activities motivate students and develop higher-order thinking skills. However, some high school educators find the transition from lecture format to student-centered instruction difficult. Lecture is often viewed as the most efficient way to cover the required material. Choices curricula offer teachers a flexible resource for covering course material while actively engaging students and developing skills in critical thinking, persuasive writing, and informed citizenship. The instructional activities that are central to Choices units can be valuable components in any teacher's repertoire of effective teaching strategies. Each Choices unit includes student readings, a framework of policy options, suggested lesson plans, and resources for structuring cooperative learning, role-plays, and simulations. Students are challenged to:

- recognize relationships between history and current issues
- analyze and evaluate multiple perspectives on an issue
- understand the internal logic of a viewpoint
- engage in informed debate
- identify and weigh the conflicting values represented by different points of view
- reflect upon personal values and priorities surrounding an issue
- develop and articulate original viewpoints on an issue
- communicate in written and oral presentations
- collaborate with peers

Teachers who use Choices units say the collaboration and interaction that take place are highly motivating for students. Opportunities abound for students to contribute their individual talents to the group presentations in the form of political cartoons, slogans, posters, or characterizations. These cooperative learning lessons invite students to take pride in their own contributions and the group product, enhancing students' self-esteem and confidence as learners. Choices units offer students with diverse abilities and learning styles the opportunity to contribute, collaborate, and achieve.

Note to Teachers

For centuries, the Russian people have wrestled with questions of identity. They have been torn between Asia and Europe, authoritarianism and liberalism, their attachment to the land and the attractions of the city, their spiritual richness and the pull of modern science. The struggle has produced many of the world's greatest writers, composers, and other artists. At the same time, it has resulted in a seemingly split national character and has left deep political divisions. Today, Russia is passing through another time of troubles.

Charting Russia's Future draws students into the debate on Russia's identity. Unlike most units of the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program, *Charting Russia's Future* is not written from an American perspective. Rather, students are asked to see the world through Russian eyes and to contemplate Russian choices in the areas of economic development, political organization, and foreign policy.

At the core of the unit are three distinct directions, or Futures, for Russia in the coming years. Each Future is grounded in a clearly defined philosophy about Russia's place in the world and offers broad guidelines on fundamental public policy issues in Russia. By exploring a spectrum of alternatives, students gain a deeper understanding of the values and assumptions underlying Russia's ongoing political development. The background reading prepares students to assess Russia's policy choices. Part I surveys key periods of change in Russian history, ranging from the reign of Peter the Great to the Gorbachev era. Students are called upon to weigh the implications of past reform efforts for Russia's current situation, and also to appreciate how Russians view their history. Part II reviews the momentous changes that have taken place in Russia since the collapse of the Soviet Union, focusing in particular on new political thinking, economic reform, and Russia's evolving international relations.

Suggested Five-Day Lesson Plan: The Teacher Resource Book accompanying *Charting Russia's Future* contains a day-by-day lesson plan and student activities. The unit opens with students role playing the six historical figures featured in Part I of the background reading. The next lesson calls on students to consider how Russian television programming reflects the values and tastes of viewers in the new Russia. The third and fourth days of the lesson plan involve students in a simulation in which they act as advocates for the three Futures or take on the role of Russian voters. On the fifth day, students draft a campaign platform for Russia based on their own values and beliefs. An optional lesson offers the opportunity to explore Russia's Constitution. You may also find the "Alternative Three-Day Lesson Plan" useful.

- **Alternative Study Guides:** Each section of background reading is accompanied by two distinct study guides. The standard study guide is designed to help students harvest the information provided in the background readings in preparation for tackling analysis and synthesis within classroom activities. The advanced study guide requires the student to tackle analysis and synthesis prior to class activities.

- **Vocabulary and Concepts:** The background reading in *Charting Russia's Future* addresses subjects that are complex and challenging. To help your students get the most out of the text, you may want to review with them "Key Terms" found in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB) on page TRB-26 before they begin their assignment. A "Charting Russia's Future Issues Toolbox" is also included on page TRB-27. This provides additional information on key concepts of particular importance.

The lesson plans offered in *Charting Russia's Future* are provided as a guide. They are designed for traditional class periods of approximately fifty minutes. Those on block schedules will need to make adaptations. Many teachers choose to devote additional time to certain activities. We hope that these suggestions help you in tailoring the unit to fit the needs of your classroom.

Integrating This Unit into Your Curriculum

Units produced by the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program are designed to be integrated into a variety of social studies courses. Below are a few ideas about where *Charting Russia's Future* might fit into your curriculum.

Forms of Government: The questions facing Russia go far beyond the issues involving the privatization of industry or Russian relations with the West. Rather, Russians are caught up in a debate about what form of government is most appropriate for their country. Advocates of a wide spectrum of political systems — Western-style democracy, communism, fascism, and even monarchy — have entered the fray in present-day Russia. On a more mundane level, the balance of power between Moscow and Russia's regional governments has yet to be defined. For a unit on comparative politics or the Constitutional Convention, Russia's experience serves as a compelling case study.

Economic Reform: The collapse of the Soviet economic system opened the door to free-wheeling economic experimentation in Russia. Proponents of "shock therapy" unleashed the forces of the free market in 1992. Supporters of the Soviet system defended central planning and resisted privatization. The monetary controls and tax policies of reformers have fallen in and out of favor. For students of economic theory, Russia serves as a real-world laboratory. The post-Soviet economy contains elements of unfettered capitalism, communist state planning, and almost everything in between. In addition, Russia's experience invites comparisons with China's efforts toward economic reform.

Russian Revolution: Political crisis is certainly nothing new in Russia. Parallels to Russia's current turmoil can be found at several junctures of Russian history. For high school students, a particularly engaging exercise is to compare and contrast the trials of present-day Russia with the events of the Russian Revolution. The struggle of the provisional government in 1917 to establish a democratic framework provides a springboard for examining Russia's post-Soviet transition. Moreover, the political spectrum during the time of Alexander Kerensky's government offered Russians a range of choices that are similar to those presented today.

Societies in Crisis: The twentieth century contains numerous examples of modern, industrial societies that have experienced wrenching periods of crisis. For purposes of comparison, *Charting Russia's Future* best serves as a complement to the study of Weimar Germany, Depression-era America, and post-World War II Western Europe. In each of these cases, severe economic problems led societies to re-examine their political assumptions and reassess their roles in the world.

Russia's Troubled Legacy of Reform

Objectives:

Students will:

- Analyze the accomplishments and failures of key periods of change in Russian history.
- Identify the values that have shaped Russia's political development.
- Assume the roles of historical figures and articulate reasoned viewpoints from six key periods in Russian history.

Required Reading:

Before beginning the unit, students should have read the Introduction and Part I of the background reading in the Student Text (pages 1-11) and completed "Study Guide — Part I" in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB 4-5) or "Advanced Study Guide — Part I" (TRB-6).

Handouts:

- "Russia's Historical Legacy" (TRB-7) for the historical groups

In the Classroom:

1. Introduction — Explain to students that the unit they are beginning calls on them to wrestle with the most basic questions about the direction of Russian society. By way of comparison, ask students to consider the issues that faced the delegates to the Constitutional Convention. How do the challenges facing U.S. leaders in the 1780s compare to those confronting Russians today?

2a. Historical Groups — Form six groups of students. Assign each of the groups a historical figure from Part I of the background reading (i.e., either Peter the Great, Alexander II, Nicholas II, Lenin, Stalin, or Gorbachev). Distribute "Russia's Historical Legacy" to each group.

2b. Audience Members — The remainder of the class should develop questions to be directed to the historical figures. Explain that the second half of Day One will follow a television talk show format, with the groups representing historical figures making brief presentations and then fielding questions from the audience. (See "Russia's Historical Legacy") Audience members should draw on Part I of the background reading to draft at least two questions for each historical figure. For example, an appropriate question for Nicholas II would be: *In what respect did Russia's traditions impede your agricultural reforms?*

3. Managing the Simulation — After students have completed their preparations, call on the talk show hosts to take charge of the presentations for their respective groups. Remind those speaking to stay in their assigned roles. After each presentation, invite members of the audience to ask questions.

4. Guiding Discussion — After the role play, ask students to compare the six leaders. Were they linked by common goals? Did they confront common obstacles? What advice would each historical figure give Russia's leaders today? Emphasize that Russia's political development has often been shaped by values that contrast with those dominating our country's political culture. For examples, ask students to compare the values motivating Peter the Great with the values guiding the first generation of U.S. leaders. How did Russia's history and geography mold the worldview of Peter the Great and his contemporaries? Likewise, how did the Russian experience in the twentieth century influence the values underlying Gorbachev's *perestroika?*

Homework:

Students should read Part II of the background reading in the student text (pages 12-25) and complete "Study Guide — Part II" (TRB 9-10) or "Advanced Study Guide — Part II" (TRB-11).

Name: _____

Study Guide — Part I
Exploring Russia's Past

1. Why was Vladimir Lenin once a revered figure among Russians?

2. a. How has geography reinforced a sense of isolation in Russia?

   b. Why do Russians traditionally think of themselves as unique?

3. What three questions are Russian now considering about their future?

4. Modern Russian history can be divided into two main segments: the _____, which lasted from the _____ century to _____ and the _____ era that began in _____ and continued until _____.

5. List three things that the Soviet Union guaranteed its citizens.

   a.

   b.

   c.

6. Who was Alexander Kerensky?

7. Russia lacks a tradition of democracy. Explain why this is so.

8. Historically, where has change originated in Russia? What have been the driving forces behind change?

9. Fill in the chart below based on the background reading.

Ruler	Years of Rule	Major Policies, Accomplishments, or Failures
Peter the Great		
Alexander II		
Nicholas II		
Vladimir Lenin		
Josef Stalin		
Mikhail Gorbachev		

Name:

Advanced Study Guide — Part I
Exploring Russia's Past

1. What accounts for the belief held by many Russians that their country has a special mission in the world?

2. Why was Peter the Great eager to expose his country to the changes taking place in Western Europe?

3. In what ways did Russia become more Westernized under Alexander II?

4. How did Nicholas II try to control the forces pushing Russia toward revolution?

5. How did the reforms of Mikhail Gorbachev contribute to the collapse of the Soviet Union?

Russia's Historical Legacy

Your Assignment

Your group has been given the responsibility of making a brief presentation to your classmates about an important leader in Russian history. Your group's presentation will follow the format of a television talk show. Individual group members will explain the views of their assigned characters and then field questions from the audience. While members of your group are in front of the class, they are expected to play the role of their assigned characters.

Your group should review the section of Part I of the background reading that deals with the historical figure you have been assigned. You are also encouraged to make use of other resources, such as encyclopedias, to gather information about your historical figure.

Organizing Your Group

Each member of your group will take a specific role. Below is a brief explanation of the responsibilities for each role.

1. *Talk show host:* Your job is to organize and direct the overall presentation of your group. You should plan to ask the other members of your group a few questions. The questions should be designed to allow your partners to fully explain their roles to the class. Work closely with the other members of your group to develop good questions.

2. *Historical figure:* Your job is to play the role of the historical figure assigned to your group. You will respond to questions by your group's talk show host, taking no more than three minutes for your interview. You will then field questions from the audience. Prepare yourself to discuss the reforms that took place under your rule.

3. *Supporter of historical figure:* Your job is to play the role of a supporter of the historical figure assigned to your group. You will respond to questions by your group's talk show host, taking no more than three minutes for your interview. You will then field questions from the audience. You should begin your preparations by clearly defining your role. To which social class would a supporter of your group's historical figure most likely belong? What would be his or her occupation? What would be the basis for his or her support of your group's historical figure? Prepare yourself to answer these and other questions.

4. *Opponent of historical figure:* Your job is to play the role of an opponent of the historical figure assigned to your group. You will respond to questions by your group's talk show host, taking no more than three minutes for your interview. You will then field questions from the audience. You should begin your preparations by clearly defining your role. To which social class would an opponent of your group's historical figure most likely belong? What would be his or her occupation? What would be the basis for his or her opposition to your group's historical figure? Prepare yourself to answer these and other questions.

Changing Channels: Television and Culture in the New Russia

Objectives:

Students will:

- Assess Russia's transformation through the changing tastes of Russian television viewers.
- Compare the culture and values of ordinary Russians with those of Americans.
- Develop ideas for television programs designed to appeal to Russian viewers.

Required Reading:

Students should have read Part II of the background reading in the student text (pages 12-25) and completed "Study Guide — Part II" in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB 9-10) or "Study Guide — Part II" (TRB-11).

Handouts:

- "Tuning into Russian Television" (TRB 12-13)

In the Classroom:

1. Recalling the Soviet Era — Distribute "Tuning into Russian Television" to each student. Explain that television during the Soviet era emphasized news, cultural programming, and documentaries. Soviet-made films often stressed communist values, such as the sacrifice of World War II or commitment to economic development. As students read the program descriptions featured in the handout, ask them to consider how the programming reflects the broader changes that have taken place in Russian society.

2. Assessing Preferences and Values — After students have read the handout, call on them to share their responses to the "Questions for consideration." Suggest that they focus on the cultural legacy of the Soviet era. What aspects of the Soviet experience continue to be reflected in the viewing habits of Russians today? What has changed since the Soviet collapse? Ask students to compare the tastes of Russians viewers with those of the United States. What accounts for the differences? How is Russian television programming likely to change in the coming years? (Note that Russian broadcasters are increasingly unable to afford programming from the United States and other Western countries.)

3. Targeting the Russian Audience — Instruct students to imagine that they are developing programming for Russian television. Which American shows would be most popular in Russia? What factors would account for their appeal? Which popular programs in the United States would likely fail in Russia?

Extra Challenge:

Ask students to propose three new programs for the Russian Public Television network. Suggest that the proposed programs may be adaptations of existing American shows. Each proposal should include a brief description of the contents of the program, a profile of the target audience, and an explanation of why the program would appeal to Russian viewers.

Homework:

Students should read each of the three Futures in the student text (pages 26-32).

Study Guide — Part II
Exploring the New Russia

1. List three ways that Russia has changed since the end of the Soviet Union.

   a.

   b.

   c.

2. Describe the events that led to Vladimir Putin becoming the Russian President.

3. Identify and describe the ideology of the three main political groups in Russia today.

   a.

   b.

   c.

4. For the oligarchs, politics are less about _____ than about control of Russia's key_____, _____, and _____ institutions.

5. List three steps that President Putin has taken to control the power of regional leaders.

   a.

   b.

   c.

6. Explain how the war in Chechnya affected Putin's popularity in Russia.

7. True of false. Russia's economic reforms during the first-half of the 1990s were successful. Explain your answer.

8. List five economic challenges facing President Putin.

   a.

   b.

   c.

   d.

   e.

9. In human terms, the losers in Russia's transformation far outnumber the winners. One-third of the country's population gets by on less than _____ per month. Death rates for working age Russians are higher than they were_____. Life expectancy for males is _____. Experts believe that Russia's population could shrink by_____ to _____ million by 2025.

10. List two ways that the Russian military has been affected by its reduced budget since the end of the Soviet Union.

    a.

    b.

11. What two events have put the most strain on relations between the United States and Russia? Explain your answer.

12. How have the events of September 11, 2001 affected U.S.-Russian relations?

Advanced Study Guide — Part II
Exploring the New Russia

1. How do the views of the communists and nationalists in Russia differ from those of democratic reformers?

2. Why did the "shock therapy" program of economic reform encounter strong resistance?

3. How has Russia's economy changed since the collapse of the Soviet Union?

4. Why has Russia lost influence within the former Soviet Union?

5. What accounts for the change in Russia's relationship with the West since the fall of 2001?

Tuning into Russian Television

Introduction: Since the collapse of the Soviet Union, Russian television has changed dramatically. The three state-run networks of the Soviet era have been bought, at least in part, by private interests, and new stations have sprung up to offer competition. New programs have gone on the air that would not have been permitted under Soviet rule. Ratings and profits, not the demands of the Communist Party, now guide the decisions of television executives.

This exercise is designed to give you an insight into the tastes of Russian viewers. Below is a list of the most popular regular programs aired by Russia's leading broadcasters. In recent years, Russian Public Television has regained its position as Russia's top network by emphasizing entertainment programs over art and culture. As you read the program descriptions, consider how the programming reflects the broader changes that have taken place in Russian society.

KVN

Part talent show, part improvisational comedy, part quiz bowl, *KVN* puts the skills of several teams on display in a fast-paced competition. *KVN* (which stands for "smart and merry club") dates back to televised contests among clubs of university students in the 1970s. Even today, teams from throughout the former Soviet Union regularly compete.

Magic Field

Magic Field is an exciting and educational quiz show that touches on a broad range of intellectual topics, such as art, nature, and science. Contestants are chosen from a pool of applicants who have sent in a photo, a brief autobiography, and an original short story. Each show consists of three rounds: interaction with the studio audience, head-to-head competition, and a supergame for the winner. Contestants are encouraged by the host to demonstrate their inventiveness, sense of humor, and artistic ability.

What? When? Where?

The most successful teams from *KVN* (see the description of *KVN* above) make use of their wit to compete for entry into the "International Connoisseurs Club".

Windows

A tabloid talk show where the most intimate details of a guest's personal life are exposed before an audience.

White Parrot Club

The *White Parrot Club* brings together veteran Russian entertainers, cultural figures, and athletes around a well-stocked banquet table. The show's guests share their favorite jokes and personal experiences with each other and the studio audience.

Panorama of Laughter

Panorama of Laughter takes a serious look at the art of leading Russian stand-up comedians, past and present. Host Evgeny Petrosian examines the theory and history of stand-up comedy in a talk-show format with popular humorists and satirists. The show makes extensive use of film and audio archives dating from the Soviet period.

Gentlemen's Show

Gentlemen Show is a do-you-believe-it-or-not game. A team tells a far-fetched story while another team must decide if the story is true or not by asking questions.

Guess the Melody

A music quiz show, *Guess the Melody* calls on contestants to identify musical selections after hearing a few notes. The program features a wide spectrum of music, ranging from contemporary popular songs to classical compositions. Host Valdis Pelsh combines humor and wit with a serious approach to music as contestants compete for cash prizes. The presence of a full orchestra and a concert hall setting add to the cultural experience.

Songs of the Year

Songs of the Year showcases performances from the winners of Russia's annual pop music contest, as well as from other national favorites. The program has been a mainstay of Soviet and Russian television since the early 1970s.

Time

Time is Russia's official daily news broadcast covering the nation and the world. Both the name and format of the program date from the Soviet era.

Peaktime Hour

Each day's program consists of a twenty-minute live interview featuring a leading figure in the news.

Times

Times focuses on the problems of the new Russia. The talk show's host, Vladimir Pozner, grew up in the United States and brings an American style to Russian television. His guests represent a cross-section of Russian society, from politicians and business executives to scientists and artists.

The Weakest Link

A close copy of the program in the United States and Great Britain.

To Remember

Actors, films, and plays from the Soviet era are recalled and celebrated in *To Remember*.

Special Mission Unit

A popular mini-series showing the exploits of Russian special forces against terrorists in Chechnya.

While Everybody is at Home

Airing on Sunday morning, the show takes viewers into the homes of famous entertainers for light conversation, music, and household tips.

Questions for consideration:

1. What are some of the common themes of Russia's most highly rated programs?

2. What do the viewing habits of Russians tell you about Russian attitudes toward the Soviet past?

3. How do the tastes of Russian viewers differ from American preferences?

Exploring the Three Futures: Organization and Preparation

Objectives:

Students will:

- Analyze the issues that frame the debate on the direction of Russia's reform.
- Identify the core underlying values of the Futures.
- Integrate the arguments and beliefs of the Futures and the background reading into a persuasive, coherent presentation.
- Weigh the ramifications of the Futures from a Russian perspective.
- Work cooperatively within groups to organize effective presentations.

Handouts:

- "Presenting Your Future" in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB-15) for the Future groups
- "Expressing Key Values" (TRB-16) for the Future groups
- "Speaking for the Russian Electorate" (TRB 17-18) for the voter groups

In the Classroom:

1. Planning for Group Work — In order to save time in the classroom, form student groups before beginning Day Three. During the class period of Day Three, students will be preparing for the Day Four simulation. Remind them to incorporate the background reading into the development of their presentations and questions.

2a. Future Groups — Form three groups of four students. Assign a Future to each group. Distribute "Presenting Your Future" and "Expressing Key Values" to the three Future groups. Inform students that each Future group will be called on in Day Four to present the case for its assigned Future to a group of Russian voters. Explain that Future groups should follow the instructions in "Presenting Your Future." Note that the Future groups should begin by assigning each member a role.

2b. Voter Groups — Divide the remainder of the class into six groups and distribute "Speaking for the Russian Electorate" to each group. Assign each of the voter groups one of the following roles. (In smaller classes, groups may be assigned to more than one role.)

a. Yuri Popov — Factory worker
b. Alexander Medvedev — Businessman
c. Olga Bezrukhova — Farm worker
d. Anna Morozova — Diamond mine director
e. Galina Markova — Retired pensioner
f. Dmitri Krepkin — Soldier

While the Future groups are preparing their presentations, members of the voter groups should develop questions to be directed to the Future groups on Day Four. Each voter group should prepare at least five questions for each of the Futures. (See "Speaking for the Russian Electorate.") Remind voter groups that they are expected to turn in their questions at the end of the simulation.

Extra Challenge:

Ask the Future groups to design a campaign poster illustrating the best case for their Futures. Likewise, voter groups may be asked to design a poster or political cartoon expressing their concerns.

Homework:

Students should complete preparations for the simulation.

Presenting Your Future

Preparing Your Presentation

Your Assignment: Your group is scheduled to appear before a gathering of Russian voters. Your assignment is to persuade the voters that your Future should serve as Russia's guiding philosophy in the coming years. You will be judged on how well you present your Future.

Organizing Your Group: Each member of your group will take a specific role. Below is a brief explanation of the responsibilities for each role.

1. *Spokesperson:* Your job is to explain the position of your group to the voters in a three-to-five minute presentation. In preparing your presentation, you will receive help from the other members of your group. You should include arguments from their areas of expertise. Keep in mind, though, that you are expected to take the lead in organizing your group. Read your Future and review the background reading to build a strong case for your Future. The "Expressing Key Values" worksheet will help you organize your thoughts.

2. *Economic adviser:* Your job is to tell the spokesperson of your group why your Future is justified from an economic standpoint. You should draw on both history and current events to support your position. Read your Future, paying close attention to the paragraph on economic policy, and then review the sections of the background reading that deal with your area of expertise. The "Expressing Key Values" worksheet will help you organize your thoughts.

3. *Political adviser:* Your job is to tell the spokesperson of your group why your Future is justified from the standpoint of Russia's political development. You should draw on both history and current events to support your position. Read your Future, paying close attention to the paragraph on political policy, and then review the sections of the background reading that deal with your area of expertise. The "Expressing Key Values" worksheet will help you organize your thoughts.

4. *Foreign policy adviser:* Your job is to tell the spokesperson of your group why your Future is justified from a foreign policy standpoint. You should draw on both history and current events to support your position. Read your Future, paying close attention to the paragraph on foreign policy, and then review the sections of the background reading that deal with your area of expertise. The "Expressing Key Values" worksheet will help you organize your thoughts.

Making Your Case

After your preparations are complete, your spokesperson will deliver a three-to-five minute presentation to the gathering of Russian voters. The "Expressing Key Values" worksheet and other notes may be used, but the spokesperson should speak clearly and convincingly. During the presentation, you should try to identify the weak points of the other Futures. After all of the groups have presented their Futures, the voters will ask you questions. Any member of your group may respond during the question-and-answer period.

Name:

Expressing Key Values

The notion of values lies at the core of this unit. Each of the three Futures in this unit revolves around a distinct set of values The opening two paragraphs of your Future are devoted to making a convincing case for the values that are represented. The term "values," however, is not easy to define. Most often, we think of values in connection with our personal lives. Our attitudes toward our families, friends, and communities are a reflection of our personal values.

Values play a critical role in political decisions as well. In the United States, our country's political system and foreign policy have been shaped by a wide range of values. The high value many Americans place on freedom, democracy, and individual liberty rings loudly throughout U.S. history. In the 20th century especially, government policy has often promoted the values of stability, security, cooperation, and equal opportunity. Some values fit together well. Others are in conflict. Americans are constantly being forced to choose among competing values in our ongoing debate about public policy.

In today's time of upheaval, the people of Russia face similar challenges. Russians share many of the same values we espouse. Other fundamental Russian values, such as the acceptance of authority and the emphasis on economic equality, are less commonly held by Americans. Your job is to identify and explain the most important values of your Future. These values should be clearly expressed by every member of your group. This worksheet will help you organize your thoughts.

1. What are the two most important values underlying your Future?

   a.

   b.

2. According to the values of your Future, what should be Russia's role in the world?

3. Why should the values of your Future be the guiding force for setting Russia's course?

Speaking for the Russian Electorate

Understanding Your Role: Your group has been called upon to express the concerns of a Russian voter. You will take part in a forum for members of the Russian Duma. You will hear about three distinct political philosophies, or Futures, for Russia in the coming years. You are expected to evaluate each of the Futures from the perspective of the character your group has been designated to represent. Your group will be assigned one of the following roles.

1. Yuri Popov — Factory worker

You work in a large electronics factory in western Siberia. Your factory has suffered a sharp drop in orders in recent years, due mostly to cuts in military spending. Meanwhile, the consumer products your factory produces lack the quality of imports from East Asia and the West. Although the managers of your factory became the owners under privatization, there has been no investment in new technology. Instead, hundreds of your co-workers have been let go. In the meantime, your wages have not kept pace with inflation. This year, you were not paid at all for three months. You are unable to buy more than bread, potatoes, and cabbage for your family. At 52 years old and possessing few skills, your chances are poor of finding another job if you are laid off.

Your View: "What's happening now in Russia isn't reform. It's chaos. Russia has gone from being a powerful country that the world respected to being a beggar. I hold the so-called 'reformers' responsible for this. They are thieves who have stolen our country's wealth and stashed it abroad. If Russia is to get back on track, we have to remember what made us strong in the first place. Factories like the one I work in are the flesh and blood of our nation. They can't be allowed to close down."

2. Alexander Medvedev — Businessman

Since the collapse of communism, you have become involved in trade with Western Europe. You have been particularly successful at exporting Russian artwork and handicrafts. The nature of your work requires you to share your profits with organized crime, an arrangement that you accept as one of the costs of doing business. Although you are only 25 years old, your income is already six times as much as that of your parents, who are both engineers. Your business, which is based in Moscow, has allowed you to travel in Western Europe and buy Western goods that the great majority of Russians cannot afford.

Your View: "People who want to return to the old days of the Soviet Union have conveniently forgotten how limited our lives were back then. There was no opportunity for someone to advance using his or her own talents. Learning about the world outside of the Soviet bloc was a struggle. Travelling to Western Europe was almost out of the question. Now at least we have a chance to succeed. Of course, life is tough for many of my relatives and neighbors, but in time things will improve. Regardless, there's no turning back. There's no place for the Soviet system in today's world."

3. Olga Bezrukhova — Farm worker

You work on a large state-run wheat farm in southern Russia, not far from the Black Sea. Life on the farm has always been difficult, but in recent years conditions have worsened. Spare parts for broken farm equipment and storage facilities seldom arrive. Each year, your farm loses a big share of its grain to rot and mice. At 31 years old, you are lucky to at least have a two-acre plot of your own to grow vegetables and raise chickens for your family. You are also able to sell the surplus in a nearby city.

Your View: "I don't claim to be an expert on politics. I only know what I see personally, and what I see tells me that Russia needs to put its house in order. Our state-run farm has always been poorly managed. Now it's worse than ever. Even in a good year we lose money. The politicians in Moscow can't be bothered with the people in the countryside. They're too busy filling their pockets with bribes. But in the end, Russia needs us. How can Russia survive without wheat? It's humiliating to beg for grain from the United States. The politicians have to give our farm the supplies and equipment we need. I also want to farm more land on my own. I'm not afraid to work hard if the politicians ever get around to writing proper laws on the buying and selling land."

4. Anna Morozova — Diamond mine director

You are the director of a diamond mine in the region of Sakha in eastern Siberia. Your mine is located in an area that contains one of Russia's richest deposits of diamonds. You have been approached by several Western investors hoping to enter into a partnership with your enterprise. They have pledged to provide your mine with new technology that could double production. The authorities in Moscow, however, have limited your decision-making power and blocked foreign investment. At 45 years old, you earn a good salary compared to most Russians, but you are poorly paid by international standards.

Your View: "As far as I'm concerned, Russia needs to go forward, not backward. Our mine has an opportunity to turn around the economy of a good portion of Sakha. The main force holding us back is Moscow. The newly rich tycoons and bureaucrats there have managed to get their hands on almost everything of value in this country, including the biggest share of our enterprise. Their interest is to squeeze as much money out of this mine as possible, without putting a kopek back in new equipment. Instead of allowing us to get technology from abroad, they've scared away foreign investors with absurd regulations, high taxes, and Mafia intimidation. Before Russia can get ahead, we have to break Moscow's hold over the economy."

5. Galina Markova — Retired pensioner

You are a 74-year-old widow living off a government pension in northern Russia. During your working years, you and your husband were employed at a textile factory and earned below-average salaries. Like almost all Russians at the time, you saved only a few hundred rubles for emergencies and expected the government to provide for you during your retirement. Now that the Soviet system has collapsed, most of your old assumptions are no longer valid. The purchasing power of your pension has shrunk over recent years to the point that today you can afford little more than bread and tea. With no children and your husband deceased, you may have no choice but to turn to your sister's family for help.

Your View: "I've never asked much from life, but I expected that I would at least be able to live out my final years in peace and comfort. Now everything has fallen to pieces. I worked for almost fifty years and what do I have to show for it? I can't even afford sugar for my tea. It's not right. Meanwhile, these Mafia thugs have new cars and more money than they know what to do with. Everyday they're killing each other, as well as innocent people. They want me to sell my apartment to them so they can resell it for a profit. I told them that they'll have to kill me first. What a country we live in. I don't understand politics. All I know is that these changes have come from the devil."

6. Dmitri Krepkin — Soldier

You are a 30-year-old tank commander in the Russian army. In 2002, your unit was sent to fight in Chechnya. You lost many of your friends during an ambush by Chechen guerilla fighters. For the moment, your unit has returned to its base in western Russia. Because housing is scarce in the town where you are stationed, you, your wife, and your baby daughter are forced to share a one-bedroom apartment with another soldier and his family. If the war in Chechnya reignites, you will probably be sent into combat again. Meanwhile, you worry that you may lose your position altogether due to cutbacks in the military.

Your View: "Like most young people, I welcomed the changes that began with *perestroika* and *glasnost*. I thought that our everyday lives would be better and that we'd have a chance to see the world. I guess we were naive. Look what's happened to the army. In Chechnya, our troops were led into a slaughterhouse by stupid leaders. There was no organization, no planning. Our equipment was breaking down. This would have never happened during Soviet times. Can you imagine these Chechen bandits challenging the power of the Red Army? Never! Something has to be done to restore our country's discipline and self-respect."

Your Assignment: Your group should prepare at least five questions for each Future from the perspective of your assigned character. The questions should reflect the values, concerns, and interests of your assigned role. For example, an appropriate question about Future 1 from Yuri Popov would be: *Where would the investment and resources for our factory come from in the economic system that you propose?* On Day Four, you will have an opportunity to address some of your questions to the Future groups. At the end of the activity, you will be expected to turn in your questions.

Exploring the Three Futures: Debate and Discussion

Objectives:

Students will:
- Articulate the leading values that frame the debate on Russia's future.
- Explore, debate, and evaluate multiple perspectives on Russia's future.
- Sharpen rhetorical skills through debate and discussion.
- Cooperate with classmates in staging a persuasive presentation.

Handouts:

- "Evaluation Form" in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB-20) for the voter groups

In the Classroom:

1. Setting the Stage — Organize the room so that the Future groups face the voter groups. Distribute "Evaluation Form" to the voter groups. Instruct the voter groups to fill out the first part of their "Evaluation Form" during the course of the period. The second part of the worksheet should be completed as homework.

2. Managing the Simulation — Explain that the simulation will begin with three-to-five minute presentations by the spokespersons for the Future groups. Encourage the spokespersons to speak clearly and convincingly.

3. Guiding Discussion — Following the presentations, invite members of the voter groups to ask cross-examination questions. Make sure that each voter has an opportunity to ask at least one question. The questions should be evenly distributed among all three Future groups. If time permits, encourage members of the Future groups to challenge the positions of competing groups. During cross-examination, allow any Future group member to respond. (As an alternative approach, permit questions after each Future is presented.)

Homework:

Students should review each of the three Futures in the student text (pages 26-32), then moving beyond the Futures they should fill out "Focusing Your Thoughts" (TRB-22).

Name:

Evaluation Form
Russian Voters

Instructions: Answer the questions below from the perspective of your assigned role.

1. According to each Future, what principles should guide Russia's economic policy?

   Future 1:

   Future 2:

   Future 3:

2. According to each Future, what principles should guide the development of Russia's political system?

   Future 1:

   Future 2:

   Future 3:

3. According to each Future, what principles should guide Russia's foreign policy?

   Future 1:

   Future 2:

   Future 3:

4. How would you be affected by the proposed course of action of each Future?

   Future 1:

   Future 2:

   Future 3:

5. Which of the three Futures would you support most strongly? Explain your reasoning.

Charting Russia's Future

Objectives:

Students will:

- Articulate a coherent political program for Russia based on personally held values and historical understanding.
- Compare and contrast values and assumptions with classmates.
- Organize individual views into an effective persuasive essay.
- Offer reasoned arguments to support policy recommendations.

Required Reading:

Students should have read each of the three Futures in the student text (pages 26-32) and completed "Focusing Your Thoughts" in the Teacher Resource Book (TRB-22).

Handouts:

- *"Dorogiye Gospada"* (TRB-23)
- "Russia Today — What is to be Done?" (TRB-24)

In the Classroom:

1. Analyzing Beliefs — Call on the voter groups to share their evaluations of the Future groups. Which arguments were most convincing? Which beliefs were most appealing? To what extent did the Futures address the concerns of Russians? Review the beliefs in "Focusing Your Thoughts," noting the relationship between the beliefs and the Futures.

2. Introducing "Dorogiye Gospada" — Distribute *"Dorogiye Gospada"* (Dear Ladies and Gentlemen). Emphasize that the assignment in *"Dorogiye Gospada"* calls on students to imagine that they are appealing to Russian voters to support their program. The writing should be in the style of a campaign speech — persuasive and inspiring. Instruct students to use "Focusing Your Thoughts" as the basis for answering the questions of *"Dorogiye Gospada."*

3. Sharing Ideas — As students begin formulating their ideas for *"Dorogiye Gospada,"* ask them to share their thoughts with the class. Which beliefs do students find most appealing? Which lessons from history seem most relevant? Ask students to consider their recommendations from the perspective of the roles assigned to the voter groups during the simulation. How would the views of the retired widow differ from those of the farm worker? What issues are most likely to create divisions within the Russian electorate? What factors account for the appeal of communists and hard-line nationalists?

4. Coping with Crisis — Distribute "Russia Today — What is to be Done?" Review with the class the immediate problems facing Russia's President, Vladimir Putin. Call on students to suggest strategies for coping with Russia's latest crisis, based on the principles they outlined in *"Dorogiye Gospada."* Which problems should be given the highest priority? Which will be most difficult to solve? Are Russia's economic difficulties likely to spark political unrest? Note that surveys indicate that Russians are generally pessimistic about their country's future. Is their pessimism justified? What are the grounds for optimism?

Homework:

Students should complete *"Dorogiye Gospada."*

Name:

Focusing Your Thoughts

Instructions: You have had an opportunity to consider three Futures for Russia from a Russian point of view. Now it is your turn to look at each of the Futures from your own perspective. Try each one on for size. Think about how the Futures address the concerns and hopes of the Russian people. You will find that each has its own risks and trade-offs, advantages and disadvantages.

Ranking the Futures

Which of the Futures below do you prefer? Rank the Futures, with "1" being the best Future for Russia to follow.

___ **Future 1: Restore State Control**

___ **Future 2: Proceed with Firmness**

___ **Future 3: Look to the West**

Beliefs

Considering the statements below will help you complete the worksheet "Dorogiye Gospada." Rate each of the statements according to your personal beliefs:

1 = Strongly Support
2 = Support
3 = Oppose
4 = Strongly Oppose
5 = Undecided

___ Russia and the countries of the West share a common set of goals and interests.

___ There will always be countries eager to take advantage of Russia's weaknesses.

___ Rapid change inevitably leads to problems.

___ The government's emphasis on military power has historically harmed the Russian people.

___ The political and economic systems of the West are destined to sweep over the entire world.

___ Russia can advance only if political passions are put aside.

___ Social stability and economic security are more important than individual freedoms.

___ Without a strong state, Russia would have long ago been crushed by invaders.

___ Unity with other Slavic peoples is vital for Russia's security and prosperity.

Creating Your Own Future

Your next challenge is to create a Future that reflects your own beliefs and opinions. You may borrow heavily from one Future, or you may combine ideas from two or three Futures. Or you may take a new approach altogether. There are, of course, no perfect solutions. And there is no right or wrong answer. Rather, you should strive to craft a Future that is logical and persuasive. Be careful of contradictions. For example, you should not encourage foreign investment from the United States and Western Europe if you believe that Russia's long-term interests are in conflict with those of the West.

"Dorogiye Gospada" (Dear Ladies and Gentlemen)

Instructions: You are interested in running for a seat in the Duma, the lower house of the Russian Parliament. In this exercise, you will outline a campaign speech based on your own ideas for Russia's future. Your responses to "Focusing Your Thoughts" should help you identify the guiding principles of your speech.

1. Write an introduction to your campaign speech that expresses the basic principles of your political philosophy for Russia.

2. Explain your proposals for Russia's economic development.

3. Explain your proposals for Russia's political system.

4. Explain your proposals for Russia's foreign policy.

5. In conclusion, explain how Russia will change over the next ten years if your proposals are adopted.

Russia Today — What is to be Done?*

Introduction: The election of Vladimir Putin as President of Russia has sparked debate about Russia's future. In addition to questions about economic principles and foreign policy goals, the Russian government now faces immediate problems on several fronts. Below is a summary of the main issues that have emerged in the wake of Putin's rise to power. Drawing from the campaign speech you outlined in *"Dorogiye Gospada,"* how would you address Russia's most pressing challenges?

Infrastructure decay: Russia's Soviet-era electrical plants, its roads, and much of the housing are in desparate need of maintenance and upkeep. Without attention to these problems Russia faces potential power shortages that could harm the economy and increase the hardship of Russia's already suffering citizens.

Power of the oligarchs: A handful of powerful men control a huge percentage of Russia's economy which they obtained under dubious circumstances. They control some of the media and hold great influence over many politicians to preserve their tax breaks and sweetheart economic deals. They send much of the money they make out of the country, while over a third of the country remains in poverty.

Declining birth rates: Economic uncertainty and increasing death rates due to disease, malnutrition, and a lack of health care have led to a population that is shrinking by about 750,000 per year. Russia's population is expected to drop by one-third in the next 25 years.

Military under threat: The economic crisis has further undercut the ability of the Russian army to feed, clothe, and pay its troops. Rates of desertion are climbing. An ongoing civil war in Chechnya, which many Russians see as a fight against criminals and international terrorists, continues to claim the lives of Russian soldiers.

Democracy or a strong state: The economic hardships and humiliations of the Yeltsin years have led people to distrust the government's ability to accomplish any task. Many Russians long for a firm hand to stamp out corruption, to reduce the power of the oligarchs, and to defeat the Chechens. Others fear that the same firm hand might take away their newly found freedoms, such as the right to criticize the government in the press.

Defiant regions: Regional leaders have acted more independently as the economic clout of the central government has declined. Many believe that their regions may be better offer without Moscow's involvement.

Partnership with the West: Russian relations with the United States have seen increased cooperation since September 11, 2001. Nevertheless, important questions remain. Should NATO be allowed to expand into the former-Soviet Baltic states?

* *What is to be Done?* was the title of an essay written in 1902 by Lenin, in which he outlined the role of professional revolutionaries in carrying out a communist revolution in Russia.

Exploring Russia's Constitution

Objectives:

Students will:

- Identify rights protected in the Russia Constitution and explore the values behind these rights.
- Compare and contrast the U.S. and Russian Constitutions.
- Articulate their views on individual rights and the purpose of government.
- Understand how the Russian Constitution affects the structure and power of government in Russia.

Required Reading:

Students should have read the excerpts from the Russian Constitution in the student text (pages 49-58). Students may also want to review the U.S. Constitution.

In the Classroom:

1. *Compare and Contrast*—Ask students to examine "Chapter 1. Fundamentals of the Constitutional System" in the student text (pages 51-55). How does the structure of the Russian political system compare with that of the U.S.? Does the introduction to Chapter 1 sound familiar? Why? Chapter 4, Article 93 outlines the impeachment process. How does it differ from the process in the U.S. Constitution? Chapter 4, article 81 lists the qualifications for president. What differences are there from the U.S. requirements?

2. *Identifying Rights*—Ask students to refer to "Chapter 2. Rights and Liberties of Man and Citizen" in the student text (pages 50-54). Ask students to identify rights guaranteed to Russian citizens that are also found in the U.S. Constitution. What are some of the rights guaranteed to Russian citizens that are not in the U.S. Constitution? Article 26 guarantees everyone the right to his native language. Do students think that would be an appropriate clause for the U.S. Constitution? Why is it a clause in the Russian Constitution? How do students react to article 38 and the responsibility that it places on adult children?

3. *Debating the Role of Government*—The Russian Constitution guarantees the right to a home, health care, a favorable environment, and education. Why do students suppose these rights were not included by the Founders of this country in the U.S. Constitution? Should any government guarantee these rights to its citizens? What are the pros and cons of such a guarantee?

4. *Identifying Values*—Ask students to identify the values that they see in the Russian Constitution. How does the U.S. Constitution reflect the core values of the United States? What values are shared? What values are not? Are there any implications in these similarities and differences?

Extra Challenge:

In general, the Russian Constitution is much more specific than the U.S. Constitution. What are the advantages and the perils of being more detailed in such a document?

Key Terms

Part I: Lessons from Russia's Past

communism
communist
government planning
state-run economic system
party officials
ideology
class struggle
collective interests of the state
authoritarianism
provisional government
Western-style parliamentary democracy
individual rights
the state
serfs
feudalism
industrializing
peasants
nobles
representative assembly
civil rights
nationalize
autocracy
bureaucratic control
private ownership
command economy
ideological successor
purges
Cold War
economic reform
perestroika
foreign investment
free-market forces
price controls
glasnost
gross domestic product
foreign policy
nuclear arms control
the West
totalitarianism

Part II: Exploring the New Russia

coup
multi-party democracy
centrally planned economy
foreign policy
political spectrum
international relations
political opposition
oligarchs
liberal democracy
nationalist
individualism
materialism
business empire
central government
guerrilla forces
human rights abuses
shock therapy
government subsidies
world market
imports
productivity
research and development
raw materials
ecological
retailing
manufacturing
entrepreneurs
black market
financial services
monopoly
privatization
tax breaks
per capita income
Communist Party elite
inflation
developing economies
heavy industry
sphere of influence
economic union
barriers to trade
Islamic fundamentalism
NATO
Soviet satellite countries
alliance
nuclear arsenal
foreign debt
international community

Charting Russia's Future
Issues Toolbox

Socialism: Socialism is a political and economic system in which resources, property, and income are distributed subject to social control rather than individual or market forces.

Marxism-Leninism: A form of socialism that for much of the twentieth century was in competition with capitalism. In their book, the *Communist Manifesto,* Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels outlined a form of scientific socialism in which the workers of the world would unite against capitalist exploitation. Lenin adapted and added to Marx's ideas. He believed that workers needed leadership in the form of the Communist Party and state to implement socialism.

The state: The institutions and organizations of government. Different political systems have assigned different roles to the state. In the Soviet Union, Lenin believed that the power of the state should be absolute and that it should have control over all aspects of its citizens' lives in order to achieve communism.

Cold War: The Cold War was the dominant foreign policy problem for the United States and Russia between the late 1940s and the late 1980s. Following the defeat of Hitler in 1945, Soviet-U.S. relations began to deteriorate. The United States adopted a policy of containing the spread of Soviet communism around the world, which led to, among other things, U.S. involvement in Vietnam. During this period both Russia and the United States devoted vast resources to their military, but never engaged in direct military action against each other. Because both the Soviet Union and the United States had nuclear weapons and were in competition around the world, nearly every foreign policy decision was intricately examined for its potential impact on U.S.-Soviet relations. The end of the Cold War forced policy makers to struggle to define a new guiding purpose for their foreign policy.

NATO: The North Atlantic Treaty Organization was founded in 1949 to ensure the defense of member nations against a perceived threat from the Soviet Union and its satellite countries. The alliance included the United States and Canada as well as countries in Europe. During the Cold War, NATO forces faced the forces of the Warsaw Pact (the Soviet Union and its allies) across the border of West and East Germany. With the end of the Cold War, the Warsaw Pact dissolved. NATO no longer had an adversary. The inclusion of former Warsaw Pact members in NATO has angered many in Russia, who see NATO as an organization whose sole purpose is to oppose Russian interests. Nonetheless, NATO and Russia have begun to meet regularly to discuss cooperation and military issues of mutual concern.

Making Choices Work in Your Classroom

Like the art of cooking, cooperative group learning is a skill that is rarely perfected on the first attempt, either by teachers or students. Yet with careful preparation, guidance, and practice significant gains can be made quickly. No single recipe guarantees success with cooperative learning or the Choices approach in every classroom. That would be impossible, since each classroom differs in its organization and size, and its unique collection of personalities and needs. However, this section of the Teacher's Resource Book offers a variety of ingredients for teachers to use as they adapt Choices curricula to their classrooms. The suggested ingredients that follow have been drawn from educational research on student-centered instruction and, more important, from the experiences of teachers who have used Choices curricula successfully in their classrooms over the years. Educators who have questions about using Choices curricula in their classrooms are encouraged to contact the Choices Education Program by e-mail (Choices@Brown.edu) or by calling (401)863-3155. The Choices staff includes experienced classroom teachers who will be pleased to speak with you.

Designing Cooperative Learning Groups

Group Size: The key to successful cooperative group work is having a group assignment that is complex enough to require the participation of all group members. Planning the size and composition of working groups in advance is crucial to the successful use of Choices curricula. Research indicates that the ideal size for a cooperative learning group is four or five students. This is certainly the ideal size for group assignments in Choices units. When using Choices units in larger classes, the size of option groups may be expanded to six or seven students. However, it is important to keep in mind that whenever the number of students in a group is increased, the number of roles and expected outcomes must also be expanded.

Group Composition: A strength of cooperative learning is that it creates opportunities for students to work together in new combinations on challenging tasks. Cooperative learning not only requires the academic skills of reading, writing, and critical thinking, but the interpersonal skills of communication, negotiation, and problem-solving. In most cases, this style of instruction and learning is most effective when students are assigned to groups by the teacher rather than being allowed to work with their friends. While random group selection can be effective, in most classes successful group composition requires that the teacher consider the personalities, strengths, and needs of the students.

Groups comprised of students with diverse strengths, talents, and needs are ideal. For example, artistic students might be assigned to different groups to share their talents and perspectives, while less verbal students could be placed in groups with more outgoing students to help draw them into the lesson. Whenever possible, teachers should try to prevent one student from dominating a group. Explaining the instructions, roles, and ground rules for cooperative learning (see below) helps prevent this. Teachers may choose to group aggressive students with each other, leaving room in other groups for less assertive students to emerge as leaders. Finally, even though the negotiation of roles among the students in a group can be a valuable part of the cooperative learning experience, teachers might choose to assign certain roles, such as group spokesperson, in order to encourage leadership from more reticent students and to keep more aggressive students from dominating.

Students may initially complain about being assigned to groups that do not include their closest friends. We have found that, at the conclusion of the assignment, they will usually express satisfaction with their assigned partners, recognize that they got more accomplished, and sometimes even acknowledge the start of new friendships. The additional time involved in planning group size and composition will pay off when students are actively engaged, debating, and thinking critically.

Preparing Students for Cooperative Learning

Provide Clear Written Instructions: As with any assignment, students benefit from instructions and guidelines that clearly outline expectations and how they will be accomplished. These should be given to students in writing and reviewed with the class. Afterwards, as questions arise within groups, students should refer to the written instructions and attempt to answer each other's questions before asking for the teacher's assistance.

Establish Ground Rules: Especially when cooperative learning is a new experience, students benefit from the establishment of ground rules that are explained before groups are formed. Ground rules must be kept simple, and should be designed to keep students involved and on task. Posting these rules in a prominent place in the classroom can be very effective. An example of simple but effective ground rules for the cooperative group assignments could be:

Everybody has a role (or a job)
Everybody participates
Nobody dominates
Nobody interrupts

These rules can be enforced by appointing one student to serve as the group manager. The teacher can make it clear that one of the group manager's responsibilities is to enforce the ground rules as the group explores its assigned option and prepares its presentation. The group manager might also be required to make sure that members of the group stay on task and attempt to solve problems before asking for the teacher's assistance. Ground rules that are clear and used consistently can, over time, become an integral component of the classroom, facilitating learning and keeping students on task.

Managing the Choices Simulation

Recognize Time Limitations: At the heart of the Choices approach is the role-play simulation in which students advocate different options, question each other, and debate. Just as thoughtful preparation is necessary to set the stage for cooperative group learning, careful planning for the presentations and debate can increase the effectiveness of the simulation. Time is the essential ingredient to keep in mind. A minimum of 45 to 50 minutes is necessary for the presentations and debate. Hence, if only one class period is available, student groups must be ready as soon as class begins. Teachers who have been able to schedule a double period or extend the length of class to one hour report that the extra time is beneficial. When necessary, the role-play simulation can be run over two days, but this disrupts the momentum of the debate. The best strategy for managing the role-play is to establish and enforce strict time limits, such as five minutes for each option presentation, ten minutes for questions and challenges, and the final five minutes of class for wrapping up the debate. It is crucial to make students aware of strict time limits as they prepare their presentations.

Highlight the Importance of Values: During the debate and debriefing, it is important to highlight the role of values in the options. Students should be instructed to identify the core values and priorities underlying the different options.

Moving Beyond the Options

As a culminating activity of a Choices unit, students are expected to articulate their own views of the issue under consideration. An effective way to move beyond the options debate to creating individual options is to have students consider which values in the options framework they hold most dear. Typically, students will hold several of these values simultaneously and will need to prioritize them to reach a considered judgment about the issue at hand. These values should be reflected in their own options and should shape the goals and policies they advocate.

Adjusting for Large and Small Classes

Choices units are designed for an average class of twenty-five students. In larger classes, additional roles, such as those of newspaper reporter or member of a special interest group, can be assigned to increase student participation in the simulation. With larger option groups, additional tasks might be to create a poster, political cartoon, or public service announcement that represents the viewpoint of an option. In smaller classes, the teacher can serve as the moderator of the debate, and administrators, parents, or faculty can be invited to play the roles of congressional leaders. Another alternative is to combine two small classes.

Assessing Student Achievement

Grading Group Assignments: Research suggests that it is counterproductive to give students individual grades on cooperative group assignments. A significant part of the assignment given to the group is to cooperate in achieving a common goal, as opposed to looking out for individual interests. Telling students in advance that the group will receive one grade often motivates group members to hold each other accountable. This can foster group cohesion and lead to better group results. It may be useful to note that in addition to the cooperative group assignments, students complete individual assignments as well in every Choices unit. The "Assessment Guide for Oral Presentations" on the following page is designed to help teachers evaluate group presentations.

Requiring Self-Evaluation: Having students complete self-evaluations is an extremely effective way to make them think about their own learning. Self-evaluations can take many forms and are useful in a variety of circumstances. They are particularly helpful in getting students to think constructively about group collaboration. In developing a self-evaluation tool for students, teachers need to pose clear and direct questions to students. Two key benefits of student self-evaluation are that it involves students in the assessment process, and that it provides teachers with valuable insights into the contributions of individual students and the dynamics of different groups. These insights can help teachers to organize groups for future cooperative assignments.

Evaluating Student Options: The most important outcomes of a Choices unit are the original options developed and articulated by each student. These will differ significantly from one another, as students identify different values and priorities that shape their viewpoints. These options cannot be graded as right or wrong, but should be evaluated on clarity of expression, logic, and thoroughness. Did the student provide reasons for his/her viewpoint along with supporting evidence? Were the values clear and consistent throughout the option? Did the student identify the risks involved? Did the student present his/her option in a convincing manner?

Testing: In a formal evaluation of the Choices approach, it was demonstrated that students using Choices learned the factual information presented as well as or better than students who were taught in a more traditional lecture-discussion format. However, the larger benefits of the Choices approach were evident when students using Choices demonstrated significantly higher ability to think critically, analyze multiple perspectives, and articulate original viewpoints, compared to students who did not use this approach. Teachers should hold students accountable for learning historical information, concepts, and current events presented in Choices units. However, a simple multiple-choice examination will not allow students to demonstrate the critical thinking and communication skills developed through the Choices unit. If teachers choose to test students, they may wish to explore new models of test design that require students to do more than recognize correct answers. Tests should not replace the development of student options.

For Further Reading: Cohen, Elizabeth G. *Designing Groupwork: Strategies for the Heterogeneous Classroom* (New York: Teachers College Press, 1986).

Assessment Guide for Oral Presentations

Group assignment: _____

Group members: _____

_____

Group Assessment	*Excellent*	*Good*	*Average*	*Needs Improvement*	*Unsatisfactory*
1. The group made good use of its preparation time	5	4	3	2	1
2. The presentation reflected analysis of the issues under consideration	5	4	3	2	1
3. The presentation was coherent and persuasive	5	4	3	2	1
4. The group incorporated relevant sections of the background reading into its presentation	5	4	3	2	1
5. The group's presenters spoke clearly, maintained eye contact, and made an effort to hold the attention of their audience	5	4	3	2	1
6. The presentation incorporated contributions from all the members of the group	5	4	3	2	1
Individual Assessment					
1. The student cooperated with other group members	5	4	3	2	1
2. The student was well-prepared to meet his or her responsibilities	5	4	3	2	1
3. The student made a significant contribution to the group's presentation	5	4	3	2	1

Alternative Three-Day Lesson Plan

Day 1: See Day One of the Suggested Five-Day Lesson Plan. (Students should have read Part I of the background reading and completed "Study Guide — Part I" before beginning the unit.)
Homework: Students should read Part II of the background reading and complete "Study Guide — Part II."

Day 2: Assign each student one of the three Futures, and allow a few minutes for students to familiarize themselves with the mindsets of the Futures. Call on students to evaluate the benefits and trade-offs of their assigned Futures. How do the Futures differ in their overall philosophies? Ask students to respond to a current issue, such as economic uncertainty, the development of Russia's energy industry, or NATO expansion from the perspective of their assigned Futures. Moving beyond the Futures, ask students to imagine they are Russian voters. Which beliefs should guide Russia's economic policy and political development? Which international concerns should rank at the top of the Russian foreign policy agenda?
Homework: Students should complete "Focusing Your Thoughts."

Day 3: See Day Five of the Suggested Five-Day Lesson Plan.

Notes

Order Form

	Student Texts* (15+ copies)	Teacher Set*	Quantity	Subtotal
Global Studies				
Responding to Terrorism: Challenges for Democracy	$7/copy	$15		
Global Environmental Problems: Implications for U.S. Policy	$7/copy	$15		
U.S. Trade Policy: Competing in a Global Economy	$7/copy	$15		
U.S. Immigration Policy in an Unsettled World	$7/copy	$15		
Dilemmas of Foreign Aid: Debating U.S. Priorities, Policies, & Practices	$7/copy	$15		
Keeping the Peace in an Age of Conflict: Debating the U.S. Role	$7/copy	$15		
The International System in the 21st Century: Considering the U.S. Role	$7/copy	$15		
World History and Area Studies				
Conquest, Conflict, and Commerce: The Colonial Experience in the Congo	$7/copy	$15		
Crisis, Conscience, and Choices: Weimar Germany and the Rise of Hitler	$7/copy	$15		
Shifting Sands: Balancing U.S. Interests in the Middle East	$7/copy	$15		
Charting Russia's Future	$7/copy	$15		
Russia's Uncertain Transition: Challenges for U.S. Policy	$7/copy	$15		
China on the World Stage: Weighing the U.S. Response	$7/copy	$15		
Caught between Two Worlds: Mexico at the Crossroads	$7/copy	$15		
United States History				
A More Perfect Union: Shaping American Government	$7/copy	$15		
Challenges to the New Republic: Prelude to the War of 1812	$7/copy	$15		
Reluctant Colossus: America Enters the Age of Imperialism	$7/copy	$15		
Ending the War against Japan: Science, Morality, and the Atomic Bomb	$7/copy	$15		
Coming to Terms with Power: U.S. Choices after World War II	$7/copy	$15		
The Cuban Missile Crisis: Considering its Place in Cold War History	$7/copy	$15		
The Limits of Power: The United States in Vietnam	$7/copy	$15		

Subtotal _____

add 7% for shipping and handling ($3.50 minimum) _____

TOTAL _____

***Choices gives you a choice**

Teacher sets include a reproducible student text and a teacher's guide. You are welcome to make as many copies of the student text as you need for your students. The two-book set is available for $15. You may also **download** teacher sets for a fee at www.choices.edu.

With an order of a classroom set of **student texts** (15 or more of the same unit), the price per copy falls to $7each. One teacher's resource book is included free with each classroom set.

Make checks payable to: Brown University

Return to: Choices Education Program
Watson Institute for International Studies
Brown University, Box 1948
Providence, RI 02912

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Name _____

Course title(s) _____

School/Org. _____

Street _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____

E-mail Address _____

Charting Russia's Future

Charting Russia's Future engages students in the soul-searching redefinition of Russia's identity by asking them to view Moscow's economic, political, and foreign policy choices through Russian eyes.

Charting Russia's Future is part of a continuing series on current and historical international issues published by the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program at Brown University. Choices materials place special emphasis on the importance of educating students in their participatory role as citizens.

THE **CHOICES** PROGRAM

Choices for the 21st Century Education Program
WATSON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES
BROWN UNIVERSITY, BOX 1948, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912
WWW.CHOICES.EDU

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Charting Russia's Future

THE **CHOICES** PROGRAM

Explore the Past... Shape the Future

History and Current Issues for the Classroom

WATSON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES
BROWN UNIVERSITY WWW.CHOICES.EDU

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Charting Russia's Future was developed by the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program staff with the assistance of the research staff of the Watson Institute for International Studies, scholars at Brown University, and several other experts in the field. We wish to thank the following researchers for their invaluable input:

Dominique Arel, *Assistant Professor (Research),*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Deana Arsenian, *Former Assistant Director,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Douglas W. Blum, *Associate Professor of Political Science*
Providence College

Mark Garrison, *Senior Fellow,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Abbott Gleason, *Professor of History, Brown University*

Leonid Kistersky, *E. L. Wiegand Distinguished Visiting Professor*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Sergei N. Khrushchev, *Senior Fellow,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

William Rose, *Associate Professor of Political Science*
Connecticut College

Robert Seely, *Visiting Fellow in International Studies,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Stephen Shenfield, Former *Assistant Professor (Research),*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

Olga Velikanova, *Visiting Fellow in International Studies,*
Watson Institute for International Studies, Brown University

To Michael Barton of Kingsboro, Massachusetts, Patricia Clancy of Vernon, New Jersey, and Ron Levitsky of Northfield, Illinois, we extend our appreciation for helping us to revise the format of Choices units to make them responsive to a wider variety of student learning styles.

Special thanks to Sergei Task of Moscow, Russia for his assistance.

Charting Russia's Future is part of a continuing series on public policy issues. New units are published each academic year, and all units are updated regularly.

Visit us on the World Wide Web —
www.choices.edu

CHOICES
November 2002

Director
Susan Graseck

Capitol Forum Program Coordinator
Barbara Shema

Curriculum Developer
Andy Blackadar

Curriculum Writer
Sarah Cleveland Fox

Educational Technology Designer
Zoë Agnew

International Education Intern
Langan Courtney

Office Assistant
Jessica White

Professional Development Coordinator
Lucy Mueller

Staff Associate
Anne Campau Prout

The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program develops curricula on current and historical international issues and offers workshops, institutes, and in-service programs for high school teachers. Course materials place special emphasis on the importance of educating students in their participatory role as citizens.

The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program is a program of the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown University.

Thomas J. Biersteker
Director, Watson Institute for International Studies

Contents

Introduction and Part I: Lessons from Russia's Past	**1**
Part II: Exploring the New Russia	**12**
Futures in Brief	**26**
Futures	**27-32**
Future 1: Restore State Control	**27**
Future 2: Proceed with Firmness	**29**
Future 3: Look to the West	**31**
Supplementary Documents	**33**
Supplementary Resources	**59**



The Choices for the 21st Century Education Program is a program of the Watson Institute for International Studies at Brown University. Choices was established to help citizens think constructively about foreign policy issues, to improve participatory citizenship skills, and to encourage public judgment on policy priorities.

The Watson Institute for International Studies was established at Brown University in 1986 to serve as a forum for students, faculty, visiting scholars, and policy practitioners who are committed to analyzing contemporary global problems and developing initiatives to address them.

© Copyright November 2002. Eighth edition. Choices for the 21st Century Education Program. All rights reserved. Teacher sets (consisting of a student text and a teacher resource book) are available for $15 each. Permission is granted to duplicate and distribute the student text and handouts for classroom use with appropriate credit given. Duplicates may not be resold. Classroom sets (15 or more student texts) may be ordered at $7 per copy. A teacher resource book is included free with each classroom set. Orders should be addressed to: Choices Education Program, Watson Institute for International Studies, Box 1948, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912. Please see the order form in the back of this unit or visit our website at <www.choices.edu>.
ISBN 1-891306-54-5.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

INTRODUCTION: LENIN'S TOMB

In the center of Moscow, the capital of Russia, the corpse of Vladimir I. Lenin occupies a prized location. Carefully embalmed, the remains of the founder of the Soviet Union are housed in an impressive mausoleum in Moscow's enormous Red Square.

Lenin was once a revered figure among nearly all Russians. Long after his death in 1924, he symbolized the promise of the communist system. Millions of Russians clung to his vision of a paradise on earth in which humanity's needs would be answered through wise government planning. Lenin's mausoleum was one of Moscow's main attractions, often flanked by long lines of Soviet citizens eager to catch a glimpse of the famed communist revolutionary.

By the time the Soviet Union collapsed at the end of 1991, however, little was left of the communist dream. Instead, Russia in recent years has opened its doors to extraordinary change. The structure of communist authority is gone. The state-run economic system dominated by party officials has been dismantled. The faith among the Russian people that communism offered a better future has crumbled. Even the fifteen republics that formed the Soviet Union—of which Russia was by far the largest—have each become independent states.

The scene at Lenin's mausoleum is very different as well. The crowds of the past are absent, and the honor guard of elite soldiers has been removed. The few visitors stopping by now are mostly elderly Russians or curious foreigners. Rather than serving as a source of inspiration, Lenin's corpse has become a small part of a larger debate about Russia's identity and direction.

For some Russians, Lenin and communism represent a national nightmare. They want to see Lenin buried once and for all. For others, Lenin is admired for laying the foundation of the mighty Soviet Union. They insist that his mausoleum deserves a continuing place of honor in Russian society. For still others, especially the young, Lenin is an odd remnant from a distant era.

The controversy surrounding Lenin is closely linked with the struggle for the soul of this vast and troubled nation. Just as Russians have not reached a consensus on how Lenin should be remembered, so too have they been unable to unite around a new course for their country. Even in his neglected tomb, Lenin's hold over Russia has yet to be broken.

How do Russians see themselves?

For nearly three centuries, Russians have occupied a central role on the world stage. Their vast land, nearly twice the size of the United States, contains many of the world's most important natural resources. Russia has produced giants in the sciences, music, literature, and other fields. The Russian army, a formidable force as early as the 18th century, is comparable to the U.S. military in size. Moreover, Russia's huge nuclear arsenal places an awesome responsibility in the hands of Russian leaders.

Russia at a Glance

Area:	6,592,800 square miles
Arable land:	8%
Population:	143 million
Life expectancy at birth:	62 male, 73 female
Per capita gross national product :	$7,700
Telephone lines per 1,000 people:	228

Russians have long seen themselves as occupying a special place in the world. The tsars (a word that comes from the Roman title of caesar) who ruled Russia for nearly five centuries before communism were imbued with a sense of mission. Russian Orthodox Christianity and the expansion of the Russian empire over much of Eurasia gave Russians a feeling of uniqueness. In the early years of the empire, they often referred to their country as the "Third Rome," believing that the torch of spiritual leadership for the Christian world had been passed to Russia from Rome and Constantinople. This produced in Russia a sense of uniqueness, but also of isolation.

Geography has reinforced the Russian sense of isolation. Much of Russia is cold and inhospitable, far removed from the Atlantic Ocean currents that warm Western Europe. Moscow, for example, lies on the

same latitude as Hudson Bay and is blanketed in snow for nearly six months of the year.

What role did Communism play in Soviet society?

Communism rejected religious ideologies, but in many respects it took on the form of a religion. Under Soviet rule, Russians promoted the communist system as an alternative to the societies of the United States, Britain, France, and other countries of the West. Communism in Russia exalted Lenin and his ideology. In the Soviet Union, all events were to be interpreted as part of the class struggle; the Communist party-state was the law; communism was destined to be spread throughout the world; and the collective interests of the state were to supercede the interests of the individual.

With the collapse of Lenin's communist system and the break-up of the Soviet Union, Russians have been forced to chart a new course for their country. Russian reformers had originally hoped that Russia would be able to make a quick, clean break with the past. Instead, attitudes from the Soviet, and even tsarist, era have stood in the way of change. Today's Russia is an uneasy mix of new and old, hope and despair.

What questions are Russians asking about their future?

In this unit, you will be asked to step into Russian shoes and consider Russia's future. You will be confronted with the same questions that the people of Russia are now debating: What principles should guide the development of Russia's economy? What political system is best for Russia? What role should Russia play in international affairs?

To prepare for the challenge ahead, you will begin with a brief survey of Russian history, focusing in particular on past efforts to change Russian society. Russians place a high level of importance on understanding their own history. For them, Russian history is closely connected to both their individual identity and their image of Russia as a nation. Interpreting the past is a stepping-stone to thinking about the future.

The second part of the background reading deals with the events that have occurred since the Soviet Union came to an end on December 25, 1991. On that date Russia entered an era filled with economic hardships and political uncertainty. In this section, you will learn how Russia has changed in terms of its economic development, political thinking, and international relations.

Part I: Exploring Russia's Past

The history of modern Russia can be divided into two main segments: the tsarist period that stretched from the 15th century to 1917 and the Soviet era that began in 1917 and continued until 1991. Sandwiched between these two epochs was a short, unsuccessful attempt to fashion a democracy in 1917 after the fall of Tsar Nicholas II and before Lenin seized power in the Bolshevik Revolution.

When Russians look backward today, they often disagree about what elements of the past should be preserved. Almost everyone agrees that the worst features of communism—the prison camps and the secret police, for example—should be buried once and for all. But at the same time many people long for the guaranteed employment, free health care, low-rent housing, and the law and order of the Soviet system.

Similarly, the tsarist period evokes differing opinions. For some, the values embodied by the tsar, the Russian Orthodox Church, and the empire are revered. For others, the tsarist period is a symbol of authoritarianism and backwardness.

Finally, the short-lived democratic regime of 1917 presents another set of questions. The provisional government led by Alexander Kerensky sought to transform Russia into a Western-style parliamentary democracy. However, Kerensky's failure to build political support for his reform program, as well as the difficulties caused by World War I, doomed the young democracy. Some Russians today wonder whether history is likely to repeat itself or whether a tradition of democracy can be established.

Why does Russia lack a tradition of democracy?

Whatever their political convictions, Russians recognize that their country lacks an established tradition of democracy. From tsarist times, Russia has been led by rulers who enjoyed virtually unlimited power. Individual rights, the freedom of expression, and other democratic principles had little meaning in tsarist Russia and the Soviet Union. In addition, the Soviets destroyed the weakly-rooted concept of private property and elevated the power of the state to even greater heights.

Where has reform traditionally originated in Russia?

Historically, reform in Russia came from the top. The force that drove previous attempts at change was the desire to strengthen the state, often in the face of an external foe. Tsarist and Soviet rulers also sought to fend off threats to their authority, as democratic values gained wide acceptance outside of Russia. The history of Russia—both its accomplishments and its failures—is now being studied intently by Russians weighing their country's future options.

Peter the Great

Tsar Peter I (1682-1725), known as Peter the Great, was the first important modernizer in Russian history. Peter I inherited a state that stretched from near the shores of the Baltic Sea to the Pacific Ocean. His vast domain, however, was largely cut off from the scientific and political revolutions underway in Europe at the time.

What reforms did Peter the Great attempt?

During a tour of Western Europe in 1697-98, Peter I was exposed to the technological advances of his distant neighbors. He returned to Russia and launched a sweeping effort to remake his country along European lines.

Peter I concentrated on the military, reorganizing the army and creating a navy. New industries were established to supply the needs of the military. After acquiring territory along the Baltic Sea in a war against Sweden, the tsar built a new capital at St. Petersburg—Russia's "window on the West." Peter I even taxed noblemen who refused to shave their beards and adopt a more European appearance.

Russians today recall Peter the Great as the tsar who thrust Russia into the center of European affairs. With a modern military, Russia was destined to rank among the leading European powers. The tsar's efforts to open his country to the West continue to have significance for Russia today.

Russians have long suffered from an inferiority complex with respect to the West. For much of its history, Russia has been on the margins of European civilization. When the Renaissance was dawning in Western Europe in the 15th century, Russians were still struggling to reclaim their land from

This 300-year-old woodcut shows Peter I cutting the beard of a nobleman.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Mongol invaders. While the foundations of modern Western science were being laid in the 17th century, Russia was gripped by political chaos and close-minded religious zeal.

> *"Having ensured the security of the state against the enemy, it is requisite to endeavor to win glory for it by means of the arts and sciences."*
>
> *—Peter the Great*

With the disintegration of the Soviet Union, Russia's current borders do not extend far beyond those that prevailed during the early reign of Peter the Great, and once again are far removed from Western Europe.

Peter the Great is admired by Russians who value their country's great role in the international arena. In the name of modernization, however, Peter I substantially increased the power of the state and ruthlessly crushed his enemies.

Tsar Alexander II

Tsar Alexander II (1855-81) is best known for his decision to free Russia's serfs in 1861. He also took the first steps toward establishing the rule of law in the Russian empire.

Alexander II came to power at a time when economic pressure and social unrest were growing. Many of his advisers believed that Russia was rapidly falling behind the industrializing countries of the West. The inept performance of Russia's army against British and French forces during the Crimean War of 1853-56 served to illustrate their concerns.

Why did Alexander II free the serfs?

The tsar's advisers convinced Alexander II that the system of serfdom was a root cause of Russia's backwardness. Like feudalism in Europe, Russian serfdom had deep roots. Beginning in the 16th and 17th centuries, Russian peasants were obligated to work the estates of landowning nobles. But whereas the underpinnings of feudalism broke down in much of Europe in the 17th and 18th centuries, serfdom in Russia became more entrenched. By the 1800s, Russian serfs were virtual slaves. They could be bought and sold, moved from one estate to another, and were barred from owning land. Many Russian landowners, however, were increasingly unable to afford to care for the serfs under their control. Moreover, Russian army officers complained that serfs made poor soldiers.

Alexander II's order to free the serfs did little to immediately improve the lives of Russian peasants. The emancipated serfs were subject to new taxes which continued to tie them to the land for a lengthy period. Freeing the serfs, however, ultimately created a new class of small farmers and opened the door to other important reforms by Alexander II.

> *"It is better to abolish serfdom from above than to await the time when it will begin to abolish itself from below."*
>
> *—Alexander II*

What other reforms did Alexander II introduce?

Under Alexander II, elected assemblies were permitted at the local level to address issues such as road maintenance, irrigation, and taxation. Although by Western standards the power of the local councils was meager, for Russia the councils represented a significant departure from the absolute authority of the tsar. In addition, Alexander II introduced jury trials and decreed that all citizens should receive equal treatment before the law.

The Tsar Liberator, as Alexander II came to be known, was willing to relinquish some of his authority to help modernize Russia. At the same time, he was working to undercut the appeal of new political philosophies that were emerging in Russia during the 1800s.

What two intellectual movements emerged in Russia?

In the decades before Alexander II's reign, two important intellectual movements emerged in Russia. On one side were the Slavophiles—a group that identified closely with Russia's ethnic Slavic roots. The Slavophiles believed that their country should be built

BEST COPY AVAILABLE



Russia before 1855

Territory gained from 1855 to 1904

Percentage of World Manufacturing Output

	1750	1800	1860	1900
Russia	5.0	5.6	7.0	8.8
Britain	1.9	4.3	19.9	18.5
France	4.0	4.2	7.9	6.8
Germany	2.9	3.5	4.9	13.2
U.S.	0.1	0.8	7.2	23.6

Data from the *Rise and Fall of Great Powers*

on the traditional values of the Russian village and the Russian Orthodox Church. They called on Russia to turn its back on new programs for political and economic reform circulating in Europe.

The other group, the Westernizers, wanted Russia to join the tide of change sweeping Europe. They viewed Russian tradition as a barrier to progress. During the later years of Alexander II's rule, many Westernizers favored the creation of a liberal democracy along the lines of the systems that existed in Britain and the United States.

Meanwhile, political currents in Europe inspired more radical movements for change in Russia. Russian socialists, for instance, sought to overthrow the authority of the tsar and the church. Many of them looked to Russia's downtrodden peasants to ignite revolution and redistribute the land. When few peasants responded to the call for revolution, radical movements often turned to violence to challenge the government. In 1881, a revolutionary terrorist killed Alexander II (and himself) with a homemade hand grenade. In response to his father's assassination, Alexander III began his reign by launching a harsh crackdown against political activity in Russia.

Admirers of Alexander II portray him as the first Russian ruler to try to put his country on the path toward democracy. The severe repression that followed his reforms has led many to question Russia's capacity, both then and now, for peaceful change.

Tsar Nicholas II

Tsar Nicholas II (1894-1917) was a reluctant reformer. Like his father, Alexander III, Nicholas II relied heavily on the secret police and heavy-handed tactics to maintain order. Two events, however, forced the tsar to accept the need for change.

What events forced Nicholas II to make reforms?

In 1904, the Russian navy suffered a humiliating defeat in the Sea of Japan at the hands of Japan in a struggle over dominance of Manchuria. While the Russo-Japanese War continued on land in 1905, political unrest broke out in Russia. The Revolution of 1905, as the turmoil came to be known, did not subside until Nicholas II announced major political reforms.

To satisfy his democratic critics, Nicholas II reluctantly created a representative assembly, or Duma, and pledged that basic civil rights would be protected. In measures that went well beyond those of Alexander II, the tsar conceded that he would share power with elected officials. Elections in 1906, however, produced a legislature that supported rapid democratic reform. The tsar responded by dissolving the first Duma, then the second, and finally changing the electoral laws. The third Duma, elected in 1907, was more conservative, and Nicholas II adopted some of the legislature's moderate recommendations for reform.

Why is the period of 1905 to 1914 of interest to Russians today?

The period from 1905 to 1914 (the year Russia entered World War I) has particular relevance for Russians today. Among those Russians who find hope for democratic progress in Russia's past, the 1905-14 period represents an era of promise. They note that Russia at the time was experiencing the most rapid industrial growth in Europe and that democratic institutions such as a free press and political parties were gaining acceptance.

> *"We must avoid taking too great strides. We should find ourselves, later, close to being a democratic republic. That would be senseless and criminal."*
>
> —*Nicholas II*

In fact, many of Nicholas II's advisers believed that Russia was in a race against time in the years before World War I. In the area of defense and foreign policy, the Russo-Japanese War had rekindled fears about Russia's vulnerability. Geography was Russia's main security problem. To the west, a broad plain offered invaders an unobstructed route into the Russian heartland. In addition, Russia's access to the sea was limited. Russia's navy in the Black Sea and the Baltic Sea could easily be bottled up by an enemy, while Russian ports in the Arctic Ocean were frozen for much of the year. Nicholas II hoped that stepped-up industrialization and efforts to modernize the military would reduce Russia's vulnerability to attack.

The tsar's government was also racing to prevent a political and social explosion. The Duma, for example, had been founded largely to win over the tsar's democratic critics and undercut the appeal of revolutionaries. Perhaps more important were the agricultural reforms undertaken by the tsar's prime minister, Peter Stolypin.

Why is Stolypin important to reformers today?

Stolypin's goal was to create a class of prosperous, conservative small farmers, much like the families that worked the land in France and the United States. To achieve his aim, Stolypin sought to break up the village communes in which peasants held land collectively. Stolypin blamed the collectivist mentality for stifling individual initiative. Stolypin also allowed peasants to buy state-owned land and established a special bank to loan them money for equipment, seeds, and other farming needs. Stolypin was assassinated in 1911 while attending an opera with the tsar. The assassin was later discovered to be a double agent working for the government and the radicals.

For Russians today, Stolypin's assassination symbolizes the contradictions of the 1905-14 period. On the one hand, advocates of cautious, gradual reform take heart in the robust economic growth and the fledgling democratic institutions that marked the era. On the other hand, those who reject the principles of capitalism see the 1905-14 period as merely another failed attempt to import the values of the West.

Vladimir Lenin

Vladimir Lenin (1917-1923), the founder of the Soviet Union, came to power in the turmoil of 1917. The Russian army was in tatters, defeated by the Germans in the First World War. Using his political skill Lenin and his Bolshevik party of 30,000 members seized control of the greatly weakened Russian government.

How did Lenin change Russian society?

Lenin moved to nationalize all industry and agriculture. The state became the sole employer. In

"Help." A 1921 poster depicting the famine.

addition, at Lenin's direction, all of the resources of the country were placed at the disposal of the state.

For seventy years the Soviet Union followed the course laid out by Lenin and utilized the state to develop mighty industrial, military, and scientific capabilities. The Soviet Union became a military superpower feared by the world. It was the first nation to put a man in space. At the same time, the power of the state was nearly absolute.

How did Lenin consolidate his power?

Lenin had to fight a civil war to consolidate the power that he had seized in 1917. There were various political factions within Russia and a foreign-led invasion that included English, U.S., and Japanese troops. Thirteen million died; two million Russians emigrated to other countries. During this conflict, Lenin used terror and brutality as an instrument of the state to accomplish his goals. Drawing from the tsarist traditions of the absolute power of Russian rulers, Lenin did not hesitate to order the deportation or murder of opponents.

> *"The dictatorship [of the proletariat]—and take this into account once and for all—means unrestricted power based on force, not on law."*
>
> *—Vladimir Lenin*

During the civil war, Lenin adopted a policy known as War Communism. It involved the nationalization and close bureaucratic control of the economy. It was a policy that would have disastrous consequences. A combination of drought and the weakened economy led to widespread famine that resulted in the deaths of as many as five million from starvation and disease. Lenin was forced to acknowledge that War Communism did not work. In 1921, he adopted the New Economic Policy (NEP), which eased restrictions on private ownership, and marked a tactical, temporary retreat from a communist economy.

Josef Stalin

While Lenin was the architect of the Soviet system, Josef Stalin (1924-53) was the chief builder. He believed that the Soviet Union had to industrialize at all costs in order to defend communism against the capitalist countries of the West. To achieve his goals, Stalin ended Lenin's NEP and implemented a "command economy." Under his rule, government planners in Moscow sought to control all aspects of economic activity and to direct the distribution of goods for the whole country.

In many ways Stalin was the embodiment of a non-religious mythology and faith embraced by much of the Russian people. Stalin was both feared and loved. Many Russians believed what they were told and endured tremendous hardships in the name of an idealized yet unclear communist future. To strengthen his own position, Stalin carefully established himself as the ideological successor to Lenin.

> *"The banner of Lenin, the banner of the Party was raised high and carried further by Stalin, Lenin's outstanding pupil, the best son of the Bolshevik Party, the worthy successor and great continuer of Lenin's cause."*
>
> *—Josef Stalin*

Stalin succeeded in making the Soviet Union into an industrial giant. By the time of his death, the Soviet economy was second in size only to that of the United States.

What was the cost of Soviet industrialization?

Soviet industrialization was accomplished at a staggering human cost. Stalin ordered the murder or deportation of those he believed opposed him. These orders led to what were called "purges" in which ten million Soviet citizens may have died. Perhaps a third of these were shot or perished as they were sent into exile or to labor camps in Siberia. The remaining two-thirds died of famine, cold, or abuse. Peasants suffered the most. Stalin's policies forced them to give up their land and join huge state-run farms. In addition, peasant traditions were smashed and the Russian Orthodox Church was deprived of its authority.

Nonetheless, Soviet industrial might helped the U.S.S.R. defeat Nazi Germany in World War II. The

Reproduced from *Autopsy for an Empire*

Stalin poses in front of a picture of Lenin.

struggle, known there as the Great Patriotic War, left roughly twenty-seven million Soviets dead. The Soviet Union had signed a non-aggression pact with Nazi Germany in 1939, only to be left largely unprepared for German aggression in June 1941. The Soviet Army suffered tremendous losses at first, but ultimately perservered. As neither Hitler nor Stalin permitted their soldiers to surrender, the battles were fought with particular ferocity and barbarism. The Soviet Army is credited with having destroyed the bulk of Hitler's forces at great cost to themselves. The enormous wartime sacrifices were hailed by Stalin, his successors, and most Russians as a tribute to the Soviet system and the solidarity of the Russian people.

Stalin's successor Nikita Khrushchev (1953-1964) ended Stalin's reign of terror. But Khrushchev and his successor Leonid Brezhnev (1964-1982) did little to alter the command economy. Although living standards rose for a period and the state constructed massive apartment blocks to relieve a housing shortage—the economy was increasingly unable to meet the needs of the Soviet people. The Brezhnev era developed into a period of economic stagnation characterized by chronic shortages of food and basic consumer goods.

Mikhail Gorbachev

Between 1914 and the time Mikhail Gorbachev (1985-1991) came to power, Russia had experienced World War I, a civil war, the imposition of communism, World War II, and years of economic stagnation. Decades of shortages and communist repression had left the Russian people weary and cynical. Whatever hopes had been placed in the Bolshevik Revolution had long since diminished.

Why did Mikhail Gorbachev believe he had to reform the economy?

Like many other Soviet officials of his generation, Gorbachev acknowledged that his country's economy was stalled, especially in the high-tech areas that were essential to the strength of the Soviet military. If the Soviet Union was to keep up with its Cold War rival, the United States, and maintain its superpower status, serious economic reform would have to take place.

> *"[We] must build a bridge from Leninism, connect Leninist ideas and the Leninist approach... to the affairs of our own present day. If the Leninist ideals of socialism become the property of the people, then we will attain our goals."*
>
> *—Mikhail Gorbachev*

But while Gorbachev favored shaking up the economy, he did not want to topple the communist system that had been built by Soviet leaders over many decades. He believed his country could prosper by returning to the principles of Lenin—at that time still idealized by Gorbachev and many of his countrymen.

What was perestroika?

Under Gorbachev's program of *perestroika* (restructuring), Moscow loosened its control of the Soviet economy. Gorbachev held that enterprises should be

responsible for holding down costs and responding to consumer demand, rather than relying on government subsidies. Factory managers and farm directors were given greater decision-making power. Small-scale private and cooperative businesses were legalized. The Soviet Union also became more open to foreign investment. Gorbachev hoped that the introduction of Western technology and free-market forces would spur the Soviet economy forward.

Perestroika, however, was a halfway measure. Gorbachev feared that lifting price controls and taking other measures to create a free-market economy would undermine the authority of the Communist Party and turn people against the Soviet system. He also balked at recognizing the institution of private property.

What was glasnost?

In addition to tackling economic reform, Gorbachev liberalized the Soviet political system. His policy of *glasnost* (openness) allowed greater freedom of expression. Public attention was soon drawn to the Soviet Union's many problems: government corruption, environmental pollution, economic inefficiency, etc. *Glasnost* also gave the Soviet Union's many nationalities an opportunity to air their grievances, and reopened discussion about the horrors committed by the Stalin regime.

As historians began to publish materials that suggested the horrors of Stalinism were an outgrowth Lenin's policies, Gorbachev's emphasis on a return to Lenin's ideas came back to haunt him. Both the philosophical foundation of his reforms and the Soviet state itself were undermined by forces and events that Gorbachev had set in motion, but could not control.

In the Soviet Union, Russians had been taught to think of Lenin the way that Americans are taught to regard George Washington. Until the early 1990s, Lenin was held up as the shining example for Russian youth: a visionary, a man of integrity, the father of a great country. While the methods of his successor, Josef Stalin, had been criticized publicly in the Soviet Union, Lenin's had not. For Russians, the discovery that the excesses of Stalinism were a continuation of Leninism undermined their image of their nation's past and their hopes for their nation's future.

How did perestroika and glasnost undermine the Soviet state?

Together, *perestroika* and *glasnost* ate away at the legitimacy of the Soviet state. Instead of sparking initiative, *perestroika* contributed to a breakdown of the framework that held together the Soviet command economy. By 1990, the economy was in sharp decline The country's gross domestic product (GDP) fell 8 percent that year. The next year, it dropped 19 percent. Meanwhile, the problems and injustices exposed under *glasnost* undercut the authority of the Communist Party. In each of the Soviet Union's fifteen republics, movements arose to reduce the power of the central government in Moscow.

Gorbachev's foreign policy deepened the divisions among the people of the Soviet Union. Gorbachev set out to settle the Cold War between the Soviet Union and the United States that had begun in the late 1940s. He initiated agreements on nuclear arms control with the United States and encouraged foreign investment in his country. In 1989, Gorbachev did not intervene when popular movements in Eastern Europe toppled communist governments that had been dominated by Moscow since the end of World War II. In 1990, Gorbachev reluctantly gave his assent to the reunification of West Germany and East Germany.

How was Gorbachev's foreign policy received in Russia?

While the international changes brought by Gorbachev's policies were hailed abroad, Gorbachev received mixed reviews in the Soviet Union. Many Soviets, especially the younger generation, supported his efforts to open up the country to the West. In everything from science and computers to music and fashion, they looked forward to learning more about the interests and tastes of their counterparts in Paris, Tokyo, and Los Angeles.

Others, particularly those who remembered World War II, believed that Gorbachev had surrendered their country's national honor to the West. The loss of Moscow's satellite states in Eastern Europe was

seen by many as a heavy blow to Soviet security. Moreover, the overthrow of communist regimes in Eastern Europe inspired nationalities in the Soviet Union seeking to break free of Moscow. By the end of 1991, Gorbachev had few domestic allies and was unable to hold the Soviet Union together.

Undeniably, much of the blame for Gorbachev's downfall rests with short-sighted and poorly developed policies. But many Russians believe that the mentality of their people posed a bigger obstacle to Gorbachev's success. Early in his leadership, Gorbachev himself emphasized that the Soviet people needed to break free of their dependence on the government.

> *"It is necessary to expunge from the people's minds a belief in the 'good tsar,' in the all-powerful center, in the assumption that someone at the top will impose order and organize change."*
>
> *—Mikhail Gorbachev*

According to Gorbachev, Russians, and later Soviets, had been conditioned to look toward the "good tsar" to solve their problems. As a result, many had given up on their own creativity and initiative.

As in earlier eras, Russia's past weighed heavily on Gorbachev's reforms. Gorbachev was taking aim at the remnants of totalitarianism left over from the days of Stalin. Under the totalitarian system, the state sought to control every aspect of life, from organizing youth groups to determining the content of movies and novels. The roots of Soviet totalitarianism, however, reach deep into Russian history. Many of the worst features of communism—the secret police, restrictions on travel both inside and outside the country, the government-imposed isolation from foreign influences—had their origins under the tsars. Whether the past will define Russia's course in the future is a question that is likely to haunt Russians well into the post-Soviet era.

Part II: Exploring the New Russia

A new era in Russian history began on August 19, 1991, when the Russian President Boris Yeltsin climbed on top of a tank in front of the Russian parliament to oppose a coup organized by hard-line communists against Mikhail Gorbachev.

Yeltsin called on Russians to launch a general strike and appealed to the military to turn against the coup plotters. Within three days of Yeltsin's appearance, the coup attempt collapsed.

The Soviet Union officially ceased to exist at the end of 1991. Since then, the Communist Party's monopoly on power has given way to the beginnings of a multi-party democracy. Economically, Russia has moved away from the centrally planned economy. In the international arena, a new foreign policy has been crafted to address the loss of Russia's empire and superpower status.

The new era has shown signs of promise. In contrast to past generations, Russians today are free to voice their opinions and travel abroad—if they can afford it. Russia has held presidential and parliamentary elections that were judged to be relatively fair.

On the other hand, since the summer of 1991, successive waves of political and economic tumult have washed away the memory of Yeltsin's courageous stand in the Russian capital. During the Yeltsin administration (1991-1999), corruption funneled enormous wealth into the hands of a few, economic reform hurt more people than it helped, and political divisions repeatedly paralyzed the government. Finally, Russia's fall from its position as an international superpower and inability to successfully conduct a war in Chechnya produced a widespread sense of humiliation and resentment. In the midst of these difficulties Yeltsin appeared to most Russian citizens to be disabled by medical problems and alcohol. Not surprisingly, Russian citizens came to regard most politicians as corrupt or incompetent.

Why were some Russians pleased with the resignation of President Boris Yeltsin?

The resignation of President Yeltsin on December 31, 1999 and the appointment of his Prime Minister, Vladimir Putin, as acting President created an atmosphere of hope among many Russians. Putin used the time between his appointment and the Presidential elections in March 2000 to project an image as a strong, competent leader by vowing to stamp out corruption and to defeat the rebel forces in Chechnya.

Putin won the election handily, winning nearly 54 percent of the vote. His nearest opponent, Gennady Zyuganov of the Communist party won only 29 percent of the vote.

The issues on Russia's national agenda have set off a wide-ranging debate among the Russian people about where their country should be heading. While Americans have faced important decisions in recent decades, not since the Civil War has the United States been torn by fundamental questions about our national identity.

Russians have probed deep into their country's history to gain a better insight into their future choices. In the following pages, you will learn about the new Russia. Three critical areas will be examined: the emergence of a new political spectrum; the impact of economic change on Russian society; and Russia's international relations.



Steve Kelley. Reprinted by permission of Copley News Service. All Rights Reserved.

Democracy Russian Style

For more than seven decades, the Soviet Union was dominated by the Communist Party. Political opposition was not tolerated. In the final years of Mikhail Gorbachev's rule, however, the Communist Party's hold over society began to crumble. The failure of the August 1991 coup marked the end of the road for the one-party system.

What kind of political system has evolved in Russia?

The new Russian state began its life without a stable political system. Unlike the United States and other mature democracies, Russia lacks a tradition in which two or three well-established political parties compromise and share power. Rather, political competition in the new Russia has often revolved around clashes among Russia's wealthiest men—often re-

What are the main political divisions in Russian politics?

Elections have defined the dividing lines in Russian politics. The Russians elected a new parliament in 1999 which reflects the full range of political viewpoints in Russia.

Liberal democrats: At one end of the spectrum are advocates of liberal democracy. They hope that Russia's political and economic system will come to resemble that of the West. The reformers crafted many of Yeltsin's free-market economic policies and have been widely blamed for their country's problems. Nonetheless, they believe that time is on their side. They expect their appeal to increase as a new generation learns to value the principles of economic opportunity and individual freedom. Grigory Yavlinsky has been a democratic reformer. But in the 2000 presidential elections, he finished third with only 6 percent of the vote.

Communists and nationalists: The liberal democrats have met fierce opposition from the Communists and nationalists. The Communists and nationalists are united by a conviction that Russia is unique. They often speak of their country's *sobornost* (meaning "spiritual unity") to distinguish Russian culture from what they see as the excessive individualism and materialism of the West.

The Communists and nationalists believed that the Yeltsin-era reformers sold out Russia to the West. They are angered by the decline in the country's industrial might, arguing that reform made Russia dependent on handouts from the West. The Communists and nationalists have tapped into the popular backlash against economic change and corruption, especially among those that have suffered under reform.

The nationalists and the Communists want to restore Russia's power and prestige. They would increase military spending and grant the central government greater authority to manage the country's resources. To achieve their goals, they favor reasserting Russian influence in the former Soviet Union (FSU) by rebuilding the economic and military ties that were severed after the Soviet collapse.

The Communists constitute an important part of Russia's Duma, or lower house of parliament. In the 1999 parliamentary elections, the Communists were the most successful party, gaining one-quarter of the seats in the legislature. President Putin made early efforts to work with the Communists, who had often blocked efforts of the Yeltsin government, by giving them some important committees and the important speaker's chair in exchange for their support of his policies. In 2002, in reaction to their continued opposition, Putin forced all of the Communists from the their committee chairs and forced the speaker to sever his ties to the Communist party. In contrast, most of the nationalists now support Putin.

Pragmatists: The middle ground in Russian politics has been occupied by pragmatic moderates. Many have been elected as mayors and provincial governors in recent years. The pragmatists pay little attention to political parties and ideologies. Rather, they are preoccupied with solving everyday problems and consolidating their own power. They have tended to oppose centralized governmental power. Practical concerns also shape their attitude toward foreign relations.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ferred to as the oligarchs. Disputes have been bitter, even violent, with political rivals often taking a winner-take-all approach.

The 1996 presidential campaign—the first after the collapse of the Soviet Union—illustrated the influence of the oligarchs. Russia's seven leading oligarchs, fearing the election of the communist Gennady Zyuganov, spent millions to re-elect Yeltsin. They also used the two major television networks under their control to polish Yeltsin's image. Yeltsin defeated his communist rival 54 to 40 percent. In the months before the vote, Yeltsin spent billions of rubles to increase pensions to the elderly, give tax breaks to industry, and pay back wages to workers who had gone for months without their salaries.

Nonetheless, the two presidential and parliamentary elections have established political standards for Russia. Most election observers agree that there has been little fraud in counting the ballots, and the results have been accepted without violence. A healthy turnout at the polls for the 1999 parliamentary race and for the 2000 presidential race suggests that Russian voters value their democratic right to elect their leaders. In fact, 87 percent of Russians surveyed believe it important that a country's leader be democratically elected. More than 85 percent stated that freedom of the press, expression, and conscience were important to them.

Why does President Putin want to reduce the power of regional leaders?

Today, under President Putin, another far-reaching struggle for power is taking place in the new Russia. After the break-up of the Soviet Union, lead-

Putin and the Oligarchs

In recent years, the oligarchs (extremely wealthy and power businessmen) and the interest groups behind the scenes have been just as important as the political parties in Russian politics. For the oligarchs, politics is less about issues and ideas than about control of Russia's key industrial, financial, and military institutions. During the Yeltsin Administration Russia's most powerful oligarchs put together wide-ranging business empires by snapping up formerly state-owned enterprises. These early winners in Russia's economic transformation took advantage of privatization (a process to place state-run companies in the hands of private owners) to gain a stake in profitable companies. The process gave government and industry officials from the Soviet era an inside track. In many cases, they were allowed to buy valuable state assets at rock-bottom prices.

The concentration of wealth in the hands of a few that accompanied privatization occurred throughout the Russian economy. Many of the state firms sold to private investors inherited monopoly privileges from the Soviet era. Their owners have often used their money and influence to stifle competition, claim questionable tax breaks, and gain access to special import and export licenses. Economists believe that 85 percent of the value of the sixty-four biggest companies in Russia are owned by just eight groups of shareholders. Their assets typically include energy and mining facilities, factories, banks, and perhaps a newspaper or television station. Each maintains close ties with top politicians and organized crime.

The Russian people have come to resent these powerful figures whom they believed were corrupt and unduly influencing the government. In a move to distinguish itself from the Yeltsin government and perhaps to send a message to other oligarchs, President Putin's government turned on two oligarchs who had been critical of his policies. Strong political and financial pressure reduced their influence. The government also took away their media outlets, including the independent and popular television station, NTV. Some wondered what effect the governments action would have on the freedom of the press. Oligarchs who have not opposed Putin or publicly flaunted their power have been tolerated by Putin.

ers of Russia's eighty-nine regions increasingly challenged the supremacy of the central government.

Under Boris Yeltsin, regional leaders had reason to believe they could act independently. For the first time in Russian history, they owed their offices to democratic elections rather than to appointments from Moscow. Some of the regional leaders became corrupt or autocratic—or both. Many have been quick to strike deals with foreign companies without much regard for the foreign policy implications or the laws made in Moscow. As many as 40 percent of all regional laws enacted by these regional leaders violate federal legislation or the Russian Constitution.

> *"There must be a single way of understanding legislation throughout the federation. This is not just correct today; it is absolutely necessary. The looseness of power is very costly for millions of average people."*
>
> *—Vladimir Putin, Russian President*

Moreover, the budget problems of the central government pitted the regional leaders against the capital in a scramble for funding. In recent years, Moscow often failed to provide the regions with the money required to pay pensions and the salaries of essential workers. In other cases, governors have misappropriated funds from Moscow intended for wages. Most of the regions have responded by holding back on the amount of tax revenue they deliver to the Russian capital. A few areas, such as the energy-rich Tyumen region in western Siberia, feel that they are better off keeping their distance from Moscow. Others, especially in the frigid Far North, are angry at what they see as the central government's indifference to their deepening poverty.

How does Putin hope to control the power of regional leaders?

President Putin has plans to authorize full federal funding for courts allowing them to act independently of regional control. He also has appointed administrative leaders in seven newly-created "super" regions who report directly to him and have authority over the old regions.

Putin has also declared that the governors and their legislative leaders will no longer hold seats in the Federation Council—Russia's counterpart to the United States Senate. Putin is also seeking the legal authority to fire any governor who flouts federal law.

How have ethnic differences contributed to regional problems?

For more than twenty-five million non-Russians within Russia, regional politics has an ethnic dimension. After the Soviet collapse, Yeltsin sought to win over non-Russian regions by transferring authority to the local level. In 1994, he signed an agreement with Tatarstan, a predominantly Muslim republic in central Russia. Yeltsin gave Tatar leaders broad decision-making power over local affairs and allowed them to keep a large share of the tax revenue on oil production in the republic.

In sharp contrast, in the southern border region of Chechnya, Yeltsin responded with force after failing to come to terms with the republic's leaders. The results were both tragic and disastrous. Russia's huge army was outmaneuvered by Chechen guerrilla forces in fighting that began in December 1994. Roughly sixty thousand people died before a peace settlement was reached in the summer of 1996.

How did violence in Chechnya help Putin's campaign for President?

In the fall of 1999, fighting flared up again between Russian troops and Chechens. Two incidents provoked a strong response from Moscow. Islamic rebels from Chechnya invaded the region of Dagestan. Yeltsin appointed Putin as Prime Minister the following day. In addition, the government blamed a series of bomb explosions in Moscow apartment buildings that killed several hundred Russians on the Chechens. This solidified Russian public opinion behind a vigorous prosecution of the war. Vladimir Putin increased his popularity by leading a more aggressive and apparently successful campaign against the Chechens. To many Russians, his competent, strong leadership against a hated foe made him the candidate of choice in the presidential elections of 2000.

Russia's continued use of massive military force

in Chechnya has resulted in the widespread displacement and deaths of civilians. Allegations of human rights abuses by Russian troops have also brought Putin's government under criticism from the West. The Russian government claims that foreigners are fighting along side the Chechens and that Russia has little choice but to respond forcefully. Since the terrorist attacks in the United States of 2001, the United States has muted its earlier criticisms of Russian policy in Chechnya.

Russian anger about Chechnya is likely to continue to grow. Chechens took over seven hundred people hostage at a Moscow theater in the fall of 2002 and threatened to blow up the building and kill all of the hostages unless Russian troops withdrew from Chechnya. Russian special forces used a disabling gas to end the standoff. Over one hundred of the hostages were killed by the gas. All of the Chechens were killed in the assault by Russian forces. President Putin has vowed to pursue terrorists and wipe them out wherever they may be.

> *"The situation in the [Chechen] republic has become complicated to such a level that the territory has become a springboard for the expansion of international terrorism into Russia."*
>
> *—Vladimir Putin*

Coping with Economic Change

At the eye of Russia's political storm has been the country's economic crisis. Since 1989, when the old Soviet economy began turning sharply downward, Russia's economic output has shrunk by over half (twice as deep a drop as America suffered during the depression of the 1930s). Private ownership has largely replaced state control as the foundation of the economy. A handful of Russians have amassed fortunes in the transition, while the great majority have seen their living standards plunge.

Much of the old Soviet economic system was torn down in the months following the failed coup of August 1991. The initiative at the time belonged to a group of bold economic reformers who formed the inner circle of Yeltsin's government. With the help of advisers from the United States and other Western countries, they drafted a program known as "shock therapy" to lay the groundwork for a free-market economy in Russia.

What were the components of shock therapy?

The most important changes began in January 1992. Price controls were lifted on 90 percent of the goods sold in Russia. Government spending was slashed and plans were drawn up to place state-run companies in the hands of private owners. The "Boys in Reeboks," as the team of economic advisers was

The Economy and the Environment

The Soviet past has been an especially heavy burden for Russia's big industrial firms. The closed nature of the Soviet economy discouraged technological innovation, leaving many Russian factories with outdated and inefficient equipment. Moreover, Soviet industry was particularly wasteful of raw materials and energy. For example, the Soviet Union used 80 percent more steel than the United States, even though its economy was less than half the size of the U.S. economy.

The Soviet system led to nightmarish pollution as well. In many areas, industrial wastes were dumped untreated into rivers and lakes. Sixty-one million Russians already live in environmentally dangerous conditions. In 120 Russian cities, air pollution levels are five times higher than acceptable, according to Russia's own standards. One million tons of oil—the equivalent of twenty-five Exxon Valdez spills—leak out of pipelines and into Russia's soil and water every month. The Russian news agency Tass reports that 30 percent of Chechnya is an ecological disaster zone. At least for the moment, cleaning up the environment has been overshadowed by the demands of the marketplace.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

called, realized that many of the inefficient, state-run factories that had been kept alive during the Soviet era would have to close or drastically trim their work forces. They predicted, however, that free-market competition would give rise to new companies and generate new jobs.

Yeltsin's popularity at first overwhelmed many of shock therapy's opponents. By the summer of 1992, the factory managers, farm directors, and other defenders of the old Soviet economic system were ready to fight back. The main point of contention was the budget. The reformers favored cutting government subsidies to state-run industries. Their opponents countered that the reform plan would result in economic chaos.

Victor Bogorad in the *St. Petersburg Press*

How did disagreements over economic policy lead to civil unrest?

The political tug-of-war muddied the economic picture. Critics of shock therapy succeeded in maintaining the flow of government subsidies to big industries. Consequently, unemployment rose only slightly. Government presses, however, were working overtime to print money so that state-run factories and farms could pay their workers. At the same time, government officials imposed heavy taxes to hold down the budget deficit. In 1992, prices for consumer goods increased twenty-five times. Production fell by 19 percent, while the buying power of workers dropped by more than 40 percent. In 1993, prices increased another nine times and production dropped by 12 percent.

By September 1993, the confrontation over economic policy led Yeltsin to dissolve parliament. Street violence between anti-Yeltsin forces and troops loyal to the president erupted in Moscow in early October. The clash left the top floors of the Russian parliament in flames and killed at least 140 people. Although Yeltsin defeated his political foes, his country's economic performance failed to generate support for the free market.

Did foreign investors help Russia enter the global marketplace?

Reformers had hoped that foreign investors would help usher Russia into the global marketplace. Instead, most invested in retailing rather than manufacturing. Pepsi, Bacardi, Milky Way, McDonald's, Calvin Klein, Polaroid and other popular brand names have won a niche in the Russian market while contributing little to Russia's economic development. Compared to the former communist economies of Hungary, Poland, and the Czech Republic, Russia has lagged behind in attracting foreign investors.

What were the initial results of economic reform?

The shock waves from Russia's initial leap toward economic reform gradually subsided after 1993, but without bringing about the changes that economic reformers had anticipated. Few of the new businesses they had expected to see sprout actually materialized. Only a small trickle of investment entered the economy, not the ample stream that had been forecast.

Meanwhile, the central government proved unable to put its fiscal house in order. At the insistence of the Duma, subsidies continued to flow. Tax evasion

was widespread, depriving the government of badly needed revenue. Basic government services, such as education, health care, and road maintenance, were starved of funding. By 1997, the government owed back wages and state benefits to more than sixty-five million Russians.

Russia's budget problems eventually rippled through the entire economy. Companies that did not receive payment from the government typically refused to pay other companies with which they did business. In turn, workers who went without their paychecks were unable to meet their bills for housing, electricity, heating, and other services.

To make matters worse, a drop in energy prices in the mid-1990s reduced government revenue from exports of oil and gas. Moscow was compelled to increase its borrowing from foreign lenders. In August 1998, confidence in the Russian ruble collapsed. Within a few days, the value of Russia's currency compared to the dollar dropped more than threefold.

Cherepanov in *Krokodil*

Putin takes on corruption.

How did Yeltsin respond to Russia's economic crisis in August 1998?

The fall of the ruble triggered a new economic crisis in Russia. Yeltsin was forced to appoint a new prime minister, Yevgeny Primakov, who was more acceptable to the communists and nationalists of the Duma. Primakov attempted to weaken the power of the oligarchs and refused to allow them to see him.

Primakov was to last as prime minister for nine months. Yeltsin, battling accusations of corruption, incompetence, and rising dissatisfaction with worsening economic conditions would change Prime Ministers three times in a year, before settling on Vladimir Putin as Prime Minister in August of 1999. Putin would succeed Yeltsin in the presidency in January of 2000.

What are the economic challenges facing President Putin?

The economic challenges inherited by President Putin are considerable. After years of reform, all but a few vital sectors of Russia's economy, such as defense, nuclear energy, and transportation, have been placed under private ownership. Russia's integration into the world marketplace doomed many of the country's manufacturing industries.

Virtually gone are the industries that once produced household electronics, textiles, and other consumer goods for the closed Soviet market. In most cases, Russian factories have been unable to compete with the flood of foreign imports. They have also lacked the funding to improve quality and upgrade productivity. Spending on research and development in Russia has fallen fifteen times from the level of the Soviet era. The decline in funding for basic science has been even steeper.

In addition, the infrastructure built during the Soviet era has been neglected and is need of repair. For example, the electrical power system is in a state of disrepair. Unless significant investment is made, Russia will face critical shortages of electricity in the coming years.

Russia's government has also stymied local initiative. Russian tax laws and bureaucratic red tape often drove small entrepreneurs out of business or into the black market. Requirements for licenses, permits, and other documents have prompted frustration

and bribery. In response, President Putin has simplified the tax code and attempted to crack down on corruption.

Finally, the government's failure to regulate Russia's new banking system has stunted the development of crucial financial services. Few Russians use credit cards or maintain checking accounts. Even cash has been in short supply.

Is there hope for the Russian economy?

There have been positive trends for the Russian economy since the chaos of 1998. Although the future is uncertain, Russia enjoys economic advantages that may eventually pay off. The country has a well-educated work force and a large market for local businesses. The framework of Russia's financial and legal system, while shaky by Western standards, is slowly being strengthened and puts Russia ahead of China and other developing economies.

A small middle class is beginning to regain a foothold in Russian society, mainly in Moscow and other large cities. The privatization of state-run firms, for example, has created a new breed of specialists in investment, insurance, and other financial services. The economic down-turn of 1998 cut off the flood of imports and gave Russian firms a chance to begin to compete in their home market.

In addition, Russia's high-tech industries in weapons, metals, space research, and nuclear technology are competitive in the world market. The economic chaos of 1998 did not prevent Russia from putting the first component of the international space station into orbit.

There are other economic bright spots. Russia's vast oil and natural gas reserves are a source of great wealth and important tax revenues. Indeed, Russia seems to be positioning itself as a reliable alternative to the petroleum from the politically volatile Middle East. Russia now produces as many barrels of oil per day as Saudi Arabia. High world oil prices have provided a real boost to Russia's economy.

Russia has also begun to make use of its wealth of raw materials. With one-third of the world's natural gas and about one-quarter of global reserves for coal, diamonds, gold, aluminum, and nickel, Russia's natural resources are unmatched.

Even within Russia's heavy industrial sector, the directors of Uralmash, an energy company, have formed a joint venture with two American firms. Uralmash products now include a new model of oil rig that better meets the conditions of Russia's oil fields.

Why does President Putin hope Russia will join the World Trade Organization?

President Putin hopes to further integrate Russia into the world economy by joining the World Trade Organization (WTO) by 2004. Putin believes that membership will strengthen the Russian economy and force Russia to bring its legal and economic policies into line with much of the rest of the world. Critics of WTO membership fear that the changes would harm the recovering Russian

Why has life expectancy decreased in Russia?

Mortality rates for working-age Russians are now higher than they were a century ago. Life expectancy for Russian men has steadily fallen since the collapse of the Soviet Union. The average Russian boy born in 2002 is expected to live only sixty-two years (as compared to seventy-two years in the United States). Health experts blame high rates of smoking and alcoholism, but they also report a growing failure to vaccinate children against preventable diseases and an increase in birth defects that may be linked to the environmental abuses of the Soviet era. HIV/AIDS, other sexually transmitted diseases, and tuberculosis are spreading rapidly and poses a significant threat to public health.

Not only has life expectancy declined, the birth rates have dropped as well. Russians, not sure of a secure future, are simply not having children. In the first four months of 2000, deaths outnumbered births by a factor of nearly two-to-one. If this trend continues, and experts think that it is not easily reversible, Russia's population could shrink by one third to 100 million by 2025.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

economy, which they see as not ready to compete with the West.

> *"Our country is still excluded from setting of world trade rules. This leads to a stagnation of the Russian economy and a decline in competitiveness."*
>
> *—President Vladimir Putin*

How has the new economic system changed the principles of Russian society?

While the Russian economy has shown positive trends recently, the dismantling of the Soviet economic system turned Russian society on its head. Throughout the Soviet era, limiting economic inequality was a core principle of Russian society. Suspicion and envy were often directed at those who climbed above their neighbors financially. Since the fall of communism, limiting economic inequality has fallen by the wayside. The gap between the rich and the poor in Russia is now among the world's widest. The shift has left most Russians resentful and apprehensive. Many of them have slipped into poverty. At the same time, they have witnessed the rise of a new class of wealthy entrepreneurs.

Moscow is the unrivalled center of the Russian economy. Much of the foreign investment coming into Russia in recent years has been concentrated in Moscow. The city's per capita income is over three times higher than the Russian average. To prevent the capital from being overwhelmed by out-of-towners, Mayor Yuri Luzhkov strictly enforces Soviet-era rules that require Muscovites to obtain residency permits.

At the pinnacle of Moscow society, the city's newly rich have carved out a life of luxury and exclusivity for themselves. Moscow, as well as other big Russian cities, is dotted with private social clubs. For annual dues of several thousands of dollars, members enjoy casino gambling, gourmet dining, cabaret performances, striptease shows, and even cockroach races. The wealth and authority of successful businessmen and top government bureaucrats have allowed them to claim the special vacation resorts and first-rate hospitals that were once reserved for high-ranking Soviet officials.

What role does organized crime play in Russia?

The old social order has been demolished too. Violent crime has soared in recent years. Criminal gangs have made extortion and drug trafficking commonplace, contributing to a murder rate over twice as high as that of the United States. In many Russian cities, shopkeepers, small factory owners, and other businessmen are forced to pay protection money to the Russian Mafia. Members of Russia's business elite are constantly ringed by bodyguards and travel in bullet-proof cars.

Mafia bosses have come to dominate entire sectors of the economy, such as foreign trade, diamond and gold mining, and entertainment. Bribes to corrupt government officials have allowed organized crime to evade regulations and taxes. The Mafia has even sought to gain access to nuclear materials and sell them on the international black market.

How has the new economy changed the daily financial concerns of Russians?

These sudden changes shocked Russians accustomed to the economic security and law and order of the Soviet system. Few people other than the Communist Party elite in the Soviet era would have been considered rich by American standards, but neither was there much desperate poverty. Soviet officials kept down costs for housing, bread, utilities, public transportation, and other basic necessities through government subsidies. Apartment rent, for example, typically amounted to less than 5 percent of a family's income. Guaranteed employment was the foundation of the communist system. Workers understood that their jobs were protected regardless of economic conditions or changes in technology. Moreover, housing, health care, special deliveries of food, and other benefits were all tied to employment.

Increasingly, the pressures of the marketplace, reductions in government spending, and new bankruptcy laws have forced employers to lay off workers. By 2001, unemployment was at 8.7 percent. Ailing enterprises that have not raised salaries in line with inflation are also common. Others have withheld

wages for months at a time. Many struggling workers lack the skills and initiative to make a living in the new economy. Meanwhile, they are finding that they can expect only meager help from the government.

> *"Ordinary Russians do not understand what it [capitalism] means. What they see are the rich seizing power."*
>
> *—Tatyana Tolstaya, Russian writer*

In human terms, the losers in Russia's economic transformation far outnumber the winners. According to some estimates, 40 percent of the country's population lives below the poverty level. Women, elderly pensioners, and farm workers have been especially hard hit. High rates of malnutrition, disease, and alcoholism have the country heading for a serious health crisis.

Russia's Foreign Policy

The new Russia occupies a unique position in world affairs. Its nuclear weapons and huge military are the legacy of a superpower. The worldview of its policymakers has been shaped by centuries of Russian expansion in which Russia's position in the world was the focus of government. Yet, the economic limits of today's Russia have deprived Moscow of the means to pursue an assertive foreign policy.

Why did Russia initially overlook its ties with other states of the Former Soviet Union?

Following the Soviet collapse, Russia initially sought to build new bridges to the West. The emphasis on close relations with the West led the Yeltsin administration to overlook its ties with the other states of the former Soviet Union (FSU).

While Russia neglected its former Soviet neighbors, the full impact of the Soviet Union's disintegration hit home. Under the Soviet system, the republics had been linked economically not only to Moscow, but to each other. Without a framework to sort out economic relations, many of the ties that had held together the Soviet economy unraveled. Russian factory directors were suddenly cut off from vital supplies of parts and raw materials. Trade among Russia and the other FSU states plummeted, contributing in large part to the region's economic crisis.

With respect to defense, Russia lost possession of radar stations, air bases, and other key military installations located elsewhere in the FSU. These facilities had been deliberately built on the periphery of the Soviet Union to protect the heartland of the country from attack.

Meanwhile, Russia's borders with its former Soviet neighbors were poorly defended and geographically vulnerable. Russian officials feared that without control over the old Soviet borders their country would be easy prey for drug traffickers, terrorists, and others seeking to exploit Russia's weakness.

By the end of 1992, more traditional Russian foreign policy interests and concerns had again moved to the top of Moscow's agenda. In the process, Russian leaders gradually tilted away from the West and instead shifted their focus to the other states of the FSU. A new term—"near abroad"—emerged to describe Russia's perspective on their former Soviet neighbors. Of particular concern are the many ethnic Russians who live in these neighboring lands.

How has Russia's influence over other former Soviet Republics changed?

Russia hoped to use the many policy levers at its disposal to carve out a sphere of influence in the near abroad. Most of the other former Soviet republics were dependent on Russia for energy, or at least for energy pipelines. Military, transportation, and communication links also created a common bond.

Russia, however, could not hide its fundamental weaknesses, especially from its neighbors. Economically, Russia has had little to offer in the way of investment and innovative technology. Like Russia itself, the rest of the FSU has been compelled to turn to the United States, Western Europe, and East Asia to fund economic development.

Why are Russia's relationships with Ukraine and Kazakhstan important?

In general Russian policy in the FSU has taken on a moderate tone. Special emphasis has been placed

on relations with Ukraine and Kazakhstan. Other than Russia itself, Ukraine and Kazakhstan are the largest former Soviet states. Both countries are rich in raw materials and contain substantial Russian communities within their borders.

How successful have Russia's efforts been to assert its economic interests elsewhere?

Elsewhere in the FSU, the efforts of Russia to assert its economic interests have likewise met limited success. In the energy-rich Caspian Sea Basin, Russia is locked in competition with the United States, West-

Ukraine and Kazakhstan

Ukraine: The relationship between Russia and Ukraine has been especially complex. In many respects, Ukraine is the birthplace of Russian culture. Kiev, Ukraine's capital, was the center of the Russian state when Russia's leaders accepted Christianity in 988. Russia and Ukraine have been closely linked politically and economically since the 18th century, when the Russian empire established control over much of what is today Ukraine. Under the Soviets, Ukraine served as the country's breadbasket and developed a large industrial base. Even today, 22 percent of Ukraine's fifty million people are ethnically Russian.

After the break-up of the Soviet Union, the Crimean peninsula quickly became a focal point of tension between Russia and Ukraine. The Crimea, which juts into the Black Sea, was officially transferred from Russia to Ukraine in 1954. Russians currently form roughly 70 percent of the population. Russian nationalists in the Crimea maintained that the region is historically Russian.

On the southern tip of the peninsula, the future of a Soviet-era naval base at Sevastopol provoked another dispute. Both Russia and Ukraine claimed the naval base and the 300 warships that made up the Soviet Union's Black Sea fleet. Following several years of negotiation, Russia has ironed out its main differences with Ukraine. Yeltsin backed away from supporting the separatist campaign of Russian nationalists in the Crimea. He and Ukrainian President Leonid Kuchma also signed an agreement in 1997 granting Russia four-fifths of the Black Sea fleet and a twenty-year lease for the naval base at Sevastopol. Meanwhile, trade has continued to draw Russia and Ukraine closer together economically.

Russia's compromise with Ukraine signalled a retreat for Moscow. In Yeltsin's first years, Russia had aimed to bring Ukraine securely under its wing, especially in the area of foreign and economic policy. While Ukraine has close economic and security relations with Russia, it has balanced Russia's influence in the region by developing new ties with the West. For instance, NATO has been holding regular exercises in Ukraine, a trend seen as worrisome by Russian officials.

Kazakhstan: Similarly, Russia has given ground in its relations with Kazakhstan. As in Ukraine, Kazakh attitudes toward Russia are colored by a complicated history. The Kazakhs, like the other Muslim peoples of Central Asia, were conquered by Russia in the 1800s. Almaty, Kazakhstan's largest city, was founded as a Russian garrison in 1854. Under Soviet rule, Russians came to dominate Kazakhstan's major cities, key industries, and the area around the Soviet Union's most important space complex at Baykonur. Northern Kazakhstan was almost entirely Russian.

Since gaining independence, Kazakhstan has joined Russia in promoting military cooperation among the former Soviet republics. Kazakhstan's long-term goals, however, do not match those of Russia. Kazakh leaders have sought to distance themselves economically from Russia. They have eagerly embraced Western partners, such as American oil companies, to develop their considerable energy resources. They have also taken steps to block Russian investment in their newly privatized firms.

Within their government, Kazakh leaders have moved swiftly to replace Russian officials with their own people. Kazakh has replaced Russian as the official language. The policies have sparked protest within Kazakhstan's Russian community, which makes up 35 percent of the population.

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

ern Europe, and Turkey. The West has lent its support to the efforts of Kazakhstan, Azerbaijan, and Turkmenistan to break out of Russia's orbit. Western companies have pumped billions of dollars of investment into the three former Soviet republics' energy industries. At the same time, Russia has sought to maintain a stake in the Caspian Basin's development.

The scramble for the riches of the Caspian Basin has heightened concerns among some Russians that they are being outflanked by the West in their traditional backyard. In the wake of the Soviet collapse, they had hoped to bind their former Soviet neighbors in a closely knit military and economic confederation. The Commonwealth of Independent States, formed under Moscow's guidance in 1991, was meant to serve as a tool for Russia's strategy. The organization, however, has evolved into little more than a discussion forum.

Instead, Moscow has stressed strengthening its links to a handful of allies. Russia has close ties with Belarus. The two countries agreed to a union in 1997, although the details and timetable remain unclear. Belarus' hefty debts to Russian energy suppliers, plus the country's lack of economic reform, may undermine the merger. Belarus and Russia are already members of an economic union that includes Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. Under the pact, the four countries have pledged to remove barriers to trade among themselves. Again, however, differences in economic policies and regulations have stymied the union's development.

How has the Russian military changed since the end of the Soviet empire?

Russia's difficulties have been nowhere more evident than in the troubles of the Russian military. A force that once held together a huge empire is today in tatters. Defense spending (once comparable to U.S. levels during the Cold War) has fallen roughly ten times to less than $20 billion annually. One-third of Russia's troops are malnourished. Only one in five is issued an overcoat. In many Russian cities, soldiers openly sell their weapons for extra cash. Even the Russian navy was compelled to sell two aircraft carriers to South Korea as scrap metal in 1996.

Russia's military crisis first became clear during the Chechen rebellion in 1994. Before the fighting began, Russia's defense minister boasted that the uprising would be quashed in two hours. Instead, the first weeks of the war showed that the Russian army was poorly organized and unprepared.

Since the end of the Cold War, Russian leaders have had to rethink the role of the military. Russian soldiers continue to guard the borders in much of the FSU. In Tajikistan, for example, more than 16,000 Russian soldiers have been in place to protect the government there from internal violence and conflict in neighboring Afghanistan. Russian officials fear that turmoil in former Soviet states to the south will expose their own country to a flood of refugees and fuel the spread of radical Islamic fundamentalism among Muslims in Russia.

Russia on the World Stage

Without the superpower status of the Soviet Union, Russian officials have struggled to chart a new course in the international arena. Relations between the West and Russia have had a series of ups and downs since the end of the Soviet Union.

In the months following the Soviet collapse, policymakers on both sides of the old Cold War divide

Cherepanov in *Krokodil*

spoke optimistically of constructing a partnership between the former enemies. Russia cooperated with the West in imposing sanctions on Iraq, a former Soviet ally, after the Persian Gulf War. In 1993, Russian and U.S. leaders agreed to a sweeping nuclear arms control agreement, pledging to reduce the number of long-range nuclear weapons in their arsenals by over two-thirds.

What issues have caused friction between the West and Russia?

The honeymoon came to an end as Russia and the West found themselves on opposite sides of the fence on more and more issues. The United States, in particular, was seen by many in Moscow as the international rival (as it had been for years). Some Russian policymakers have sought to drive a wedge between Western Europe and the United States. They have also shown a readiness to do business with America's foes and rivals. In Iran, for example, Russian companies are building nuclear power plants and developing Iran's natural gas reserves.

The 1997 decision to admit three former Soviet satellite countries in Central Europe—Poland, Hungary, and the Czech Republic—into the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) prompted loud complaints. Although Western officials gave Moscow a voice in NATO at the time, the alliance's expansion confirmed for most Russians that their country is still perceived as an enemy in Washington.

Relations between the United States and Russia hit a low point following NATO military action in Kosovo against Serbia in the spring of 1999. The war-torn Balkan region has been a point of contention between Russia and the United States since the mid-1990s. Russia historically has been a protector of the region's Serbs who share the Orthodox Christian faith of Russia. In contrast, the United States has held Serb leaders in Yugoslavia and Bosnia primarily responsible for much of the region's violence. In spite of these differences, U.S. and Russian troops have worked successfully together in United Nations peacekeeping missions in Bosnia since 1995 and Kosovo since 1999.

Cherepanov in *Krokodil*

Why does President Putin want close ties with Western Europe and the United States?

In spite of these periods of difficulty, President Putin entered office believing that Russia stands to gain from cultivating close ties with the powerful economies of Western Europe and the United States.

To the East, Russia has won few friends. Russia's relations with Japan have been hobbled by a dispute over ownership of the Kuriles, a chain of islands north of Japan that the Soviet Union seized at the end of World War II. While Russia maintains close economic and diplomatic ties with China, Russian military strategists still view the East Asian giant as their country's chief long-term threat.

How did the events of September 11 affect U.S.-Russian relations?

Since September 11, 2001 the relationship between Russia and the United States has undergone a significant change. Russia has shared valuable intelligence information with the United States, provided military support in Afghanistan, and even allowed U.S. planes to be based in the former Soviet Republic of Kyrgyzstan. This unprecedented cooperation has affected other aspects of the U.S.-Russian relations as well.

Russia continues to be mired in a war against

rebels in the mostly Islamic province of Chechnya. While Russia had described it as a war against international Islamist terrorists, the United States had considered it an excessive use of military force and criticized Russia for continuous gross violations of human rights. Since September 11, U.S. criticism of the Russian campaign in Chechnya has been muted.

In addition, there have been breakthrough agreements on nuclear weapons and increased cooperation with NATO. The breakthroughts have occurred even though proposed NATO membership for the former Soviet Republics of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania has angered Russia. Some also believed that the U.S. withdrawal in 2001 from the 1972 Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty would lead to a deteriorating relationship. Nevertheless, President Putin seems determined to become a strategic partner of the United States. In just one example, Russia has offered to supply oil through Alaska to help reduce U.S. oil dependence on the Middle East. The European Union already depends heavily on Russian natural gas. However, not all Russians share President Putin's enthusiasm for a new relationship with the United States.

In spite of the improved climate between Washington and Moscow, several unresolved issues remain in U.S.-Russian relations. These include questions surrounding the concerns about NATO expansion and Russian entry into the WTO. U.S.-Russian negotiations on these issues will undoubtedly be affected by the two countries' relationship in the U.S. campaign against terrorism.

Like the Russian people themselves, you will have an opportunity in the coming days to consider a range of alternatives for Russia's future. The three viewpoints, or Futures, that you will explore in the next part of this unit are written from a Russian perspective. Each is based on a distinct set of values and beliefs about the appropriate economic system, political structure, and foreign policy strategy for Russia. You should think of the Futures as a tool designed to help you better understand the contrasting political philosophies from which the Russian people may choose.

At the end of this unit, you will be asked to create a Future that reflects your own beliefs and opinions about where Russia should be heading. You may borrow heavily from one Future, or you may combine ideas from several Futures. Or you may take a new approach altogether. You will need to weigh the risks and trade-offs of whatever you decide. There are, of course, no perfect solutions.

Futures in Brief

Future 1 — Restore State Control

The break-up of the Soviet Union will go down in Russian history as a disgraceful episode. In less than a decade, we fell from the status of mighty superpower to being an international beggar. The humiliation must end. Russia's power and influence must be restored. Russia's future must be rebuilt on the greatness of our past. The industrial strength that was developed under the state control of the Soviet leadership must be revived. In the area of foreign policy, we should begin by restoring the might of the Russian military. Only through strength can we regain the prestige that has been squandered. No one, particularly the United States, should stand in the way of our right to protect our national security.

Future 2 — Proceed with Firmness

Change in Russian history has too often resulted in chaos, turmoil, and misery. This time, however, the past cannot be allowed to repeat itself. To reach a brighter future, we must now put aside the political slogans that have divided us. Above all, the times demand firmness, discipline, and pragmatism. Economic progress must be measured in terms of practical results. Our main goals are to develop a prosperous market economy and a strong, efficient state. Democracy and civil society are important, but they should not hinder achieving Russia's revival as a great country. In the area of foreign policy, we should pursue our national interests with cool calculation. In all of our efforts, let us be guided not by rigid doctrines, but by what is best for Russia.

Future 3 — Look to the West

The end of the Cold War brought down a barrier that had long separated Russia from the outside world. For the first time since 1917, we Russians have an opportunity to enter the mainstream of Western civilization. The course of history is clear. Societies that reject the principles of democracy and free-market economics will be left behind as humanity advances. We must begin with a firm commitment to free-market economics and democracy. Economic competition must be allowed to transform Russia. Foreign investors willing to contribute to our economic development must not be chased off by corrupt bureaucrats and a undeveloped legal system. Russia's past obsession with foreign policy must not be allowed to get in the way of our transformation.

Future 1

Restore State Control

The break-up of the Soviet Union will go down in Russian history as a disgraceful episode. Boris Yeltsin and Mikhail Gorbachev allowed their own petty political squabbles to destroy the unity of the Slavic heartland. Their blunders were compounded by so-called "reformers" who have made our country economically dependent on the West and have enriched a handful of opportunists. In less than a decade, we fell from the status of mighty superpower to being an international beggar. The humiliation must end. Russia's power and influence must be restored. We cannot turn our back on our destiny.

Russia's future must be rebuilt on the greatness of our past. The industrial strength that was developed under Soviet leadership must be revived. Government and industry should work as partners to make Russia a respected leader in high-tech fields. We must promote economic development that allows Russia to stand tall among the nations of the world, dependent on no one. Russia's reserves of gas, oil, gold, and other natural resources belong to the Russian people. They must be taken back from the greedy tycoons who have laid claim to them and returned to their rightful owners. Under state control, Russia's vast resources can generate the income to fund our country's industrial revival. In the area of foreign policy, we should begin by restoring the might of the Russian military. Only through strength can we regain the prestige that has been squandered. In the FSU, all must understand that Russian interests cannot be ignored. The historical borders of our homeland — drawn over the centuries with Russian blood — cannot be erased overnight. No one, particularly the United States, should stand in the way of our right to protect our national security. Russia's greatness is forever.

What policies should Russia pursue?

Economically, Russia must concentrate on reviving industries that are essential to protecting our national security and restoring our superpower status. The defense and space sectors should receive special attention. The privatization of the energy and mining industries must be reversed. The government must again take control of our nation's most profitable enterprises and slap down the wheeler-dealers who have grown rich through bribery and theft. High tariffs should be put into place to stop the flood of imports from abroad and protect our industries from unfair competition. Economic self-sufficiency should again be emphasized.

Politically, Russia must draw on its own political traditions. Western democracy is not suited to a country as huge and diverse as Russia. Rather, Russians demand a leader with the determination and authority to put an end to the politics of division, defeat crime, stamp out corruption, and ensure that the Chechen rebellion is the last challenge to our state. The government must have the strength and vision to unite our people in a common purpose.

In foreign policy, we must recognize that Russia's sphere of influence consists of the lands that over the centuries were settled by the Russian people and belonged to the Russian empire. Our interests in the rest of the FSU must be accepted by outside powers. Those republics, such as Belarus, that wish to reunify with their historical homeland should be welcomed back. Russia seeks normal relations with the West, but we demand respect from the United States and its allies. The eastward expansion of NATO amounts to a slap in the face. Likewise, the encroachment of Western oil companies into the Caspian Basin is a threat to our economic security. To again take our rightful position in international affairs, we must sacrifice to restore the strength and discipline of the Russian military.

Lessons from history

The Russian character has been molded by geography. Spread over the vast plain of Eurasia, Russians have been exposed to invaders from all sides. Our people have had no choice but to rally around a strong state and a powerful army. In this century alone, Russia has faced the full might of Germany twice and squared off against the United States in the tense Cold War. In the struggle against Nazi Germany, we were fighting an enemy that sought nothing less than our complete annihilation. A lesser people in similar circumstances would have perished long ago, but we Russians have learned that unity is the key to our survival.

PROS

SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS

1. Strengthening the hand of the state will smash the criminal mafias and Chechen bandits who have terrorized Russia in recent years, give our people a common sense of purpose, and heal the divisions that have torn Russian society.

2. Restoring Russia's military strength will give Russia greater leverage in its dealings with other countries.

&

3. Reasserting Russia's sphere of influence in the FSU will promote economic development by restoring the economic ties that were severed by the break-up of the Soviet Union.

4. Re-establishing state control over Russia's energy and mineral resources will provide the central government the revenue to overcome crippling budget deficits and to carry out its proper functions.

CONS

OPPOSING ARGUMENTS

1. Concentrating power in the central government will play into the hands of a future dictator seeking to recreate a police state and crush individual freedom.

2. An aggressive stance in international affairs will lead to tension with the West and harm Russia's efforts to attract foreign investment and expand exports.

3. Strengthening the authority of government bureaucrats will only deepen the corruption and insider dealing that have held back Russia's economic progress.

4. Recreating an empire, pursuing reckless foreign policy adventures, and building up the military will divert resources from Russia's urgent economic and social problems.

5. Pressuring the countries of the FSU to accept Russian dominance will result in conflict between Russia and its neighbors.

6. Tying Russia's economy to the sinking fortunes of Belarus and other backward Soviet republics will act as a drag on Russia's economic progress.

Future 2

Proceed with Firmness

Change in Russian history has too often resulted in chaos, turmoil, and misery. Today, history has once again thrust change upon us. We are living through a period of great confusion and uncertainty. This time, however, the past cannot be allowed to repeat itself. To reach a brighter future, we must now put aside the political slogans that have divided us. We cannot go back to the failed Soviet system. Neither can we wrap ourselves completely in alien Western values and structures. Russia's situation is unique, and we must find solutions that specifically fit our own circumstances. Weak government and reckless policies will result in disaster. Above all, the times demand firmness, discipline, and pragmatism.

Economic progress must be measured in terms of practical results, not abstract formulas. Competition and innovation can help propel our country forward, but criminal capitalism must not be allowed to overwhelm the order and stability of our society. Our main goals are to develop a prosperous market economy and a strong, efficient state. Democracy and civil society are important, but they should not hinder us from achieving Russia's revival as a great country. Likewise, the government must ensure that reform is always in step with Russia's national security. In the area of foreign policy, we should pursue our national interests with cool calculation. In the FSU, we must pick and choose our allies wisely. Beyond the old Soviet borders, Russia needs trade and investment from the advanced countries of the West and East Asia to achieve our long-term economic goals. At the same time, we should reject U.S. attempts to dictate our relations with Iran, Iraq, and Serbia. In all of our efforts, let us be guided not by rigid doctrines, but by what is best for Russia.

What policies should Russia pursue?

Economically, the central government should protect the stability of Russian society. The state must wrest control from greedy oligarchs who drain money from enterprises that once provided revenue to the state. Taxes must be collected to fund the government. Wages must be paid on time. The terms for paying our country's foreign debt must be renegotiated to ease the economic pressure on the central government. The health of our vital defense industries must be nurtured by state support and barriers to unfair trade. In addition, we should work to strengthen the economic ties that link us to other countries of the FSU. Beyond the FSU, we should seek to expand our foreign trade, especially with the nations of Eastern Europe and Asia.

Politically, Russia should not be constrained by models from either the West or the Soviet era. Russia cannot be allowed to veer in one direction and then another as political parties or powerful business interests vie for power. Above all, we should construct a political system that protects the social order without turning back the clock to the Soviet police state. The central government should have a strong hand to safeguard Russia's national interests. Internal conflicts in Chechnya and elsewhere must be settled with firmness to ensure order and security for our people.

In foreign policy, Russia must steer an independent course. To promote national security, we should pursue closer ties with the key countries of the FSU, particularly Ukraine and Kazakstan. While trade and cooperation with the West are important, we will never accept the dictates of Washington. Russian interests, not U.S. ambition, should determine our policy toward the Middle East, East Asia, and other crucial regions. By the same token, we must not permit emotion to overwhelm common sense. For now, we must learn to live with NATO expansion in Central Europe and the presence of Western energy companies in the Caspian Sea Basin, even as we work to gradually rebuild Russia and reassert our influence.

74

Lessons from history

Russia has repeatedly suffered at the hands of leaders bent on rapid change. In this century alone, millions have died in communism's efforts to reshape the Russian people. During the civil war of 1917-20, the vain ambitions of Lenin and his followers left Russia ravaged and starving. Even worse was Stalin's campaign in the 1930s to impose state control over every facet of the economy. In trying to speed up the wheel of history, Lenin and Stalin in fact planted the seeds of the communist system's destruction. Yeltsin's blind leap into capitalism was likewise doomed. Like his communist predecessors, Yeltsin too put his faith in dangerously flawed theories.

PROS

SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS

1. Setting Russia on a moderate and balanced course will undercut the appeal of extremists on both sides of the political spectrum.

2. Reasserting the role of the state to wrest control of the economy from the oligarchs will protect workers from the harshest features of capitalism and help the people regain faith in government.

&

3. Maintaining good relations with key former Soviet countries will lay the foundation for solid economic partnerships and military cooperation.

4. Pursuing a flexible, independent foreign policy will open up trade opportunities for Russia and allow our country to steer clear of international conflicts.

CONS

OPPOSING ARGUMENTS

1. Economic reforms and capitalism have brought only hardship and suffering to the people.

2. A strong, efficient leadership that is willing to put economic development before democratic rights risks a return to the autocratic ways of Russia's past.

3. A foreign policy that seeks friendly relations with all countries of the West ignores the fact that on many issues our country's interests clash with those of the West.

4. By not cooperating with the United States and its allies on certain issues like Iraq and Serbia, Russia compromises its relationships with the leading industrial democracies.

5. Money devoted to military industries diverts resources from combating the health problems and economic hardships that are causing our declining birthrates.

6. The use of military force to settle conflicts like the one in Chechnya will cost Russian lives and serves little strategic purpose.

Future 3

Look to the West

The end of the Cold War brought down a barrier that has long separated Russia from the outside world. For the first time since 1917, we Russians have an opportunity to enter the mainstream of Western civilization. In the past, Russians have failed to live up to the challenge. If we fail today, future generations of Russians will not forgive us. The course of history is clear. Societies that reject the principles of democracy and free-market economics will be left behind as humanity advances. We can no longer retreat into the ignorance, backwardness, and barbarity that has kept our people in chains. Generations of Russian reformers have been struck down by power-hungry tyrants before they could reach their goals. Now we must complete the journey and link Russia to the outside world.

The path Russia must follow is clearly marked. We must begin with a firm commitment to free-market economics and democracy. The rule of law must apply to everyone, including our leaders. Private property must be guaranteed. Economic competition must be allowed to transform Russia. A fair and efficient system of tax collection must be put in place. Foreign investors willing to contribute to our economic development must not be chased off by corrupt bureaucrats and a undeveloped legal system. Russia's past obsession with foreign policy must not be allowed to get in the way of our transformation. We cannot be shackled to the interests of a failed empire. The struggling nations of Central Asia and Transcaucasia offer little for Russia's future. There is no need for military priorities to again dominate our society. Rather, we should act as a responsible member of the international community. Russia will not be transformed overnight, but ultimately we will be able to take our rightful place among the nations of the West.

What policies should Russia pursue?

Economically, Russia must strive to join the advanced economies of the United States, Western Europe, and East Asia in the global marketplace. The barriers to free-market competition must continue to be dismantled. The tax system must be reformed to encourage investment and to provide the government with a reliable stream of revenue. The government must repay its debts and, in turn, require that businesses and private individuals repay theirs. The institution of private property should be clearly protected under the law.

Politically, the fundamental principles of democracy must guide Russia's future. Freedom of expression, respect for human rights, checks and balances among branches of government, and fair elections must be the cornerstones of Russia's political system. A balanced federal system should be created, like that in the United States, that clearly divides political power among the local, regional, and central governments.

In foreign policy, Russia's interests lie with the international community. Russia should develop a partnership with the United States, Western Europe, and Japan to ensure peace, stability, and global cooperation. Ultimately, Russia should seek firm economic and security ties with the leading industrial democracies. There is no sense in aligning ourselves with closed, backward countries, such as Iran or China, simply to thumb our nose at the United States. The Russian military should be downsized to meet its role in the new international system. Emphasis should be placed on cooperating with the West to combat the scourge of international terrorism.

Lessons from history

Russian history is the story of missed opportunities. In the 1860s and in the years after 1905, Russia seemed securely on the path toward Western-style democracy. Both reform efforts were thwarted, however, by a conservative backlash. In 1917, a liberal democratic regime came to power only to be swept aside by the communists. Gorbachev's reforms offered hope as well that Russia would finally join the West, but *perestroika* and *glasnost* proved to be half-hearted measures. History shows us that the forces separating Russia from the West are deeply entrenched. They can be overcome only by a long-term commitment to the free-market system and democracy.

PROS

SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS

1. Creating an economic system that shares the same fundamental principles as the economies of the leading industrial democracies will help make Russia a major area of foreign investment.

2. A firm partnership with the West in international affairs will serve to deter Iran, Turkey, China, and other regional powers from trying to spread their influence in the countries of the FSU.

&

3. As the experience of South Korea, Taiwan, and other newly industrialized countries has shown, close cooperation with the international community is Russia's only route to economic development.

4. Opening Russia up to foreign technology and investment will expose Russians to the latest advances in computers, biotechnology, telecommunications and other high-tech fields that they missed out on during the Soviet era.

CONS

OPPOSING ARGUMENTS

1. Closer economic and foreign policy ties between Russia and the West will deepen Russia's economic dependence and international isolation.

2. Overlooking our relations with the other countries of the FSU will leave our borders vulnerable to smuggling, terrorism, Islamic fundamentalism, and other threats to our national security.

3. Opening up Russia to foreign investment will lead to the reckless exploitation of our natural resources and further damage to our environment.

4. Sticking to the principles of capitalism will cost tens of millions of Russians their jobs, widen the gap between rich and poor, and feed conditions that produce crime and violence.

5. Close relations with the West will sharpen tensions between Russia and many non-Western countries, such as China, Iran, and the Muslim states of the FSU.

6. Weakening the authority of the state will encourage regional leaders to set their own course, putting Russia on the path toward chaos and disintegration.

Supplementary Documents

"The Tasks of Business Executives" Speech by Josef Stalin, February 1931

(Below is the abbreviated text of a speech delivered by Josef Stalin to Communist Party leaders. The speech, which was given at a time when Soviet officials were often using brutal methods to impose a command economy, reveals Stalin's interpretation of Russian history.)

...Science, technical experience, knowledge, are all things that can be acquired. We may not have them today, but tomorrow we will. The main thing is to have the passionate Bolshevik desire to master technique, to master the science of production. Everything can be achieved, everything can be overcome, if there is a passionate desire to do so.

It is sometimes asked whether it is not possible to slow down the tempo somewhat, to put a check on the movement. No, comrades, it is not possible! The tempo must not be reduced! On the contrary, we must increase it as much as is within our powers and possibilities. This is dictated to us by our obligations to the workers and peasants of the U.S.S.R. This is dictated to us by our obligations to the working class of the whole world.

To slacken the tempo would mean falling behind. And those who fall behind get beaten! One feature of the history of old Russia was the continual beatings she suffered because of her backwardness. She was beaten by the Mongol khans. She was beaten by the Turkish beys. She was beaten by the Swedish feudal lords. She was beaten by the Polish and Lithuanian gentry. She was beaten by the British and French capitalists. She was beaten by the Japanese barons. All beat her — because of her backwardness, military backwardness, cultural backwardness, political backwardness, industrial backwardness, and agricultural backwardness. They beat her because to do so was profitable and could be done with impunity. Do you remember the words of the prerevolutionary poet: "You are poor and abundant, mighty and impotent, Mother Russia." Those gentlemen were quite familiar with the verses of the old poet. They beat her saying: "You are abundant," so one can enrich oneself at your expense. They beat her saying: "You are poor and impotent," so you can be beaten and plundered with impunity. Such is the law of the exploiters — to beat the backward and the weak. It is the jungle law of capitalism. You are backward, you are weak — therefore you are wrong; hence you can be beaten and enslaved. You are mighty — therefore you are right; hence, we must be wary of you.

That is why we must no longer lag behind.

In the past we had no fatherland, nor could we have one. But now that we have overthrown capitalism and power is in our hands, in the hands of the people, we have a fatherland, and we will defend its independence. Do you want our socialist fatherland to be beaten and to lose its independence? If you do not want this you must put an end to its backwardness in the shortest possible time and develop genuine Bolshevik tempo in building up its socialist system of economy. There is no other way. That is why Lenin said on the eve of the October Revolution: "Either perish, or overtake and outstrip the advanced capitalist countries."

We are fifty or a hundred years behind the advanced countries. We must make good this distance in ten years. Either we do it, or we shall be crushed.

This is what our obligations to the workers and peasants of the U.S.S.R. dictate to us.

But we have other, still more serious and more important obligations. They are obligations to the world proletariat. They coincide with our obligations to the workers and the peasants of the U.S.S.R. But we place them higher. The working class of the U.S.S.R. is part of the world working class. We achieved victory not solely through the efforts of the working class of the U.S.S.R., but also thanks to the support of the working class of the world. Without this support we would have been torn to pieces long ago. It is said that our country is the shock brigade of the proletariat of all countries. This is a fitting definition. But this imposes very serious obligations upon us. Why does the international proletariat support us? How did we merit this support? By the fact that we were the first to hurl ourselves into the battle against capitalism, we were the first to establish a working-class state, we were the first to

start building socialism. By the fact that we are doing work which, if successful, will change the whole world and free the entire working class. But what is needed for success? The elimination of our backwardness, the development of a high Bolshevik tempo of construction. We must march forward in such a way that the working class of the whole world, looking at us, may say: This is my vanguard, this is my shock brigade, this is my working-class state, this is my fatherland; they are promoting their cause, which is *our* cause, and they are doing this well; let us support them against the capitalists and promote the cause of the world revolution. Must we not live up to the hopes of the world's working class, must we not fulfill our obligations to them? Yes, we must if we do not want utterly to disgrace ourselves.

Such are our obligations, internal and international.

As you see, they dictate to us a Bolshevik tempo of development.

I will not say that we have accomplished nothing in regard to economic management during these years. In fact, we have accomplished a good deal. We have doubled our industrial output as compared with the prewar level. We have created the largest-scale agricultural production in the world. But we could have accomplished more had we tried hard during this period really to master production, the technique of production, the financial and economic side of it.

In ten years at most we must make good the distance that separates us from the advanced capitalist countries. We have all the "objective" possibilities for this. The only thing lacking is the ability to take proper advantage of these possibilities. And that depends on us. *Only* on us! It is time we learned to take advantage of these possibilities. It is time to put an end to the rotten policy of noninterference in production. It is time to adopt a new policy, a policy adapted to the present times — the policy of *interfering in everything*. If you are a factory manager, then interfere in all the affairs of the factory, look into everything, let nothing escape you, learn and learn again. Bolsheviks must master technique. It is time Bolsheviks themselves became experts. In the period of reconstruction technique decides everything. And a business executive who does not want to master technique is a joke and not an executive.

It is said that it is hard to master technique. That is not true! There are no fortresses which Bolsheviks cannot capture. We have solved a number of most difficult problems. We have overthrown capitalism. We have assumed power. We have built up a huge socialist industry. We have swung the middle peasants to the path of socialism. We have already accomplished what is most important from the point of view of construction. What remains to be done is not so much: to study technique, to master science. And when we have done that we will develop a tempo of which we dare not even dream at present.

And we will do that if we really want to....

The "Secret Speech" by Nikita Khrushchev
Delivered to the 20th Soviet Communist Party Congress, February 24, 1956

(Below are excerpts of a speech delivered by Nikita Khrushchev to a closed session of Communist Party leaders. The speech marked the first time a prominent Soviet official openly criticized the brutality of Josef Stalin's regime.)

...Comrades: The cult of the individual acquired such monstrous size chiefly because Stalin himself, using all conceivable methods, supported the glorification of his own person. This is supported by numerous facts. One of the most characteristic examples of Stalin's self-gratification and his lack of even elementary modesty is the edition of his *Short Biography*, which was published in 1948....

We need not give here examples of the loathsome adulation filling this book. All we need to add is that they all were approved and edited by Stalin personally and some of them were added in his own handwriting to the draft text of the book.

What did Stalin consider essential to write in his book? Did he want to cool the ardor of his flatterers who were composing his *Short Biography*? No! He marked the very places where he thought the praise of his services was insufficient.

Here are some examples characterizing Stalin's activity, added in Stalin's own hand:

"In this fight against the skeptics and capitulators, the Trotskyites, Zinovievites, Bukharinites and Kamenevites, there was definitely welded together, after Lenin's death, that leading the Party...that upheld the great manner of Lenin, rallied the Party behind Leninist behests, and brought the Soviet people into the broad road of industrializing the country and collectivizing the rural economy. The leader of this core and the guiding force of the Party and the State was Comrade Stalin."

Thus writes Stalin himself! Then he adds:

"Although he performed his task of leader of the Party and the people with consummate skill and enjoyed the unreserved support of the entire Soviet people, Stalin never allowed his work to be marred by the slightest hint of vanity, conceit or self-adulation."

Where and when could a leader so praise himself? Is this worthy of a leader of the Marxist-Leninist type? No. Precisely against this did Marx and Engels take such a strong position. This also was always sharply condemned by Vladimir Ilyich Lenin....

Stalin recognized as the best a text of the national anthem of the Soviet Union which contains not a word about the Communist Party; it contains, however, the following unprecedented praise of Stalin: *"Stalin brought us up in loyalty to the people. He inspired us to great toil and acts."*

In these lines of the anthem is the whole educational, directional, and inspirational activity of the great Leninist party ascribed to Stalin. This is, of course, a clear deviation from Marxism-Leninism, a clear debasing and belittling of the role of the party. We should add for your information that the Presidium of the Central Committee has already passed a resolution concerning the composition of a new text of the anthem, which will reflect the role of the people, and the role of the party. (Loud, prolonged applause.)

And was it without Stalin's knowledge that many of the largest enterprises and towns were named after him? Was it without his knowledge that Stalin monuments were erected in the whole country — these "memorials to the living"? It is a fact that Stalin himself had signed on July 2, 1951, a resolution of the USSR Council of Ministers concerning the erection on the Volga-Don Canal of an impressive monument to Stalin; on September 4 of the same year he issued an order making thirty-three tons of copper available for the construction of this impressive monument. Anyone who has visited the Stalingrad area must have seen the huge statue which is being built there, and that on a site which hardly any people frequent. Huge sums were spent to build it at a time when people of this area had lived since the war in huts. Consider yourself, was Stalin right when he wrote in his biography that "...he did not allow in himself...even a shadow of conceit, pride, or self-adoration"?

At the same time Stalin gave proofs of his lack of respect for Lenin's memory. It is not a coincidence that, despite the decision taken over 30 years ago to build a Palace of Soviets as a monument to Vladimir Ilyich, this palace was not built, its construction was always postponed, and the project allowed to lapse.

We cannot forget to recall the Soviet Government resolution of August 14, 1925, concerning the "founding of Lenin prizes for educational work." This resolution was published in the press, but until this day there are no Lenin prizes. This, too, should be corrected. (Tumultuous, prolonged applause.)

During Stalin's life, thanks to known methods which I have mentioned, and quoting facts, for instance, from the *Short Biography* of Stalin — all events were explained as if Lenin played only a secondary role, even during the October Socialist Revolution. In many films and in many literary works, the figure of Lenin was incorrectly presented and inadmissibility depreciated.

Stalin loved to see the film *The Unforgettable Year of 1919*, in which he was shown on the steps of an armored train and where he was practically vanquishing the foe with his own saber. Let Kliment Yefremovich [Voroshilov, chairman of the Presidium of the Supreme Soviet, 1953-1960], our dear friend, find the necessary courage to write the truth about Stalin; after all, he knows how Stalin had fought. It will be difficult for Comrade Voroshilov to undertake this, but it would be good if he did it. Everyone will approve of it, both the people and the party. Even his grandsons will thank him. (Prolonged applause.)

In speaking about the events of the October Revolution and about the civil war, the impression was created that Stalin always played the main role, as if everywhere and always Stalin had suggested to Lenin what to do and how to do it. However, this is slander to Lenin. (Prolonged applause.)

I will probably not sin against the truth when I say that probably 99 percent of the persons present here heard and knew very little about Stalin before the year 1924, while Lenin was known to all; he was known to the whole party, to the whole nation, from the children to the graybeards. (Tumultuous, prolonged applause.)

All this has to be thoroughly revised, so that history, literature, and the fine arts properly reflect V.I. Lenin's role and the great deeds of our Communist Party and of the Soviet people — the creative people. (Applause.)

Comrades! The cult of the individual has caused the employment of faulty principles in party work and in economic activity; it brought about rude violation of internal party and Soviet democracy, sterile administration, deviations of all sorts, covering up of short comings and varnishing of reality. Our nation gave birth to many flatterers and specialists in false optimism and deceit.

We should also not forget that due to the numerous arrests of party, Soviet and economic leaders, many workers began to work uncertainly, showed over-cautiousness, feared all which was new, feared their own shadows and began to see less initiative in their work....

Stalin's reluctance to consider life's realities and the fact that he was not aware of the real state of affairs in the provinces can be illustrated by his direction of agriculture.

All those who interested themselves even a little in the national situation saw the difficult situation in agriculture, but Stalin never even noted it. Did we tell Stalin about this? Yes, we told him, but he did not support us. Why? Because Stalin never travelled anywhere, did not meet city and *kolkhoz* workers; he did not know the actual situation in the provinces.

He knew the country and agriculture only from films. And these films had dressed up and beautified the existing situation in agriculture....

Vladimir Ilyich Lenin looked at life differently; he was always close to the people; he used to receive peasant delegates, and often spoke at factory gatherings; he used to visit villages and talk with the peasants.

Stalin separated himself from the people and never went anywhere. This lasted tens of years. The last time he visited a village was in January 1928 when he visited Siberia in connection with grain deliveries. How then could he have known the situation in the provinces?...

Comrades! If we sharply criticize today the cult of the individual which was so widespread during Stalin's life and if we speak about the many negative phenomena generated by this cult which is so alien to the spirit of Marxism-Leninism, various persons may ask: How could it be? Stalin headed the party and the country for thirty years and many victories were gained during his lifetime. Can we deny this? In my opinion, the question can be asked in this manner only by those who are blinded and hopelessly hypnotized by the cult of the individual, only by those who do not understand the essence of the revolution and of the Soviet state, only by those who do not understand, in a Leninist manner, the role of the party and of the nation in the development of the Soviet society.

The Socialist Revolution was attained by the working class and by the poor peasantry with the partial support of the middle-class peasants. It was attained by the people under the leadership of the Bolshevik Party. Lenin's great service consisted of the fact that he created a militant party of the working class, but he was armed with Marxist understanding of the laws of social development and with the science of proletarian victory in the fight with capitalism, and he steeled this party in the crucible of revolutionary struggle of the masses of the people.

During this fight the party consistently defended the interests of the people, became its experienced leader, and led the working masses to power, to the creation of the first socialist state....

Our historical victories were attained thanks to the organizational work of the party, to the many provincial organizations, and to the self-sacrificing work of our great nation. These victories are the result of the great drive and activity of the nation and of the party as a whole; they are not at all the fruit of the leadership of Stalin, as the situation was pictured during the period of the cult of the individual....

In the last years, when we managed to free ourselves of the harmful practice of the cult of the individual and took several proper steps in the sphere of internal and external policies, everyone saw how activity grew before their very eyes, how the creative activity of the broad working masses developed, how favorably all of this acted upon the development of economy and of culture. (Applause.)....

Comrades: We must abolish the cult of the individual decisively, once and for all; we must draw the proper conclusions concerning both ideological-theoretical and practical work. It is necessary for this purpose:

First, in a Bolshevik manner to condemn and to eradicate the cult of the individual as alien to Marxism-Leninism and not consonant with the principles of party leadership and the norms of party life, and to fight inexorably all attempts at bringing back this practice in one form or another.

To return to and actually practice in all our ideological work the most important theses of Marxist-Leninist science about the people as the creator of history and as the creator of all material and spiritual good of humanity, about the decisive role of the Marxist party in the revolutionary fight for the transformation of society, about the victory of communism.

In this connection we will be forced to do much work in order to examine critically from the Marxist-Leninist viewpoint and to correct the widely spread erroneous views connected with the cult of the individual in the sphere of history, philosophy, economy and of the other sciences, as well as in literature and the fine arts. It is especially necessary that in the immediate future we compile a serious textbook of the history of our party which will be edited in accordance with the scientific Marxist objectivism, a textbook of the history of Soviet society, a book pertaining to the events of the civil war and the Great Patriotic War.

Secondly, to continue systematically and consistently the work done by the party's Central Committee during the last ten years, a work characterized by minute observation in all party organizations, from the bottom to the top, of the Leninist principles of party leadership, characterized, above all, by the main principle of collective leadership, characterized by the observation of the norms of party life described in the statutes of our party, and finally, characterized by the wide practice of criticism and self-criticism.

Thirdly, to restore completely the Leninist principles of Soviet socialist democracy, expressed in the Constitution of the Soviet Union, to fight willfulness of individuals abusing their power. The evil caused by acts violating revolutionary socialist legality which have accumulated during a long time as a result of the negative influence of the cult of the individual has to be completely corrected.

Comrades! The 20th Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union has manifested with a new strength the unshakable unity of our party, its cohesiveness around the Central Committee, its resolute will to accomplish the great task of building communism. (Tumultuous applause.)....

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

Russian President Vladimir Putin's State of Nation Speech to both Houses of Parliament April 18, 2002

(Below is a BBC transcript of a speech delivered by President Putin. The speech has been given headings for clarification.)
Hello, my friends, respected speakers of the chambers of the federal assembly, respected deputies of the State Duma, members of the Federation Council.

We have once more gathered in this hall in order to sum up the results of the year, and set out the objectives for the coming period.

Our aims remain the same: to develop democracy in Russia; to establish a civilized market and a law-based state; and most importantly, to raise the standard of living of our people.

Russian people looking to the future with more confidence

We have made some achievements here, albeit small ones. Last year, economic growth continued and we succeeded in creating new jobs. Unemployment fell by 700,000. Real incomes rose by almost 6 per cent.

A year ago we set ourselves a modest but extremely important task: to at last bring the average pension in our country above the subsistence level for pensioners. Today we can say that we have completed this task. People look to tomorrow with more certainty. Many are starting to make long-term plans for their lives, trying to get an education and new professions, and it is clearly no accident that last year was a record for Russia in terms of the number of students.

Following an entire era of deficit budgets, when we spent more that we earned, for the second year in a row, the budget has shown a surplus.

We have made progress on developing a market infrastructure, on strengthening guarantees for private property, mainly through improvements to the body of legislation.

I would note the passing of the land and labour codes, the package of pension and judicial reforms, the debureaucratization of the economy and the improvement of the taxation system. Sections which are important to people have appeared in the Civil Code, such as the section on inheritance. And today, I would like to thank the Federal Assembly and the Russian government, who have collaborated constructively throughout this intensive period, and were very often effective partners.

Business climate improving

Against the backdrop of increasing political stability, the business climate in the country is constantly improving. The horizons of state and corporate planning have pushed forward. Entrepreneurs are now building their plans based not on months, but on years. We are servicing our foreign debt on time, and sometimes even ahead of time. The total capitalization of Russian companies has more than doubled. Exports of non-raw materials have started to grow. Over the course of the year, deliveries of cars and equipment abroad have risen by a quarter. After a10-year break, we have resumed second place in the world in terms of volume of oil output, and first place in terms of energy trade. And we need to manage the country's position in the world for ourselves.

All of this is gradually changing attitudes to us in the world as well. The improvement in our economic situation has been noted by international ratings agencies, who have pushed up Russia's credit rating. Foreign banks are increasing Russian shares in their investment portfolios. Our country is gradually turning into a solid and predictable business partner. However, we also need to admit something else: political stability and the favourable economic climate have not been used in full for a qualitative improvement in the lives of the country's citizens and

for Russia to win a worthy place in the world economic system.

Are we satified with what has been achieved? Our answer, of course, is no and again no. There are no reasons whatsoever for us to be dizzy with success. Russia's economic problems, which have been piling up in the course of previous decades, decades of stagnation and crises, have not dissapeared. Poverty, even though it has receded, just receded, still continues to torture nearly 40 million of our citizens.

International economic competitiveness now the challenge

In terms of economic growth in recent years we have only managed not to fall further behind other countries. In this connection I have to say that for a fairly long time many politicians and citizens of the country were convinced or were living with the illusions that the end of the period of military and political confrontation in the world would almost automatically open up a road to Russia for the world economic system, that it would throw wide open its economic embrace.

Life has turned out to be far more complicated. Yes, the period of confrontation has ended. With all the states in the world we are building—I wish to stress this, with all states of the world—constructive, normal relations.

However, I wish to draw attention to another thing: norms in the international community, norms in the modern world, also mean harsh competition—for markets, for investment, for political and economic influence. And in the struggle—in this struggle—Russia has to be strong and competititve.

Countries throughout the world now compete with one another in all areas of the economy and politics, in terms of the taxation burden, the level of security of the country and its citizens, in terms of the guarantees for protection of ownership rights. They compete in terms of the attractiveness of the business climate, the development of economic freedoms, in terms of the quality of the institutions of the state and the effectiveness of the judicial and legal system.

Competition has now assumed a genuinely global character. Owing to our weaknesses we have had to yield to others our numerous niches in the world markets, eagerly to be snapped up by others there and then. No one is willing simply to return them to us and nor will anyone give them back, as the situation in the oil, steel and air transport markets and those of other goods and services testifies.

The conclusion is obvious: no one aims to be at loggerheads with anyone else in the world today. No one wants it and no one needs it.

However, no one is waiting specifically for us and nor will anyone make a special effort to come to our assistance. It is we ourselves who need to fight for our own place in the economic sunlight.

Russia needs to aim for higher rate of growth

I have already said that Russia now needs more ambitious goals, a higher rate of development. Our economic policy, the day-to-day work of our institutions of state must be geared towards solving relevant tasks.

Moreover, these actions, this policy, should be understandable. They should be understood and supported by the people. I am convinced that in order to safeguard a dignified standard of life for our citizens and for Russia to remain a significant and fully-fledged member of the world community and for her to be a strong competitor, our economy must grow at a much faster rate, for otherwise we shall lose all the time, whilst our opportunities in the politics and economy of the world will shrink.

Is Russia ready for such a competitive struggle? Is she capable of ensuring the necessary growth rates for this? In its forecast for the next few years the government has set this rate at between 3.5 and 4.6 percent. What does this tell us?

In the first place, it represents a de facto admission that the propitious foreign economic conditions no longer ensure the necessary rate of economic development and its competitiveness.

And the second thing: the government is not reckoning on higher rates of growth.

Such a low assessment of Russia's capabilities doesn't help the cause. Moreover, it doesn't imply active policies, and doesn't envisage measures designed to make use of the capabilities of the Russian economy. I am talking first and foremost of the potential we have in enterprise, in the scientific and technical sphere, and in modern management technologies. I consider that the main thing now is to create conditions in which the citizens of Russia can earn money, earn it and, whilst deriving advantage for themselves, invest it in the economy of their own country. But to achieve this, it is essential to eliminate those things which still hamper people's life and work. Above all, we must substantially change the actual system by which state institutions operate. At the moment, the country's colossal potential is being blocked by a cumbersome, infelxible and ineffective state apparatus. For example, of almost 500,000 appeals made during my television interview at the end of 2001 , almost three-quarters were citizens' complaints against various forms of arbitrary administrative behaviour.

Reiterates need for administrative reform

Dear members of the assembly, it has become commonplace to complain about the Russian bureaucracy, which is large and unwieldy, and the claims against it are absolutely well-founded. We repeat this very often. In the meantime, strange though it is, there are no more bureaucratic structures in Russia than in other countries, and sometimes there are actually fewer. What, then, is the problem?

The main problem is not the number of these structures, but the fact that their work is badly organized. The current functions of the state apparatus have not been adapted to meet strategic objectives. And it is still extremely rare for officials to know about modern management science.

I have already spoken of the necessity for administrative reform, the result of which should be that we have a state that is equal to our times and the goals our country has set itself. And the administrative apparatus should be efficient, compact and functional. What do we have to do to bring this about?

Well, first of all, we have to carry out a wholesale modernization of the system of executive authority. Today the elements of the executive operate in such a way that they continue to function like headquarters for branches of the centralized national economy.

The privatization of enterprises has been accomplished to a significant degree. However, the old habits of the command system have remained. Ministries continue to direct their efforts to bringing enterprises and organizations under their control in financial and administrative terms. As a result of these administrative costs, it is extremely difficult to conduct business in a civilized manner in this country.

By the way, it is the direct responsibility of the state to create the conditions for the development of economic freedom, to create the strategic reference points, to provide the population with good-quality public services, and to effectively manage state property. In order to accomplish this, the structures of executive authority should be built in a logical and rational way. And the state apparatus should become a functional tool for the implementation of economic policy. Reform of the civil service must be carried out in close conjunction with the new principles for the work and construction of the executive authority.

Secondly, we need effective and clear mechanisms for developing the way in which executive decisions are taken. The system operating today is focused not so much on content as on form.

Thirdly, we have at last to carry out an analysis of the state functions being carried out today, and retain only those that are necessary. In last year's address I set this as an instruction to the government, and I asked them to begin preparations for administrative reform.

Obviously, carrying out an audit of state functions is not an easy task, and takes a long time. There can be no cronyism here, cronyism which typically ends in officials being eased from one part of the system to another.

But we have been talking for two years already about cutting down the surplus functions of the state machinery. For quite understandable reasons departments are clinging and will continue to cling to these functions. But

this is certainly no reason to postpone reforms. The chairman of the government should submit well-grounded proposals on restructuring of the system of executive authority.

To finish this subject I would like to note that the way the state apparatus is organized at present, unfortunately, promotes corruption. It is not that we are not trying to clamp down on it, I would like to stress that, but corruption is a direct consequence of the restriction of economic freedoms. Any administrative measure can be surmounted by a bribe. The higher the barrier, the greater the bribe and the more highly-placed the official taking it.

We must not wait until the administrative stability we have achieved turns into administrative stagnation, due among other things to lack of transparency in the state apparatus. To citizens, this remains a closed book. We have to define, in a precise list, the information which state bodies are obliged to make publicly accessible, and this list must be endorsed by law. This is essential both for the development of civic society and for the creation of a civilized entrepreneurial environment.

Time to move ahead with judicial reform

Esteemed colleagues: We have made a substantial step in modernization of the judicial and legal system. The majority of necessary decrees, acts and laws have been approved. Funding has been earmarked for their implementation. Now it is necessary to fulfil precisely the decisions taken. I see it as a key point that the changes affect not only how the courts are organized and how they operate, but above all the procedures ensuring the protection of an individual's rights and the availability of justice.

We need courts which are respected both inside and outside this country. This is not just a political task, it is very much an economic one. I have already said at the very start of my address that an efficient court system is essential so that both Russian and foreign companies can have no doubts about its authority and effectiveness.

In July this year a new criminal procedural code comes into force. The legal and law-enforcement bodies will have to deal with a lot of organizational matters to do with this to ensure that the law comes into full operation from 1st July. I would ask the Federal Assembly to consider necessary amendments to the criminal procedural code as a matter of urgency and bring the law into force. This includes the provision giving the courts the power of arrest.

Also in the pipeline is the civil procedural code of arbitration, the law on arbitration tribunals, which is highly important both for citizens and for the country's economy. I believe we also need to optimize the structure of the courts of arbitration. At present, disputes are resolved and appeals considered by one and the same court. This problem needs to be considered carefully. We also need to have a clear distinction between the competence of the courts of arbitration and general courts. The court system is quite simply discredited, and many people are aware of this, by the fact that all over the place one case can be heard in various courts, which then very often reach diametrically opposed verdicts. This is a dead end. Entrepreneurs and citizens are overloading the courts, which is not conducive to developing a healthy business climate.

Legislation on the Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation also needs to be brought into line with new legislation, with new acts of legislation and legal provisions. Ultimately, it is extremely important for us to make the criminal law and the penal system more humane.

Petty and less serious crimes now attract the same punishment as serious crimes. The crime rate does not grow less because of that, but it only serves to make people more violent.

The existing laws make it possible for the courts to adopt other, more humane methods of punishment, other than deprivation of freedom. However, they rarely avail themselves of this opportunity. I consider that the use of punishment not involving deprivation of freedom—in cases where, naturally, this is justified, where there are grounds for this—ought to become widespread judicial practice.

Our main goal, something which we have spoken about many times and everyone is well aware of, is to make

punishment irreversible, rather than for it to be too severe.

A stable legislative base represents one of the factors ensuring the competitiveness of the Russian economy. It is true to say that the country's legal system is in a formative stage. We now have to adopt many laws to adapt ourselves faster to the changing economic and social conditions. However, this must not serve as an excuse for the fact that laws that go on the statute book all too often contradict one another and, once in force, are not observed and are subject to constant changes, sometimes even before they come into force.

The government has now elaborated a new package of amendments to the code of tax laws. Very many proposed changes deserve support. However, I wish to draw your attention to the fact that even the best intentions of the authors should not become a reason for legal disregard or underestimation of decisions being taken, all the more so because our tax laws already contain examples of rules which were properly worked through and thought through.

Let us, for instance, recall the provision dealing with the 13-per-cent income tax threshold. By taking this step we have significantly stimulated business activity, filled the treasury's coffers and simplified the tax system. Today I would like to say once again that these rules are not subject to change.

Dealing with crime and extremism

One of the factors that makes life in our country difficult for people and unwelcoming for foreigners is crime. The law-enforcement agencies have to direct their efforts to defending people's rights; robustly combating racketeering, official arbitrariness and corruption; and protecting owners' rights.

The growth of extremism presents a serious threat to stability and public safety in our country. We are talking above all here about those who organize attacks under fascist and nationalist slogans and flags, beating and killing people.

The police and public prosecutors often don't have sufficiently effective means for bringing to book the organizers and instigators of these crimes. In many cases all that happens is that the people who carry out the attacks are brought to justice.

In fact, gangs of extremists essentially act like organized crime gangs and should be prosecuted in the same way. A draft law on combating extremism will be presented to the State Duma soon.

Reform of regional administration

Esteemed State Duma deputies and members of the Federation Council: Last year we completed the organizational work needed for establishing the federal districts. The federal authorities and the regions are now closer in real terms. I think it is time for us to shift some federal functions to the district level, move them closer to the regions, above all monitoring and personnel issues, specifically in the areas of financial monitoring and choosing candidates for posts in the regional divisions of federal bodies, the number of which we must also think about.

Our key task remains to delineate areas of authority between the federal, regional and local levels of authority. A year ago, from this platform, I spoke of the need to put this matter in order. To date, proposals from the bodies of power in the constituent parts of the federation and at local government level have been collated and disseminated. A commission has been set up for this purpose, and it is carrying out scrupulous analysis. It is clear that the commission's task is not a simple one. But we expect results from its work They are needed in order to raise the effectiveness of state policy, in order to stabilize interbudgetary relations, and in order to bring greater clarity to the organization of Russian authority as a whole.

I would like to say a few words about the practice of concluding agreements on the delineation of authority and powers between the centre and the constituent parts of the Federation. The possibility of concluding these agreements is provided for in the Russian constitution, and is legitimate. At a famous moment in our history, they were in demand, and, I believe, necessary. However, in practice, the very existence of such agreements often leads

to an actual inequality in relations between the constituent parts of the federation. And in the final analysis, that also means between citizens, who live in different territories in Russia. And what's more, in most cases, the delineation of powers has only taken place on paper. Not for nothing have 28 of the 42 existing agreements withconstituent parts of the federation been annulled. It goes without saying that in a state such as Russia, regional specifics need to be taken into account, and of course the need for agreements with individual regions can, of course, arise. But I think that to conclude such agreements behind the backs of other constituent parts of the federation, without any preliminary discussion and the securing of a public consensus, is not right.

I think that all treaties on the delimitation of powers should have to go through the procedure of being confirmed in federal law by you, colleagues, so that everyone is aware of what preferences there are and why. The Federal Assembly must take this decision with its eyes wide open.

Time to bring Chechnya back into the Russian fold

And now to the situation in Chechnya. The military phase of the conflict may be considered closed. It is over thanks to the courage and heroism of Russia's army and special units.

Only a year ago we were counting how many people we were up against, how many bandits and terrorists there were—2,000 or 3,000, 5,000 or 10,000. Now we're not bothered about how many there are. What we need to know is where they are.

There are still many social and economic problems in the republic itself. Sorties by the bandits who are left continue to disturb the life of civilians. This should not affect the rights of an entire people, however. We cannot allow this. Everyone resident in Chechnya or originally from there must feel they are full citizens of the Russian Federation.

The main task at the current stage is, therefore, to restore Russia's political and legal space in Chechnya, to create effective legal institutions and law-enforcement structures of Chechnya's own. And then, in the long term, there will be free elections, a real system of republican authority and an ordered economic life for the Chechen people.

Need to bring order to local government

Members of the Assembly: For a long time, the federal authorities paid scarcely any attention to the problems of local government. In the end, this has a direct impact on the living standards of the population in Russia's towns and villages.

One source of the situation that has come about is the poor quality of the legal basis for local government. The federal law "On local government" and the corresponding documents in the constituent parts of the Russian Federation accord only slightly with the real state of local government and with one another.

One reason is lack of clarity in the division of powers with the regional authorities, as well as uncertainty over exactly what should be the responsibility of state bodies and what should come under local government bodies.

In this connection, we need to specify in law the precise concept and a list of local-level issues. Some of these will overlap with the work of federal and regional state authorities. Others will require huge material support and can only be dealt with successfully by working in conjunction with the component parts of the Russian Federation and on occasion with direct support from the federation.

Moreover, it is still a great problem for local government that they lack their own income, but it is the local authorities that the public ask to implement federal legislation, like that on veterans, to provide housing and municipal facilities and to do very much else, too. I believe the federal legislators need to determine a structure for local government, first and foremost enshrining in law structures which have proved their viability in practice. It would not be out of place to mention our experience from before the revolution here. All these issues should be reflected in the new version of the federal law on the general principles of local government in regional legislation.

Finally, it is very important that local government should be allowed to develop its own budget resources,

through the development of small businesses and efficient use of land and property. Here the state authorities, taking account of the spending powers of local authorities, could give them long-term statutory exemption from regulation taxes.

I wish to stress yet again that without a functioning local government, effective organization of government as a whole is, I believe, impossible. Furthermore, it is precisely here on the local level that there is huge opportunity for public control over the authorities. We have to impose order at this level, the lack of which the citizens of the country are talking and writing about.

Entrepreneurial spirit needs fostering

Esteemed colleagues: I wish to dwell separately on the problems of small business. I have just mentioned this in the section on local government. This is important to the country as a whole. As you know, the government has drafted amendments to the legislation on the taxation of small business. As you work on them in the State Duma, I ask you to listen most carefully to the views of entrepreneurial associations themselves, so that we do not repeat what happened when the single social tax was introduced and the tax burden on small business unfortunately only went up. This is not the first occasion when serious revisions of original drafts take place as these drafts make their way to the Duma, revisions which lead to distortion of the basic idea.

We often say that a nascent business vitally needs the opportunity to get to its feet. At the same time, ill-conceived or incomplete projects often floor our businesses rather than help them to their feet. We must put a stop to the pointless competition between the people and the authorities, when the authorities conceive the laws and the people invent ways of getting round them. People's creative activity must be steered not into so-called optimization of tax dodges, but into the development of their own ventures, based on use of those standards which you and I propose to them.

I would also like to add that while amendment of taxes is important, it is only part of the problem. Business as a whole, and small business in particular, have a huge number of complaints about unjustified administrative pressure, above all by supervisory bodies and inspectorates.

The cost of inspections of this kind is very great, and sometimes absolutely senseless. It is carried out as a formality. At the same time the losses sustained from accidents, collapses, fires and so on are not decreasing. You've paid for your safety certificate, go and burn to your heart's content. But hundreds of thousands of people are working on inspecting standards in this field . There are thousands of bodies accredited to do this—that's no exaggeration—thousands of commercial bodies are making a living out of inspections. The fines they apply, just like bribery, are a huge burden, which oppresses business.

We need to change the law and sublegal acts to more precisely define and bring order to the powers of inspection bodies, and where possible to replace them with more effective measures giving responsibility to businesses themselves. Of course we have to be very careful in doing this.

I think we need to expand the practice of underwriting insurance liability. Insurance companies will be financially responsible for areas of insurance, unlike officials, who risk at worst an official reprimand. I am convinced that developing the insurance and underwriting system will lead not only to an unavoidable fall in the number of inspection bodies but also to the greater effectiveness of the very system of monitoring and inspection in the country.

This will have a favourable effect on treasury revenue and on business activity. Also, the government should ensure these kind of checks are kept to a minimum. This practice already exists in some regions and works pretty well. The government must institute a kind of moratorium on checks for small business; for example, for at least the first three years after they are set up. If necessary, we must change the law. Some solutions to the problem have already been set down in earlier debureaucratization acts.

In this respect I also want to address myself to regional authorities. Your support for small business today is

absolutely vital. The decisions of the federal authorities will start working properly only if there are real actions in the territories of Russia.

Reform of natural monopolies

Respected colleagues: I have another very important topic, one of the most important tasks. An issue closely related to economic growth is the continuation of the restructuring of our largest companies, which are often referred to as the natural monopolies.

Here there is great potential for the development of competition. Last year after a period of lengthy discussion, programmes were approved for the restructuring of a number of monopolies.

I would like to draw attention to the fact that the issue of "proper" relations of the state to these monopolies is already, unfortunately, being used as a pretext for putting up tariffs. Moreover, the references made by monopolists to cost increases are far from being always well-founded. More often than not they are not well-founded.

I would like to remind you that the restructuring of the monopolies sector should be conducted in the interests of the country. Consumers of products and services, citizens, municipalities of the state, should not suffer during the modernization of these giants. The restructuring of the monopolie. Up until now we have not even known what is going on there.

One more important issue: the management of state property. State enterprises are still present in almost all sectors of the economy. But, for example, out of almost ten thousand unitary state enterprises, today just a small number operate effectively.

In 2001 around 400 state unitary enterprises were undergoing bankruptcy proceedings.

We should also recall that we still don't know precisely the real size of the state sector. The demilitarization of state property, that has been spoken of on many occasions, is not yet complete. An inefficient state sector will give our economy nothing but additional spending and more problems. This doesn't mean it shouldn't exist. I'm talking about an inefficient sector.

I think we need to take a clear decision as quickly as possible on the assets that are to remain state or municipal property. As for bankruptcy, order has to be imposed as a matter of urgency and particularly—this is something for you—in legislation. The serial bankruptcy of enterprises has already become a profitable business. We must come up with a market mechanism for the bankruptcy and recovery of enterprises that would be transparent and therefore not susceptible to corruption. I ask the Federal Assembly not to draw out its consideration of the relevant draft laws.

A most important condition for dynamic economic development is an efficient banking system, designed to accumulate financial resources and turn them into investments. In this connection, we have to make up ground where banking reform lags behind, strengthening banking supervision, ensuring the transparency of banking operations and adopting measures to raise the banks' level of capitalization.

Housing sector needs extension of mortgage system

Colleagues: One of the most urgent issues stemming from the lack of competition and from monopolization of the service sector is the reform of the housing and amenities sector. And this issue, in one area or another, affects absolutely everyone.

On the one hand, the population is paying more and more. On the other hand, quality is not improving, the quality of services is not improving. The state is spending huge amounts on subsidies for the housing and municipal sector, and yet, the returns from those have remained low. In some regions, the Emergencies Ministry was forced to get involved in solving the crisis relating to municipal problems, and the overwhelming majority of those present in the hall know this, and not by hearsay or from television. It is clear that the whole of the housing and municipal sector's system of operations requires drastic changes. And at the same time costs for maintaining technologically

obsolete and extremely worn-out municipal systems were built into the first draft plan for the reform of the housing and municipal sector, costs for all the leaks, losses of supplies and simply the costs of poor work on the part of municipal and housing enterprises. However, the main aim of the reform is to improve the quality of services at the same time as reducing the cost of their delivery. Only this sort of approach can allay the fears of our citizens that the whole reform of the municipal and housing sector will boil down to a naked increase in prices.

The main thing which remains to be done is to hand over to the citizens themselves the right to allocate budget subsidies. Otherwise, the population is bound to become consumers of services delivered by an inefficient and costly sector. A monopoly in the municipal and housing sector does not give citizens the opportunity to choose the necessary selection of housing and municipal services in the market-place. In this regard, I would like to remind the government, and regional leaders, that the objective of raising payment levels must only be set at the same time as the development of competition, at the same time as an audit of the costs of municipal enterprises, and at the same time as granting citizens the right to determine the range and volume of municipal and housing services. Only in this case will consumers gain an incentive to save light and heating, and energy providers an incentive to use energy-saving equipment, and to install equipment to measure the consumption of resources.

Housing and municipal policy is first and foremost about giving citizens access to housing. But so many of our citizens still endure difficult housing conditions. At the same time, there have long ago existed in the world institutions that seriously lighten the burden for citizens to resolve problems of acquiring finance for housing. This is first and foremost mortgage credit. Of course, the system depends on the overall development of the level of the country's economy.

Low incomes among the population and high interest rates on the financial markets, an undeveloped housing market and the huge prices for construction—that is nowhere near a complete list of the problems which can and need to be resolved with the help of the mortgage mechanism.

Some regions are already having their first successes with mortgage lending on property. Around 40,000 mortgages have been granted, and in some parts of the Russian Federation regional agencies issuing mortgages on property have started to operate. I think that the development of the mortgage system should become a sphere of priority attention for both the federal government and the regional authorities.

Russia and the WTO

Esteemed colleagues, we need to take account, to take advantage of the new state of the world economy. It is clear that for Russia there is no longer a choice of whether or not to integrate into the world economic space. The world market is already here and our market is part of the world system.

At the same time, there is acute discussion within this country of joining the World Trade Organization. This is not a subject I could leave out. At times this debate is so heated that it ends up with the opposing sides shot down in flames. I don't think it has to end in being shot down in flames, but it is an issue which requires careful examination.

I would like to point out that the WTO is not an absolute evil, nor an absolute good. It is not a reward for good behaviour. The WTO is a tool. Those who know how to use it become stronger. Those who don't know how, or don't want to use it or learn how to, those who prefer to sit behind the palisade of protectionist quotas and tariffs, they are doomed. Absolutely doomed in strategic terms.

Our country is still excluded from the process of formulating the rules of world trade. We already take part in world trade, but are kept out of making the rules. This cuts off the Russian economy from world development and makes us less competitive. Membership of the WTO will be an instrument for protecting Russia's national interests on world markets and a strong external stimulus for solving the problems that we have to solve anyway.

I am convinced that development of the Russian economy is only possible if it is geared to the tough requirements of the world market, to winning its own new niches in it. In this context, a properly considered architecture

of our participation in the WTO must consist of several elements.

First, talks within the WTO framework will not suffice on their own. We must strengthen state structures which are supposed to help domestic producers adapt to new conditions of work. We must audit the existing measures for state support of entrepreneurship, expose programmes which are controversial from the point of view of anti-dumping investigations and quickly bring them into conformity with WTO requirements.

We must also—and this is fundamentally important—we must train personnel with the relevant qualifications. In every country which belongs to the WTO there are thousands of people working to make sure trade cooperation works and to resolve trade disputes. But in the Russian state establishment there are no more than several dozen civil servants working on this problem. Where we need specialists, we don't have enough of them. And where we don't need them, we have them in abundance. We need a permanent negotiating platform for bringing the interests of Russian business, both supporters and opponents of our membership of the WTO, to the notice of state power bodies.

We need to carry out a serious analysis of federal and regional economic regimes. Because, you see, the legislation of the regions includes regulations that make Russia extremely vulnerable to the ambitions of our competitors.

The parliament has to take on a great deal of work in the programme to bring our legislation, our legislative base, into line with the norms of the WTO. Very important here are revision of the customs code, and legislation in the sphere of technical regulations, of protective, anti-dumping and compensation measures, and of intellectual property rights.

We cannot sit with our arms folded, we have to get a move on. And of course, the authorities must continue consultations both with industrialists and, without fail, with the trades unions. Everyone must take part in this process, and everyone's opinions must be take into account.

Russia's technological expertise needs putting to proper use

Esteemed colleagues: Our economy is also as yet unreceptive to the achievements of scientific and technological progress. A considerable proportion of enterprises invest resources neither in the creation of new technologies nor in the modernization of old ones. At the same time, there is much demand abroad for Russian scientists, their scientific achievements and high technologies. So these people are fully able to compete. And this is confirmed by the fact that many foreign venture funds are at work in our country. Whole scientific fields and schools are supported by grants from global research centres and international concerns. But we ourselves are not making proper use of the rich scientific and technological potential that Russia possesses. There are very few fitting and long-term projects for it in the economy. In this regard, the government should get to grips with forms of state support for new technologies, and seek out approaches in line with our resources, today's geography of the markets and types of economic relationship. Of course, it would not make sense to restore the model of scientific and technological progress of past years, one which is at the same time a pompous and archaic model. We need decisions that are tied to specific projects, and not to individual sectors.

We need to help Russian developers join the world venture market, the capital market, which ensures efficiency in the sales of scientific products and services. This work should be launched in those sectors of the world market that our domestic producers can realistically occupy.

Finally, conditions should be created for the healthy commercialization of applied science, and one of the ways is to set up joint ventures, both in Russia and abroad.

Time to move to insurance-based health care

I would like to talk in particular about health-care, because this directly affects us all, true, as a rule only when we encounter problems with our health.

You are all very well aware of the figures concerning the health of the Russian public. These are not reassur-

ing. It has been said again and again that the health-care system needs to be modernized. In last year's address, I set the task of creating a legislative basis to complete the move over to insurance-based payment for medical services, medical treatment. We have a habit of putting off the most urgent matters. Unfortunately. And this task has not been met.

Military reform

One of the undoubted priorities is the continuation of the military reform and a move to a professional army, in conjunction with reducing the term of call-up service. Society needs this reform, but above all the army itself needs it.

The new system of manning and the reduction of the term of service for conscripts cannot be achieved in just one year, and this is why this year the Defence Ministry begins an experiment at selected military units, which should in effect help perfect the entire mechanism of moving the manning of the army and the navy on to the voluntary basis.

The results of the experiments will make it clear how soon we can move to a shorter conscription term. I must stress that the term will be cut significantly. We must not delay this reform, but rushing in this matter is not permissible either. We will conduct the work in stages, taking into account the country's financial abilities and our national security interests.

In establishing a new type of army that is mobile and compact, we must create dignified social conditions for servicemen and their families. The state must not forget about those who have given years of their lives in service of their country and are retiring from the armed forces. We have to help them find their own place in the country's economic life.

Importance of October 2002 census

I would also remind you that the first state census in the 11 years of the Russian Federation's existence will take place this October. The most general results of this massive undertaking will be known at the end of the year. They will give us well-grounded statistical evidence to clarify the number and ethnic composition of the workforce, the number of forced migrants, migrants, and so on.

Our country has great need of a universal and high-quality census. The state cannot make well-founded administrative decisions without a real understanding of the situation and information on the population's composition. We cannot carry out the census effectively without effective cooperation and coordination between the federal and regional authorities, local-government bodies and the offices of the presidential representatives on the spot.

I think that organizing and conducting a census is one of the priority tasks and I ask the authorities at all levels to take an active part in preparing for it and the citizens of our country to treat the census with an awareness of its significance for the state.

Commitment to antiterrorist coalition and pragmatic foreign policy

Esteemed Assembly, today Russia is one of the most reliable guarantors of international stability. Permit me to move on to this part of the message. It was Russia's principled position which made it possible to form a durable antiterrorist coalition. In the context of alliance relations, we, together with the leadership of a number of CIS countries, took corresponding decisions. For our state, which has long been confronted with terrorism, there was no difficulty in deciding whether to support efforts to destroy its lair or not—especially as these measures did indeed help to strengthen security on the southern borders of our country and, to a considerable extent, helped to improve the situation, as far as this was concerned, in many countries of the CIS.

By joint efforts we managed to resolve a most important strategic task, to eliminate the most dangerous cen-

tre of international terrorism in Afghanistan, to put a stop to its negative impact on the situation in other regions of the world, and to eliminate the threat posed to you and me from there.

After 11 September last year, many, very many people in the world realized that the Cold War had ended, realized that there are now different threats, a different war, a war with international terrorism. The danger it poses is obvious. It does not require fresh proof. I wish to point out that this also applies in full to Russia. I stress that Russian foreign policy will continue to be based on purely pragmatic criteria, stemming from our opportunities and national interests—military-strategic, economic, political interests—and also taking account of the interests of our partners, above all in the CIS.

Cooperation with CIS is major priority

The Commonwealth of Independent States is a genuine factor in stability across an extensive part of the world's territory, an influential group of states with a wide range of objectives and interests. Work with the countries of the CIS is Russia's main foreign policy priority. This priority is linked, among other things, to the securing of competitive advantages on world markets. The CIS countries have many opportunities to carry out large-scale, joint, infrastructural, transport and energy projects. I am sure that their implementation will increase the solidity of our integration, and will provide new opportunities for the Russian economy, and for others besides.

Many of the reserves of integration are hidden in humanitarian projects, including scientific and educational projects. Russia has already increased the number of students from CIS countries, and the government must examine the possibility of increasing student numbers in the future, at least up to 1 per cent of those for whom the Russian state is currently paying.

Russia committed to active cooperation with EU, USA, NATO

I believe that I must once again make a firm statement today on our priorities as far as Europe is concerned. In this regard, what is clear are our logical positions and our numerous and concrete steps towards integration with Europe. We will continue to work actively with the European Union, designed to create a single economic space. Our most important foreign policy aim is to secure strategic stability in the world. For this reason we are taking part in the creation of a new security system, maintaining a permanent dialogue with the United States of America and working on changes to the quality of our relations with NATO. As a whole, I would like to note that Russia is integrating actively into the world community, and, despite the stiff competition which I have already mentioned, it is very important for our country to be able to find allies, and to be a reliable ally for others ourselves.

Russia must become world-class country

Esteemed deputies of the State Duma and members of the Federation Council: A fundamental feature of the contemporary world is the internationalization of the economy and society. In these conditions, best practice worldwide becomes a most important criterion of success, best practice in everything, in business, science, sport, in the rate of economic growth, in the quality of the work of the state apparatus and the professionalism of the decisions which we all take. Only then, when we not merely match up to this best practice in the world, but create it ourselves, only then will we genuinely have an opportunity to become rich and strong. We must make Russia a prosperous and affluent country, so that living here is comfortable and safe, so that people can work freely, earn a living for themselves and their children without restriction and fear, so that they aspire to come to Russia, rather than leave it—to bring up their children here, to build their homes here.

Thank you for your attention.

Constitution of the Russian Federation

(Below are excerpts from the text of the Constitution approved by Russian voters in a national referendum in December 1993. Students interested in the full text can find it online at <http://www.departments.bucknell.edu/russian/const/constit.html>.

We, the multinational people of the Russian Federation, united by a common destiny on our land, asserting human rights and liberties, civil peace and accord, preserving the historic unity of the state, proceeding from the commonly recognized principles of equality and self-determination of the peoples honoring the memory of our ancestors, who have passed on to us love of and respect for our homeland and faith in good and justice, reviving the sovereign statehood of Russia and asserting its immutable democratic foundations, striving to secure the well-being and prosperity of Russia and proceeding from a sense of responsibility for our homeland before the present and future generations, and being aware of ourselves as part of the world community, hereby approve the Constitution of the Russian Federation.

Chapter 1. Fundamentals of the Constitutional System

Article 1. The Russian Federation — Russia shall be a democratic federal rule-of-law state with the republican form of government. The names "Russian Federation" and "Russia" shall be equivalent.

Article 2. Man, his rights and freedoms shall be the supreme value. It shall be a duty of the state to recognize, respect and protect the rights and liberties of man and citizen.

Article 3. 1. The multinational people of the Russian Federation shall be the vehicle of sovereignty and the only source of power in the Russian Federation. 2. The people of the Russian Federation shall exercise their power directly, and also through organs of state power and local self-government . 3. The referendum and free elections shall be the supreme direct manifestation of the power of the people. 4. No one may arrogate to oneself power in the Russian Federation. Seizure of power or appropriation of power authorization shall be prosecuted under federal law.

Article 4. 1. The sovereignty of the Russian Federation shall apply to its entire territory. 2. The Constitution of the Russian Federation and federal laws shall have supremacy throughout the entire territory of the Russian Federation. 3. The Russian Federation shall ensure the integrity and inviolability of its territory.

Article 5. 1. The Russian Federation shall consist of republics, territories, regions, federal cities, an autonomous region and autonomous areas, which shall be equal subjects of the Russian Federation. 2. The republic (state) shall have its own constitution and legislation. A territory, region, federal city, autonomous region and autonomous area shall have its own charter and legislation 3. The federated structure of the Russian Federation shall be based on its state integrity, the uniform system of state power, delimitation of scopes of authority and powers between the bodies of state power of the Russian Federation and the bodies of state power of the subjects of the Russian Federation, equality and self-determination of the peoples in the Russian Federation. 4. All the subjects of the Russian Federation shall be equal among themselves in relations with the Federal bodies of state power.

Article 6. 1. Citizenship of the Russian Federation shall be acquired and terminated in accordance with the Federal law, and shall be one and equal irrespective of the grounds on which it has been acquired. 2. Every citizen of the Russian Federation shall have all the rights and liberties on its territory and bear equal duties, stipulated by the Constitution of the Russian Federation. 3. A citizen of the Russian Federation may not be stripped of citizenship or of the right to change it.

Article 7. 1. The Russian Federation shall be a social state, whose policies shall be aimed at creating conditions which ensure a dignified life and free development of man. 2. The Russian Federation shall protect the work and health of its people, establish a guaranteed minimum wage, provide state support for family, motherhood, fatherhood and childhood, and also for the disabled and for elderly citizens, develop a system of social services and establish government pensions, benefits and other social security guarantees.

Article 8. 1. Unity of economic space, free

movement of goods, services and financial resources, support for competition and freedom of any economic activity shall be guaranteed in the Russian Federation. 2. Private, state, municipal and other forms of ownership shall be recognized and shall enjoy equal protection in the Russian Federation.

Article 9. 1. The land and other natural resources shall be used and protected in the Russian Federation as the basis of the life and activity of the peoples living on their respective territories. 2. The land and other natural resources may be in private, state municipal and other forms of ownership.

Article 10. State power in the Russian Federation shall be exercised on the basis of the separation of the legislative, executive and judiciary branches. The bodies of legislative, executive and judiciary powers shall be independent.

Article 11. 1. State power in the Russian Federation shall be exercised by the President of the Russian Federation, the Federal Assembly (Council of the Federation and State Duma), the government of the Russian Federation and courts of the Russian Federation. 2. State power in the subjects of the Russian Federation shall be exercised by the organs of state authority formed by them. 3. The scopes of authority and powers of the bodies of state authority of the Russian Federation and the bodies of state authority of the subjects of the Russian Federation shall be delimited under this Constitution, Federal and other Treaties on the delimitation of scopes of authority and powers.

Article 12. Local self-government shall be recognized and guaranteed in the Russian Federation. Local self-government shall operate independently within the bounds of its authority. The bodies of local self-government shall not be part of the state power bodies.

Article 13. 1. Ideological plurality shall be recognized in the Russian Federation. 2. No ideology may be instituted as a state-sponsored or mandatory ideology. 3. Political plurality and the multi-party system shall be recognized in the Russian Federation. 4. Public associations shall be equal before the law. 5. The establishment and the activities of public associations, whose aims and actions are directed at forcible alteration of the fundamentals of constitutional governance and violation of the integrity of the Russian Federation and undermining of the security of the state, the forming of armed units, the incitement of social, racial, national and religious strife shall be prohibited.

Article 14. 1. The Russian Federation shall be a secular state. No religion may be instituted as state-sponsored or mandatory religion. 2. Religious associations shall be separated from the state, and shall be equal before the law.

Article 15. 1. The Constitution of the Russian Federation shall have supreme legal force and direct effect, and shall be applicable throughout the entire territory of the Russian Federation. Laws and other legal acts adopted by the Russian Federation may not contravene the Constitution of the Russian Federation. 2. Organs of state power and local self-government, officials, citizens and their associations must comply with the laws and the Constitution of the Russian Federation. 3. The laws shall be officially published. Unpublished laws shall not be applicable. No regulatory legal act affecting the rights, liberties or duties of the human being and citizen may apply unless it has been published officially for general knowledge.

4. The commonly recognized principles and norms of the international law and the international treaties of the Russian Federation shall be a component part of its legal system. If an international treaty of the Russian Federation stipulates other rules than those stipulated by the law, the rules of the international treaty shall apply.

Article 16. 1. The provisions of the present Chapter of the Constitution shall be the foundations of the constitutional system of the Russian Federation and may not be changed except as provided for in this Constitution. 2. No other provisions of this Constitution may contravene the foundations of the constitutional system of the Russian Federation.

Chapter 2. Rights and Liberties of Man and Citizen

Article 17. 1. The basic rights and liberties in conformity with the commonly recognized principles and norms of the international law shall be recognized and guaranteed in the Russian Federation and under this Constitution.

2. The basic rights and liberties of the human being shall be inalienable and shall belong to everyone from birth. 3. The exercise of rights and liberties of a

human being and citizen may not violate the rights and liberties of other persons.

Article 18. The rights and liberties of man and citizen shall have direct effect. They shall determine the meaning, content and application of the laws, and the activities of the legislative and executive branches and local self-government, and shall be secured by the judiciary.

Article 19. 1. All people shall be equal before the law and in the court of law. 2. The state shall guarantee the equality of rights and liberties regardless of sex, race, nationality, language, origin, property or employment status, residence, attitude to religion, convictions, membership of public associations or any other circumstance. Any restrictions of the rights of citizens on social, racial, national, linguistic or religious grounds shall be forbidden. 3. Man and woman shall have equal rights and liberties and equal opportunities for their pursuit.

Article 20. 1. Everyone shall have the right to life. 2. Capital punishment may, until its abolition, be instituted by the federal law as exceptional punishment for especially grave crimes against life, with the accused having the right to have his case considered in a law court by jury.

Article 21. 1. The dignity of the person shall be protected by the state. No circumstance may be used as a pretext for belittling it. 2. No one may be subjected to torture, violence or any other harsh or humiliating treatment or punishment. No one may be subjected to medical, scientific or other experiments without his or her free consent.

Article 22. 1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom and personal inviolability. 2. Arrest, detention and keeping in custody shall be allowed only by an order of a court of law. No person may be detained for more than 48 hours without an order of a court of law.

Article 23. 1. Everyone shall have the right to privacy, to personal and family secrets, and to protection of one's honor and good name. 2. Everyone shall have the right to privacy of correspondence, telephone communications, mail, cables and other communications. Any restriction of this right shall be allowed only under an order of a court of law.

Article 24. 1. It shall be forbidden to gather, store, use and disseminate information on the private life of any person without his/her consent. 2. The bodies of state authority and the bodies of local self-government and the officials thereof shall provide to each citizen access to any documents and materials directly affecting his/her rights and liberties unless otherwise stipulated under the law.

Article 25. The home shall be inviolable. No one shall have the right to enter the home against the will of persons residing in it except in cases stipulated by the federal law or under an order of a court of law.

Article 26. 1. Everyone shall have the right to determine and state his national identity. No one can be forced to determine and state his national identity. 2. Everyone shall have the right to use his native language, freely choose the language of communication, education, training and creative work.

Article 27. 1. Everyone who is lawfully staying on the territory of the Russian Federation shall have the right to freedom of movement and to choose the place to stay and reside. 2. Everyone shall be free to leave the boundaries of the Russian Federation. The citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to freely return into the Russian Federation.

Article 28. Everyone shall be guaranteed the right to freedom of conscience, to freedom of religious worship, including the right to profess, individually or jointly with others, any religion, or to profess no religion, to freely choose, possess and disseminate religious or other beliefs, and to act in conformity with them.

Article 29. 1. Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought and speech. 2. Propaganda or campaigning inciting social, racial, national or religious hatred and strife is impermissible. The propaganda of social, racial, national, religious or language superiority is forbidden. 3. No one may be coerced into expressing one's views and convictions or into renouncing them. 4. Everyone shall have the right to seek, get, transfer, produce and disseminate information by any lawful means. The list of information constituting the state secret shall be established by the federal law. 5. The freedom of the mass media shall be guaranteed. Censorship shall be prohibited.

Article 30. 1. Everyone shall have the right to association, including the right to create trade unions in order to protect one's interests. The freedom of public associations activities shall be guaranteed. 2.

No one may be coerced into joining any association or into membership thereof.

Article 31. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to gather peacefully, without weapons, and to hold meetings, rallies, demonstrations, marches and pickets.

Article 32. 1. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to participate in the administration of the affairs of the state both directly and through their representatives. 2. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to elect and to be elected to bodies of state governance and to organs of local self-government, as well as take part in a referendum. 3. Citizens who have been found by a court of law to be under special disability, and also citizens placed in detention under a court verdict, shall not have the right to elect or to be elected. 4. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have equal access to state service. 5. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to participate in administering justice.

Article 33. Citizens of the Russian Federation shall have the right to turn personally to, and send individual and collective petitions to state bodies and bodies of local self-government.

Article 34. 1. Everyone shall have the right to freely use his or her abilities and property for entrepreneurial or any other economic activity not prohibited by the law. 2. No economic activity aimed at monopolization or unfair competition shall be allowed.

Article 35. 1. The right of private property shall be protected by law. 2. Everyone shall have the right to have property in his or her ownership, to possess, use and manage it either individually or jointly with other persons. 3. No one may be arbitrarily deprived of his or her property unless on the basis of decision by a court of law. Property can be forcibly alienated for state needs only on condition of a preliminary and equal compensation. 4. The right of inheritance shall be guaranteed.

Article 36. 1. Citizens and their associations shall have the right to have land in their private ownership. 2. The possession, use and management of the land and other natural resources shall be freely exercised by their owners provided this does not cause damage to the environment or infringe upon the rights and interests of other persons. 3. The terms and procedures for the use of land shall be determined on the basis of federal laws.

Article 37. 1. Work shall be free. Everyone shall have the right to make free use of his or her abilities for work and to choose a type of activity and occupation. 2. Forced labor shall be prohibited. 3. Everyone shall have the right to work under conditions meeting the requirements of safety and hygiene, to remuneration for work without any discrimination whatsoever and not below the statutory minimum wage, and also the right to security against unemployment. 4. The right to individual and collective labor disputes with the use of means of resolution thereof established by federal law, including the right to strike, shall be recognized. 5. Everyone shall have the right to rest and leisure. A person having a work contract shall be guaranteed the statutory duration of the work time, days off and holidays, and paid annual vacation.

Article 38. 1. Motherhood and childhood, and the family shall be under state protection. 2. Care for children and their upbringing shall be the equal right and duty of the parents. 3. Employable children who have reached 18 years old shall care for their non-employable parents.

Article 39. 1. Everyone shall be guaranteed social security in old age, in case of disease, invalidity, loss of breadwinner, to bring up children and in other cases established by law. 2. State pensions and social benefits shall be established by laws. 3. Voluntary social insurance, development of additional forms of social security and charity shall be encouraged.

Article 40. 1. Everyone shall have the right to a home. No one may be arbitrarily deprived of a home. 2. State bodies and organs of local self-government shall encourage home construction and create conditions for the realization of the right to a home. 3. Low-income citizens and other citizens, defined by the law, who are in need of housing shall be housed free of charge or for affordable pay from government, municipal and other housing funds in conformity with the norms stipulated by the law.

Article 41. 1. Everyone shall have the right to health care and medical assistance. Medical assistance shall be made available by state and municipal health car 2. The Russian Federation shall finance federal health care and health-building programs, take measures to develop state, municipal and private

health care systems, encourage activities contributing to the strengthening of the man's health, to the development of physical culture and sport, and to ecological, sanitary and epidemiologic welfare. 3. Concealment by officials of facts and circumstances posing hazards to human life and health shall involve liability in conformity with the federal law.

Article 42. Everyone shall have the right to a favorable environment, reliable information about its condition and to compensation for the damage caused to his or her health or property by ecological violations.

Article 43. 1. Everyone shall have the right to education. 2. The accessibility and gratuity of pre-school, general secondary and vocational secondary education in public and municipal educational institutions and enterprises shall be guaranteed. 3. Everyone shall have the right to receive, free of charge and on a competitive basis, higher education in a state or municipal educational institution or enterprise. 4. Basic general education shall be mandatory. Parents or persons substituting for them shall make provisions for their children to receive basic general education. 5. The Russian Federation shall institute federal state educational standards and support various forms of education and self-education.

Article 44. 1. Everyone shall be guaranteed freedom of literary, artistic, scientific, intellectual and other types of creative activity and tuition. Intellectual property shall be protected by the law. 2. Everyone shall have the right to participation in cultural life, to the use of institutions of culture, and access to cultural values. 3. Everyone shall care for the preservation of the historic and cultural heritage and safeguard landmarks of history and culture.

Article 45. 1. State protection for human rights and liberties in the Russian Federation shall be guaranteed. 2. Everyone shall have the right to defend his or her rights and liberties by any means not prohibited by the law.

Article 46. 1. Everyone shall be guaranteed protection of his or her rights and liberties in a court of law. 2. The decisions and actions (or inaction) of state organs, organs of local self-government, public associations and officials may be appealed against in a court of law 3. In conformity with the international treaties of the Russian Federation, everyone shall have the right to turn to interstate organs concerned with the protection of human rights and liberties when all the means of legal protection available within the state have been exhausted.

Article 47. 1. No one may be denied the right to having his or her case reviewed by the court and the judge under whose jurisdiction the given case falls under the law. 2. Anyone charged with a crime has the right to have his or her case reviewed by a court of law with the participation of jurors in cases stipulated by the federal law.

Article 48. 1. Everyone shall be guaranteed the right to qualified legal counsel. Legal counsel shall be provided free of charge in cases stipulated by the law. 2. Every person who has been detained, taken into custody or charged with a crime shall have the right to legal counsel (defense attorney) from the moment of, respectively, detention or indictment.

Article 49. 1. Everyone charged with a crime shall be considered not guilty until his or her guilt has been proven in conformity with the procedures stipulated by the federal law and established by the verdict of a court of law. 2. The defendant shall not be obliged to prove his or her innocence. 3. The benefit of doubt shall be interpreted in favor of the defendant.

Article 50. 1. No one may be repeatedly convicted for the same offense. 2. In the administration of justice no evidence obtained in violation of the federal law shall be allowed. 3. Everyone sentenced for a crime shall have the right to have the sentence reviewed by a higher court according to the procedure instituted by the federal law, and also the right to plea for clemency or mitigation punishment.

Article 51. 1. No one shall be obliged to give evidence against himself or herself, for his or her spouse and close relatives, the range of which shall be established by federal law 2. The federal law may stipulate other exemptions from the obligation to give evidence.

Article 52. The rights of persons who have sustained harm from crimes and abuses of power shall be protected by the law. The state shall guarantee the victims access to justice and compensation for damage.

Article 53. Everyone shall have the right to compensation by the state for the damage caused by unlawful actions (or inaction) of state organs, or their officials.

Article 54. 1. The law instituting or aggravating the liability of a person shall have no retroactive force. 2. No one may be held liable for an action which was not recognized as an offense at the time of its commitment. If liability for an offense has been lifted or mitigated after its perpetration, the new law shall apply.

Article 55. 1. The listing of the basic rights and liberties in the Constitution of the Russian Federation shall not be interpreted as the denial or belittlement of the other commonly recognized human and citizens' rights and liberties. 2. No laws denying or belittling human and civil rights and liberties may be is sued in the Russian Federation. 3. Human and civil rights and liberties may be restricted by the federal law only to the extent required for the protection of the fundamentals of the constitutional system, morality, health, rights and lawful interests of other persons, for ensuring the defense of the country and the security of the state.

Article 56. 1. Individual restrictions of rights and liberties with identification of the extent and term of their duration may be instituted in conformity with the federal constitutional law under conditions of the state of emergency in order to ensure the safety of citizens and protection of the constitutional system. 2. A state of emergency throughout the territory of the Russian Federation and in individual areas thereof may be introduced in the circumstances and in conformity with the procedures defined by the federal constitutional law. 3. The rights and liberties stipulated by Articles 20, 21, 23 (part 1), 24, 28, 34 (part 1), 40 (part 1), 46-54 of the Constitution of the Russian Federation shall not be subject to restriction.

Article 57. Everyone shall pay lawful taxes and fees. Laws introducing new taxes or worsening the situation of tax payers shall not have retroactive force.

Article 58. Everyone shall be obliged to preserve nature and the environment, and care for natural wealth.

Article 59. 1. Defense of the homeland shall be a duty and obligation of the citizen of the Russian Federation. 2. The citizen of the Russian Federation shall do military service inconformity with the federal law. 3. The citizen of the Russian Federation whose convictions and faith are at odds with military service, and also in other cases stipulated by the federal law sshall have the right to the substitution of an alternative civil service for military service.

Article 60. The citizen of the Russian Federation shall be recognized to be of legal age and may independently exercise his rights and duties in full upon reaching the age of 18.

Article 61. 1. The citizen of the Russian Federation may not be deported out of Russia or extradited to another state. 2. The Russian Federation shall guarantee its citizens defense and patronage beyond its boundaries.

Article 62. 1. The citizen of the Russian Federation may have the citizenship of a foreign state (dual citizenship) in conformity with the federal law or international treaty of the Russian Federation. 2. Possession of the citizenship of a foreign state by the citizen of the Russian Federation shall not belittle his or her ranks and liberties or exempt him or her from the duties stemming from Russian citizenship unless otherwise stipulated by the federal law or international treaty of the Russian Federation. 3. Foreign citizens and stateless persons shall enjoy in the Russian Federation the rights of its citizens and bear their duties with the exception of cases stipulated by the federal law or international treaty of the Russian Federation.

Article 63. 1. The Russian Federation shall grant political asylum to foreign citizens and stateless citizens in conformity with the commonly recognized norms of the international law. 2. The extradition of persons persecuted for their political views or any actions (or inaction), which are not qualified as criminal by the law of the Russian Federation, to other states shall not be allowed in the Russian Federation. The extradition of persons charged with crimes and also the hand-over of convicts for serving time in other countries shall be effected on the basis of the federal law or international treaty of the Russian Federation.

Article 64. The provisions of these articles form the basis of personal rights in the Russian Federation and may not be changed other than by the means set forth in this constitution.

Chapter 3. Russian Federation

Article 68. 1. The state language of the Russian Federation throughout its territory shall be the Russian language. 2. The republics shall have the right to institute their own state languages. They shall be

used alongside the state language of the Russian Federation in bodies of state power, bodies of local self-government and state institutions of the republics. 3. The Russian Federation shall guarantee all its peoples the right to preserve their native language and to create the conditions for its study and development.

Article 69. The Russian Federation guarantees the rights of small indigenous peoples in accordance with the generally accepted principles and standards of international law and international treaties of the Russian Federation.

Chapter 4. President of the Russian Federation

Article 80. 1. The President of the Russian Federation shall be the head of state. 2. The President shall be the guarantor of the Constitution of the Russian Federation, and of human and civil rights and freedoms. In accordance with the procedure established by the Constitution of the Russian Federation, he shall take measures to protect the sovereignty of the Russian Federation, its independence and state integrity, and ensure concerted functioning and interaction of all bodies of state power. 3. The President of the Russian Federation shall define the basic domestic and foreign policy guidelines of the state in accordance with the Constitution of the Russian Federation and federal laws. 4. The President of the Russian Federation as head of state shall represent the Russian Federation inside the country and in international relations.

Article 81. 1. The President of the Russian Federation shall be elected for a term of four years by the citizens of the Russian Federation on the basis of general, equal and direct vote by secret ballot. 2. A citizen of the Russian Federation not younger than 35, who has resided in the Russian Federation for not less than 10 years, may be elected President of the Russian Federation. 3. No one person shall hold the office of President of the Russian Federation f or more than two terms in succession. 4. The procedure for electing the President of the Russian Federation shall be determined by federal law.

Article 82. 1. At his inauguration the President of the Russian Federation shall take the following oath to the people: "I vow, in the performance of my powers as the President of the Russian Federation to respect and protect the rights and freedoms of man and citizen, to observe and protect the Constitution of the Russian Federation, to protect the sovereignty and independence, security and integrity of the state and to serve the people faithfully." 2. The oath shall be taken in a solemn atmosphere in the presence of members of the Council of the Federation, deputies of the State Duma and judges of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation.

Article 83. The President of the Russian Federation shall: a) appoint Chairman of the Government of the Russian Federation subject to consent of the State Duma; b) have the right to preside over meetings of the Government of the Russian Federation; c) decide on resignation of the Government of the Russian Federation; d) introduce to the State Duma a candidature for appointment to the office of the Chairman of the Central Bank of the Russian Federation; submit to the State Duma the proposal on relieving the Chairman of the Central Bank of the Russian Federation of his duties; e) appoint and dismiss deputy chairmen of the Government of the Russian Federation and federal ministers as proposed by the Chairman of the Government of the Russian Federation; f) submit to the Federation Council candidates for appointment to the office of judges of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation and the Supreme Arbitration Court of the Russian Federation as well as the candidate for Prosecutor- General of the Russian Federation; submit to the Federation Council the proposal on relieving the Prosecutor-General of the Russian Federation of his duties; appoint the judges of other federal courts. g) form and head the Security Council of the Russian Federation, the status of which is determined by federal law; h) endorse the military doctrine of the Russian Federation; i) form the staff of the President of the Russian Federation; j) appoint and dismiss plenipotentiary representatives of the President of the Russian Federation; k) appoint and dismiss the Supreme Command of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation; l) appoint and recall, after consultations with the respective committees or commissions of the Federal Assembly, diplomatic representatives of the Russian Federation to foreign states and international organizations.

Article 84. The President of the Russian

Federation shall: a) call elections to the chambers of the State Duma in accordance with the Constitution of the Russian Federation and federal law; b) dissolve the State Duma in cases and under procedures envisaged by the Constitution of the Russian Federation; c) call a referendum under procedures established by federal constitutional law; d) introduce draft laws in the State Duma; e) sign and publish federal laws; f) present annual messages to the Federal Assembly on the situation in the country and on basic directions of the internal and external policies of the state.

Article 85. 1. The President of the Russian Federation may use dispute-settlement procedures to settle differences between organs of state power of the Russian Federation and organs of state power of the subjects of the Russian Federation, and also between organs of state power of the subjects of the Russian Federation. If no decision is agreed upon, he may turn the dispute over for review by the respective court of law. 2. The President of the Russian Federation shall have the right to suspend acts by organs of executive power of the subjects of the Russian Federation if such acts contravene the Constitution of the Russian Federation and federal laws, the international obligations of the Russian Federation, or violate human and civil rights and liberties, pending the resolution of the issue in appropriate court.

Article 86. The President of the Russian Federation shall: a) supervise the conduct of the foreign policy of the Russian Federation; b) conduct negotiations and sign international treaties of the Russian Federation; c) sign instruments of ratification; d) accept credentials and instruments of recall of diplomatic representatives accredited with him.

Article 87. 1. The President of the Russian Federation shall be the Supreme Commander-in-Chief of the Armed Forces of the Russian Federation. In the event of aggression against the Russian Federation or an immediate threat thereof, the President of the Russian Federation shall introduce martial law on the territory of the Russian Federation or in areas thereof with immediate notification thereof of the Federation Council and the State Duma.

Article 88. Under the circumstances and procedures envisaged by the Federal Constitutional Law, the President of the Russian Federation shall impose a state of emergency on the territory of the Russian Federation or in areas thereof with immediate notification of the Federation Council and the State Duma.

Article 89. The President of the Russian Federation shall: a) resolve issues of citizenship of the Russian Federation and of granting political asylum; b) award state decorations of the Russian Federation, confer honorary titles of the Russian Federation and top military ranks and top specialized titles; c) grant pardon.

Article 90. 1. The President of the Russian Federation shall issue decrees and executive orders. 2. The decrees and orders of the President of the Russian Federation shall be binding throughout the territory of the Russian Federation. 3. The decrees and orders of the President of the Russian Federation may not contravene the Constitution of the Russian Federation or federal laws.

Article 91. The President of the Russian Federation shall possess immunity.

Article 92. 1. The President of the Russian Federation shall assume his powers from the time he shall be sworn in and terminate his exercise of such powers with the expiry of his tenure of office from the time the newly-elected President of the Russian Federation shall have been sworn in. 2. The powers of the President of the Russian Federation shall be terminated in the event of his resignation or sustained inability due to health to discharge his powers or in the event of impeachment. In such cases new elections of the President of the Russian Federation shall be held not later than three months after the early termination of the President's powers. 3. In all cases when the President of the Russian Federation shall be unable to perform his duties such duties shall be temporarily performed by the chairman of the Government of the Russian Federation. The acting president of the Russia n Federation shall have no right to dissolve the State Duma, call a referendum or make proposals on amendment or revision of the provisions of the Constitution of the Russian Federation.

Article 93. 1. The President of the Russian Federation may be impeached by the Federation Council only on the basis of charges put forward against him of high treason or some other grave crime, confirmed by a ruling of the Supreme Court of the Russian Federation on the presence of indicia of crime

in the President's actions and by a ruling of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation confirming that the procedure of bringing charges has been observed. 2. The ruling of the State Duma on putting forward charges and the decision of the Federation Council on impeachment of the President shall be passed by the votes of two-thirds of the total number in each of the chambers at the initiative of at least one-third of the deputies of the State Duma and in the presence of the opinion of a special commission formed by the State Duma. 3. The decision of the Federation Council on impeaching the President of the Russian Federation shall be passed within three months of the charges being brought against the President by the State Duma. The charges against the President shall be considered to be rejected if the decision of the Federation Council shall not be passed.

Supplementary Resources

Figes, Orlando. *Natasha's Dance: A Cultural History of Russia* (New York: Henry Holt, 2002). 544 pages

Hoffman, David E. *Oligarchs: Wealth and Power in the New Russia* (New York: Public Affairs, 2001). 567 pages.

Kapuscinski, Ryszard. *Imperium* (New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1994). 331 pages.

Kotkin, Stephen. *Armageddon Averted: The Soviet Collapse 1970-2000.* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001). 245 pages.

Pelevin, Victor. *A Werewolf Problem in Central Russia and Other Stories* (New York: New Directions, 1998). 256 pages.

Remnick, David. *Lenin's Tomb: The Last Days of the Soviet Empire* (New York: Random House, 1993). 576 pages.

Remnick, David. *Resurrection: The Struggle for a New Russia* (New York: Vintage Books, 1998). 416 pages

Volkogonov, Dmitri. *Autopsy for an Empire* (New York: Simon and Schuster, 1998). 572 pages.

World-Wide Web

Johnson's Russia List (http://www.cdi.org/russia/johnson/)
offers an archive of contemporary domestic, international, and Russian press accounts about current events in Russia.

Lenin's Mausoleum (http://www.aha.ru/~mausoleu/)
a Russian website that explores Lenin's tomb, offers audio of Stalin and Lenin, and a poll as to whether Lenin should be buried.

Meeting of Frontiers (http://international.loc.gov/intldl/mtfhtml/mfsplash.html)
a bi-lingual Library of Congress website that offers a multi-media examination of the exploration and settlement of the Russian-American frontier in the Pacific Northwest and Alaska.

Order Form

	Student Texts* (15+ copies)	Teacher Set*	Quantity	Subtotal
Global Studies				
Responding to Terrorism: Challenges for Democracy	$7/copy	$15		
Global Environmental Problems: Implications for U.S. Policy	$7/copy	$15		
U.S. Trade Policy: Competing in a Global Economy	$7/copy	$15		
U.S. Immigration Policy in an Unsettled World	$7/copy	$15		
Dilemmas of Foreign Aid: Debating U.S. Priorities, Policies, & Practices	$7/copy	$15		
Keeping the Peace in an Age of Conflict: Debating the U.S. Role	$7/copy	$15		
The International System in the 21st Century: Considering the U.S. Role	$7/copy	$15		
World History and Area Studies				
Conquest, Conflict, and Commerce: The Colonial Experience in the Congo	$7/copy	$15		
Crisis, Conscience, and Choices: Weimar Germany and the Rise of Hitler	$7/copy	$15		
Shifting Sands: Balancing U.S. Interests in the Middle East	$7/copy	$15		
Charting Russia's Future	$7/copy	$15		
Russia's Uncertain Transition: Challenges for U.S. Policy	$7/copy	$15		
China on the World Stage: Weighing the U.S. Response	$7/copy	$15		
Caught between Two Worlds: Mexico at the Crossroads	$7/copy	$15		
United States History				
A More Perfect Union: Shaping American Government	$7/copy	$15		
Challenges to the New Republic: Prelude to the War of 1812	$7/copy	$15		
Reluctant Colossus: America Enters the Age of Imperialism	$7/copy	$15		
Ending the War against Japan: Science, Morality, and the Atomic Bomb	$7/copy	$15		
Coming to Terms with Power: U.S. Choices after World War II	$7/copy	$15		
The Cuban Missile Crisis: Considering its Place in Cold War History	$7/copy	$15		
The Limits of Power: The United States in Vietnam	$7/copy	$15		

Subtotal _____

add 7% for shipping and handling ($3.50 minimum) _____

TOTAL _____

***Choices gives you a choice**

Teacher sets include a reproducible student text and a teacher's guide. You are welcome to make as many copies of the student text as you need for your students. The two-book set is available for $15. You may also **download** teacher sets for a fee at www.choices.edu.

With an order of a classroom set of **student texts** (15 or more of the same unit), the price per copy falls to $7each. One teacher's resource book is included free with each classroom set.

Make checks payable to: Brown University

Return to: Choices Education Program
Watson Institute for International Studies
Brown University, Box 1948
Providence, RI 02912

PRICES SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Name _____

Course title(s) _____

School/Org. _____

Street _____

City _____ State ____ Zip _____

E-mail Address _____

Charting Russia's Future

Charting Russia's Future engages students in the soul-searching redefinition of Russia's identity by asking them to view Moscow's economic, political, and foreign policy choices through Russian eyes.

Charting Russia's Future is part of a continuing series on current and historical international issues published by the Choices for the 21st Century Education Program at Brown University. Choices materials place special emphasis on the importance of educating students in their participatory role as citizens.

THE **CHOICES** PROGRAM

Choices for the 21st Century Education Program
WATSON INSTITUTE FOR INTERNATIONAL STUDIES
BROWN UNIVERSITY, BOX 1948, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912
WWW.CHOICES.EDU

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

NOTICE

Reproduction Basis

X	This document is covered by a signed "Reproduction Release (Blanket)" form (on file within the ERIC system), encompassing all or classes of documents from its source organization and, therefore, does not require a "Specific Document" Release form.
	This document is Federally-funded, or carries its own permission to reproduce, or is otherwise in the public domain and, therefore, may be reproduced by ERIC without a signed Reproduction Release form (either "Specific Document" or "Blanket").